RECORD OF TRIAL COVER SHEET

IN THE
MILITARY COMMISSION
CASE OF

UNITED STATES V. BINYAM AHMED MUHAMMAD

ALSO KNOWN AS:

TALHA AL KINI FOAUD ZOUAOUI TAHA AL NIGERI JOHN SAMUEL

No. 050009

Military Commission Order No. 1, para. 6(D)(5) (Aug. 31, 2005) and DoD privacy policies, prohibit release of portions of this record of trial. A copy of the redacted version of this record of trial is available at http://www.defenselink.mil/news/commissions.html.

VOLUME OF TOTAL VOLUMES

1ST VOLUME OF TRANSCRIPT R. 1-255 APRIL 6, 2006 SESSION

A more detailed index for each volume is included at the front of the particular volume concerned. An electronic copy of the redacted version of this record of trial is available at http://www.defenselink.mil/news/commissions.html.

Some volumes have not been numbered on the covers. The numerical order for the volumes of the record of trial, as listed below, as well as the total number of volumes will change as litigation progresses and additional documents are added.

After trial is completed, the Presiding Officer will authenticate the final session transcript and exhibits, and the Appointing Authority will certify the records as administratively complete. The volumes of the record of trial will receive their final numbering just prior to the Appointing Authority's administrative certification.

Transcript and Review Exhibits are part of the record of trial, and are considered during appellate review. Volumes I-VI, however, are allied papers and as such are not part of the record of trial. Allied papers provide references, and show the administrative and historical processing of a case. Allied papers are not usually considered during appellate review. See generally United States v. Gonzalez, 60 M.J. 572, 574-575 (Army Ct. Crim. App. 2004) and cases cited therein discussing when allied papers may be considered during the military justice appellate process, which is governed by 10 U.S.C. § 866). For more information about allied papers in the military justice process, see Clerk of Military Commission administrative materials in Volume III.

VOLUME NUMBER

SUBSTANCE OF CONTENTS

ALLIED PAPERS Not part of "record of trial"

Military Commission Primary References (Congressional Authorizations for Use of Force; Detainee Treatment Act; UCMJ articles; President's Military Order; Military Commission Orders; DoD Directive; Military Commission Instructions; Appointing Authority Regulations; Presiding Officer Memoranda—includes DoD rescinded publications)

III Supreme Court Decisions: Rasul v. Bush, 542 U.S. 466 (2004); Johnson v. Eisentrager, 339 U.S. 763 (1950); In re Yamashita, 327 U.S. 1 (1946); Ex Parte Quirin, 317 U.S. 1 (1942); Ex Parte Milligan, 71 U.S. 2 (1866)

III¹ DoD Decisions on Commissions including Appointing Authority orders and decisions, Chief Clerk of Commissions documents

¹ Interim volume numbers. Final numbers to be added when trial is completed

United States v. Muhammad, No. 050009

INDEX OF VOLUMES

VOLUME	
NUMBER	SUBSTANCE OF CONTENTS
IV^2	Federal Litigation in <i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i> , at U.S. Supreme Court and D.C. Circuit
\mathbf{V}^2	Federal Litigation at U.S. District Courts Not Filed by Counsel in United States v. Muhammad
VI ²	Selected filings and U.S. District Court decisions in <i>United States v. Muhammad</i>
	Record of Trial
VII ²	Transcript (R. 1-255) (Apr. 6, 2006 session)
$VIII^2$	Review Exhibits 1-45 (Apr. 6, 2006 session)

² Interim volume numbers. Final numbers to be added when trial is completed.

VOLUME I OF TRANSCRIPT

United States v. Binyam Ahmed Muhammad

Index of Transcript

FIRST SESSION:

April 6, 2006

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
List of Persons Present at Hearing	<u>1, 6-7</u>
Appointing Orders presented to Commission (REs 5, 44 & 45)	<u>2-5</u>
President's Reason To Believe Determination presented to Commission (<u>RE 1</u>)	<u>6</u>
Charge Sheet ($\underline{RE\ 2}$), Approval of Charges ($\underline{RE\ 3}$), and Referral to trial presented to Commission ($\underline{RE\ 4}$)	<u>6</u>
Charges were served in English, the Accused's native language	<u>6</u>
Major Yvonne Bradley and Mr. Stafford-Smith are present for the Defense	<u>7</u>
Parties were previously sworn	<u>7</u>
Prosecutors were properly appointed (RE 6) and sworn	<u>8</u>
Defense Counsel was properly appointed (<u>RE 7</u>), but Major Bradley informed the Presiding Officer she was concerned	9
about her qualifications to act as the Accused's counsel because of a pending conflict of interest issue.	<u>9-10</u>
Mr. Stafford-Smith and Professor Marguiles were in court, but not at counsel table	<u>10-11</u>

Major Bradley declined to answer the Presiding Officer's question about whether she is aware of any physical or medical reason

<u>DESCRIPTION</u> PA	GE#
why Mr. Stafford-Smith and Professor Marguiles are unable to move to the counsel table.	12-13
Commission translators were appointed.	<u>14</u>
The Accused stated he is not "Binyam Ahmed Muhammad" (R. 16).	
The Presiding Officer asked Major Bradley whether the Accused understood English, she responded, "Mr. Muhammad speaks for himself. I have a conflict issue that has prevented me – at this point, to not qualify as his counsel."	<u>18</u>
The Presiding Officer asked Major Bradley whether the Defense had coordinated for a Defense translator for the proceeding, but Major Bradley redirected the question to the Accused.	<u>19</u>
Presiding Officer explains the Accused's rights to Detailed Military Defense Counsel. The Accused said he was not Mr. Muhammad, and that the Government had the wrong person after "4 years of torture and renditions." The Accused repeatedly insisted that he was not the Muhammad on the charge sheet.	21-25
The Presiding Officer explained the presumption of innocence, and the responsibility of the Commission to require proof beyond a reasonable doubt, and his responsibility to ensure the Accused receives a full and fair trial. The issue of the Accused's identity would be developed or resolved during the trial.	<u>26-31</u>
The Presiding Officer re-explained the Accused's rights to Detailed Military Defense Counsel and Selected Military Defense Counsel.	<u>31-34</u>
The Presiding Officer explained the Accused's rights to Civilian Defense Counsel (CDC) at no expense to the Government. The CDC must be an American citizen.	<u>35-37</u>
The Accused said that Major Bradley was under orders to be his enemy, and he was unsure about trusting her. He would also	

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
have the same problem of trust with any American citizen.	<u>38-40</u>
The Accused said he understood he rules in regard to representation by Civilian Defense Counsel.	n <u>42</u>
The Accused said that the civilian lawyers and Major Bradley are his advisors and not his lawyers, and they could not talk on he behalf (R. 43). The Accused said Major Bradley had a conflict for two months now and repeated that she could not speak on his behalf (R. 44). The Presiding Officer stated that Major Bradley, "Right now, she is your Detailed Defense Counsel." (R. 45).	is
The Presiding Officer explained the Accused's rights to Detailed Military Defense Counsel, Selected Military Counsel, and Civilian Defense Counsel.	<u>49-51</u>
The Accused said he had no rights, and he had no questions.	<u>51-52</u>
The Accused asked to represent himself (R. 54). He declined counsel. The Accused stated that if Major Bradley wins the case, she becomes the enemy of America (R. 54).	<u>54-56</u>
The Accused said he did not want Mr. Stafford-Smith and Professor Marguiles to communicate to the Commission, but they could be his advisors.	<u>56-60</u>
The Presiding Officer explained that Civilian Defense Counsel (Chad filed matters with the Commission, and they could not do unless they were representing the Accused (R. 61). The Accumaintained that he did not want CDC to represent him, but to act as an advisor, and they were not permitted to talk to the Commission (R. 62-63). The Accused said he authorized CDC file motions (R. 66). The Accused said he believed representation meant representation in the hearing room, which he did not want CDC to do (R. 66-67). The Presiding Officer explained that Could not file matters unless they represented the Accused, and	o so sed o C to ation want CDC

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
they represented him, they must sit at counsel table (R. 67).	<u>62-67</u>
Major Bradley asked the Presiding Officer to permit the Accused to have legal advisors from outside the courtroom, noting that this issue was not addressed in Commission law. Major Bradley asked for an opportunity to brief the issue.	71-74
The Presiding Officer explained that Defense Counsel for representation are required to attend all hearings, unless excused by the Presiding Officer.	74-75
The Presiding Officer stated that unless the Accused requested otherwise, or the Presiding Officer excused her, he would be represented by Detailed Military Defense Counsel, Major Bradley.	<u>77-78</u>
The Accused said the Commission was actually a con-mission, designed to con the world. The Accused made some statement about America's goals in the war, and nations with or without nuclear weapons. He urged the Presiding Officer to be fair an act in a way that would make his family proud.	
The Presiding Officer explained to the Accused that he was not permitted to wave or put up signs in court, and that his ruling were not limited to the Presiding Officer Memoranda.	s <u>87-90</u>
The Presiding Officer told the Accused not to mention the Presiding Officer's family, not to compare the Presiding Officer to historical figures, or to use words like "crap."	90-93
The Accused responded to the Presiding Officer's question about representation by stating that he did not desire CDC representation, and instructed them not to sit at counsel table	. <u>94-95</u>
The Accused asked to reserve his decision because he was confused He said he had received different information from the lawyer	

INDEX (Cont.)

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
The Presiding Officer explained that perhaps CDC could act in a advisory fashion, but it would not involve filing matters with the Commission or appearing before the Commission on his behalf.	
The Presiding Officer said that absent a request from the Accuse he would rule that Major Bradley was the sole counsel representing the Accused. The Accused responded that he could not answer the question on requested counsel.	ed, <u>98</u>
After Major Bradley requested more time for the Accused to thin about it, the Presiding Officer indicated that the only person representing the Accused was Major Bradley.	
The Accused requested clarification on the role of CDC, and the Presiding Officer explained that counsel for representation are the only parties permitted to file matters and appear before the Commission (R. 100). The Accused asked that the CDC is permitted to represent him at the hearing (R. 102-104). The Accused said the next time he came in he would give a final decision on representation (R. 105).	
The Accused requested to be lead counsel.	<u>108</u>
CDC stated their qualifications to be counsel for the Accused.	<u>108-114</u>
The Presiding Officer stated that the Accused understands and speaks English, but informed the Defense that the Accused had an absolute right to a Defense Interpreter. The request for such an interpreter must simply be timely.	<u>114-118</u>
The Presiding Officer explained to the Accused the rules of dress and stated that the Accused appeared to be wearing orange prison garb. Major Bradley indicated the Accused wanted to talk about his cultural garb.	5, <u>120-118</u>

The Presiding Officer counseled Major Bradley about her

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
demeanor when addressing the Presiding Officer.	124-127
The Presiding Officer asked Major Bradley about the Accused's clothing, and Major Bradley stated, "My position is that Mr. Muhammad can explain the cultural dress." (R. 130). The Presiding Officer directed Major Bradley to address the question (R. 130-131). Major Bradley said she did not think about anyone mistaking appellant's cultural clothing for prison garb (R. 131). The Presiding Officer instructed Major Bradley to be careful about the possible prejudicial effect on Commission members of such clothing, but the choice of cultural clothing is a defense decision (R. 131-133). Major Bradley said that the Accused's choice of clothing was cultural (R. 135), and noted that he also wanted to wear shackles to the hearing (R. 136). Major Bradley requested that the Accused make a statement about his cultural clothing, and the Presiding Officer said that the Defense could put it in a motion (R. 137).	r
The Presiding Officer said he was not prejudiced by the Accused's clothing.	<u>138</u>
Prior to the hearing, the CDC made a request for an ex parte hearing (RE 29), and then withdrew the request (RE 40). The unredacted version of RE 40 is (RE 41). The Defense indicated the redacted portion was privileged and the Presiding Officer directed that it be sealed (R. 141).	<u>138-141</u>
The Presiding Officer determined there was no clear conflict of interest, and no ethical dilemma (R. 142). No competent authority found a conflict of interest (R. 142). The Presiding Officer stated that the issue was not properly raised as a motion under POM 4-3 (R. 143).	142-143
The Presiding Officer stated his biographical materials and responses to questions were (<u>REs 18 and 33</u>). The Government did not have any voir dire of the Presiding	

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
Officer (R. 144).	143-144
Major Bradley stated there was an obvious conflict (R. 144), and based on her letter from a Pennsylvania ethics expert, and Appointing Authority Regulation 3, paragraph 3(C), there must be coordination between The Air Force Judge Advocate General and the Legal Advisor of the Appointing Authority.	
The Presiding Officer noted there was no motion for relief filed with the Commission, and that the letter from the expert was not from an appropriate Pennsylvania authority. Major Bradley did not avail herself of the avenues the Presiding Officer suggested in correspondence before trial.	<u>146-147</u>
The Presiding Officer directed Major Bradley to represent the Accused.	<u>148</u>
Major Bradley stated she believed the ethics opinion from Pennsylvania was a valid, advisory opinion (R. 149-150). She said she believed it was not possible to get a more formal, binding ethics decision from Pennsylvania.	e <u>149-154</u>
The Presiding Officer described in chronological fashion the Defense assignment to the Accused's case and the development of the perceived conflict of interest issue. The Presiding Officer concluded with the statement that Major Bradley was directed to represent the Accused.	<u>155-158</u>
Major Bradley said she perceived a conflict and would not start doing voir dire or looking into discovery.	<u>159-160</u>
Major Bradley said mail by the Accused was being read by Unauthorized persons. This caused the Defense not to be able to submit any additional motions.	<u>160, 163</u>
The Presiding Officer again advised Major Bradley that she had to file a motion and present evidence concerning the	

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
the conflict of interest (R. 165). The conflict of interest motion was not raised (R. 166). Emails do not raise issues, POM 4-3 governs how to raise issues (R. 166). The Presiding Officer gave Major Bradley "a direct order which you will disobey at your peril." (R. 167).	3 <u>165-167</u>
After a recess, Mr. Stafford-Smith stated that he advised Major Bradley to get a lawyer (R. 168), and he needed a delay to sort out the new conflict of interest issue. He stated that he advised Major Bradey to take the 5 th and not answer questions (R. 171). He stated he could not advise Major Bradley (R. 171).	<u>168-171</u>
The Accused told the Presiding Officer to address the conflict issue (R. 172). The Presiding Officer directed the Accused not to comment (R. 172-173).	<u>172-173</u>
The Presiding Office reminded Major Bradley of his order, and asked Major Bradley whether she had any voir dire. Major Bradley stated that she was exercising her 5 th Amendment rights.	<u>174-175</u>
CDC declined to ask the Presiding Officer any voir dire questions (R. 175-176). The Presiding Officer stated that asking voir dire was therefore waived, but that he was willing to answer questions (R. 178). The Presiding Officer said he did not believe it was an appropriate invocation of the 5 th Amendment (R. 178).	<u>175-178</u>
CDC said he needed additional time on the conflict of interest issue and had an enormous number of voir dire questions to develop.	<u>179-180</u>
The government had no challenge for cause of the Presiding Officer.	<u>181</u>
The Presiding Officer asked Major Bradley whether she had a	

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
challenge for cause, but she invoked her 5 th Amendment right	s. <u>182</u>
The Presiding Officer stated that he was qualified to sit as	
Presiding Officer. The Accused stated that he disagreed.	
The Presiding Officer told the Accused to be silent.	<u>182</u>
The Presiding Officer asked Major Bradley whether she and the	
Accused were served with the charges.	<u>183</u>
The Presiding Officer explained Major Bradley had a clear	
duty to obey his orders (R. 184-185). He repeated his order	
that she answer his question about whether she and her client	,
received a copy of the charge sheet (R. 186).	<u>186</u>
CDC stated it was his intention to disqualify the court because the court was giving legal advice to a lawyer facing criminal charges (R. 186). Major Bradley needs independent advice.	e
CDC said he could not give Major Bradley advice (R. 187). T	he
Presiding Officer asked whether Major Bradley was refusing	
to answer his question, and the CDC answered that he advised	d
her to take the 5 th Amendment (R. 187). Major Bradley	
said that she was not refusing to obey an order, and she was	
worried about ineffective assistance of counsel (R. 188).	<u>186-188</u>
The Accused said the charge sheet was in English, and the	
Presiding Officer asked the Accused to remain silent.	<u>189</u>
Major Bradley said she is not lead counsel.	<u>191</u>
The Prosecutor read the Charges.	<u>192-203</u>
The Presiding Officer asked the defense whether there was any	
objection to the list of Review Exhibits in the Filings	
Inventory, and Presiding Officer Memorandum (REs 36 & 42).
The CDC stated that the Accused was without counsel	
(R. 204). The Presiding Officer stated that failure to	
object resulted in waiver (R. 206). The CDC stated he	

PAGE #	DESCRIPTION
<u>192-203</u>	wanted to revisit the issue in a few months (R. 207). The Presiding Officer stated the Defense had no objections.
	The CDC challenged the Presiding Officer for cause, indicating the Presiding Officer has conversations about torture in North Carolina, because of an article he wrote criticizing military Commissions, and the thousands of questions
<u>208</u>	he wanted to ask the Presiding Officer.
<u>209</u>	The Presiding Officer authorized the CDC to reserve motions.
<u>210-211</u>	The Presiding Officer asked for pleas, and there being no response, the Presiding Officer entered a pleas of Not Guilty.
<u>212-213</u>	The CDC said the conflict pertained to the structure of the Office of Military Commissions – Defense taints all of the Accused's rights. All defense counsel, military and civilian, have a conflict.
<u>214-223</u>	The Presiding Officer directed separate briefs from each Defense Counsel, because they have separate interests on the conflict issue. The brief must address what the Presiding Officer can do to eliminate the conflict, and whether Defense Counsel can stay on the case if the Presiding Officer is unable to resolve the conflict. Major Bradley is also required to address the issue of whether she has a conflict of interest caused by her personal 5 th Amendment concerns (R. 221).
226-228	The Defense had some questions about release of information from discovery to the Accused, and the Presiding Officer told the Defense to file a motion.
228-233	The Presiding Officer briefly discussed Protective Orders with the parties (<u>REs 21-23</u>). The release authority for Review Exhibits to the public is via the DoD Public Affairs Military Commission web site.
214	Office of Military Commissions – Defense taints all of the Accused's rights. All defense counsel, military and civilian, have a conflict. The Presiding Officer directed separate briefs from each Defense Counsel, because they have separate interests on the conflict issue. The brief must address what the Presiding Officer can do to eliminate the conflict, and whether Defense Counsel can stay on the case if the Presiding Officer is unable to resolve the conflict. Major Bradley is also required to address the issue of whether she has a conflict of interest caused by her personal 5 th Amendment concerns (R. 221). The Defense had some questions about release of information from discovery to the Accused, and the Presiding Officer told the Defense to file a motion. The Presiding Officer briefly discussed Protective Orders with the parties (REs 21-23). The release authority for Review Exhibits to the public is via the DoD Public Affairs Military

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
The Presiding Officer told the Accused that his Defense Counsel will be present at hearings unless excused by the Presiding Officer. The Presiding Officer, however, will first obtain a waiver from the Accused before excusing Defense Counsel. Only counsel that represent the Accused may file matters with the Commission. Advisory counsel can assist the Defense and Accused, but are not permitted to represent the Accused. The CDC said he would file a motion concerning the role of advisory counsel.	234-241
concerning the role of advisory counsel.	254-241
The Accused asked whether he could see the Presiding Officer's written answers to the voir dire posed by the CDC. The Presiding Officer responded that the CDC should file a motion.	<u>240-241</u>
The Presiding Officer said the Defense is free to file a motion asking that the Accused be permitted to represent himself. The motion can address the roles of counsel for representation versus the role of the Accused	
acting on his own behalf.	<u>244-245</u>
Review Exhibits 44 and 45 were provided to the Defense.	<u>245</u>
The Presiding Officer stated that all motions are due on May 4, the Prosecution's response is due on May 11, and Defense replies are due on May 17. The motions will be litigated the week of June 5.	248-254
The hearing recessed on April 6, 2006	<u>254</u>
Authentication page for pages 1-255 of this hearing	<u>255</u>

- 1 The Commissions Hearing was called to order at 0930,
- 2 6 April 2006.

- 3 [Throughout this transcript, Lieutenant
- 4 Jr., United States Navy Reserves, will be referred to as
- 5 the Prosecutor or Pros. Captain United
- 6 States Air Force, will be referred to as the Assistant
- 7 Prosecutor or APROS. Major Yvonne R. Bradley, United
- 8 States Air Force Reserves, will be referred to as the
- 9 Detailed Defense Counsel or DC. Mr. Clive A. Stafford
- 10 Smith will be referred to as CDC. Professor Joseph
- 11 Marguiles will be referred to as CDC2. The Accused's last
- 12 name, as indicated on the Charge Sheet is "Muhammad." The
- 13 Defense prefers to spell and pronounce his last name, as
- 14 "Mohamed." Throughout this transcript, the Accused's last
- 15 name will be spelled "Muhammad" for consistency.]
- 17 Presiding Officer: This military Commission is called to
- order in the case of The United States versus
- Binyam Ahmed Muhammad.
- 21 **PROS:** This military Commission is appointed by
- 22 Appointing Order Number 05-0005, dated
- 23 12 December 2005; as amended by Appointing Order

```
1
              Number 06-0003, dated 1 February '06, and
2
              Appointing Order Number 06-0010, dated
3
              27 March 2006; copies of which have been
4
              furnished to the presiding officer, counsel, and
5
              the accused. The original Appointing Order
              05-0005 has been marked as Review Exhibit 5.
6
7
              The other two amended appointing orders will be
8
              marked as the next review exhibits in order, 44
9
              and 45.
10
11
    Presiding Officer: Do you have copies of those here now?
12
13
              I do, sir.
    PROS:
14
    Presiding Officer: Bailiff, if you could, please get those
15
16
              from the prosecutor; hand them to the court
17
              reporter, who will have them marked at this time.
18
19
    BAILIFF: Yes, sir.
20
21
    [The bailiff did as directed.]
22
23
    PROS:
              May I continue, sir?
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Wait.
3
4
              Yes, sir.
    PROS:
5
    [Long pause.]
6
7
              The Presidential determination that the----
8
9
    Presiding Officer: Wait, wait, wait, wait.
10
11
    [The reporter handed REs 44 and 45 to the presiding
12
    officer.1
13
14
    Presiding Officer: Generally, for counsel on both sides,
15
              like to have things marked when we talk about
16
              them as opposed as having it done later so we
17
              don't lose track of something. For that reason,
18
              it's all the more important that we always get
19
              documents filed ahead of time as much as
20
              possible.
21
              Yes, sir.
22
    PROS:
23
```

1	Presiding	Officer: Okay. The modification dated
2		1 February 2006 is Review Exhibit 44; the
3		modification dated 27 March 2006 is Review
4		Exhibit 45.
5	DC:	Before you proceed, Mr. Muhammad has asked to
6		review these documents.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: He cannot at this time. Please sit
9		down.
10		
11	[The defer	nse counsel did as directed.]
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: Proceedhave copies of those been
14		presented to the defense?
15	PROS:	I believe they were madethe defense was made
16		aware of them. I haven'tI haven't given copies
17		of them personally, but these were part of the
18		appointing authority's record that they had sent
19		out; I believe that Mr. Hodges had sent them out
20		at some point.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Major Bradley, do you have copies of
23		those modifications?

1		
2	DC:	Ithat's why Mr. Muhammad has requested to look
3		at them, to make sure we have them, these
4		modifications.
5	Presiding	Officer: Major Bradley, do you have copies of
6		those modifications?
7		
8	DC:	Not that I know of, no.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: Okay. I am going to ask that we get
11		some copies of those made at the next break and
12		then we'll provide those to the defense if you
13		don't have them already.
14		
15	PROS:	Aye, sir.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Proceed.
18		
19	PROS:	The Presidential determination that the accused
20		may be subject to trial by military Commission
21		has been marked as Review Exhibit 1 and has
22		previously been shown to the defense.
23		

Ţ	The charges have been marked as Review Exhibit 2
2	and have been properly approved by this
3	appointing authority and referred to this
4	Commission for trial. The approval of the
5	charges and their referral to this Commission
6	have been marked as Review Exhibits 3 and 4,
7	respectively. The prosecution caused a copy of
8	the charge in English, which is the accused's
9	native language, to be served on the accused on
10	30 November 2005.
11	
12	The prosecution is ready to proceed in the
13	Commission trial of United States versus Bynyam
14	Muhammad.
15	
16	The accused and the following personnel detailed
17	to this Commission are present:
18	Colonel Ralph Kohlmann, Presiding Officer;
19	Lieutenant Prosecutor;
20	Captain Assistant Prosecutor;
21	Major Yvonne Bradley, Detailed Defense Counsel.
22	

1		Mr. Clive Stafford Smith and Mr. Joseph Marguiles
2		have been detailed as civilian defense counsel;
3		however, they are not at table but are present in
4		the courtroom. All other members are absent.
5		
6		A court reporter has been detailed reporter for
7		this Commission and has been previously sworn.
8		Security personnel have been detailed for this
9		Commission and have been previously sworn.
10		
11	Presiding	Officer: I have been designated as the presiding
12		officer in this military Commission by the
13		appointing authority and I have been previously
14		sworn.
15		
16		Lieutenant please state by whom you have
16 17		Lieutenant please state by whom you have been detailed and your qualifications.
17	PROS:	
17 18	PROS:	been detailed and your qualifications.
17 18 19	PROS:	been detailed and your qualifications. Yes, sir. All members of the prosecution have
17 18 19 20	PROS:	been detailed and your qualifications. Yes, sir. All members of the prosecution have been detailed to this military Commission by the

```
1
              been sworn. No member of the prosecution has
2
              acted in any manner which might tend to
3
              disqualify us in these proceeding. The detailing
              document has been marked as Review Exhibit 6.
4
5
6
              The prosecution ----
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Hold on a second----
9
10
    [The bailiff walked towards the defense table with
11
    documents.]
12
    PROS: ----also as sitting at the pros----
13
14
    Presiding Officer: One second.
15
16
17
              What are you doing?
18
19
    Bailiff: I--these are the--they gave it to me [handing
20
              documents to the presiding officer].
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Go ahead.
```

```
1
    PROS:
              The prosecution, also as sitting at the
2
              prosecution table and assisting, who will assist
3
              the prosecution but will not be representing the
4
              government, that is Gunnery Sergeant
5
6
    Presiding Officer: Thank you.
7
8
              Yes, sir.
    PROS:
9
10
    Presiding Officer: Major Bradley, please state by whom
11
              you've been detailed and your qualifications.
12
13
              I've been detailed to this military Commission by
    DC:
14
              the Chief Defense Counsel. Review Exhibit 7
15
              details my--is the letter that details me to--to
16
              this case. Well, as far as being qualified, at
              this point I cannot say I am qualified to
17
18
              represent Mr. Muhammad given that there's a
19
              pending conflict of interest issue on this
20
              matter. And Mr. Muhammad may also want to
21
              address the court as far as qualification of
22
              counsel.
```

1 Presiding Officer: Okay. Have you been previously sworn 2 with regard to duties as detailed defense counsel 3 in this case? 4 5 DC: Yes, I have. Presiding Officer: Thank you. And, Major Bradley, 6 7 Lieutenant noted that Mr. Stafford Smith 8 and Professor--is it Marguiles? 9 10 Marquiles. DC: 11 12 Presiding Officer: Marguiles. 13 14 DC: Yes. 15 16 Presiding Officer: Are present in the court but not at counsel table. Is that correct? 17 18 19 DC: I think it speaks for itself, I mean, who is at the table, who is not. But, for the record, they 20 21 are not at the table. I would agree with that 22 and Mr. Muhammad may want to make comments about 23 why counsel is not at the table.

```
1
   DC:
              I think it speaks for itself, I mean, who is at
2
              the table, who is not. But, for the record, they
3
              are not at the table. I would agree with that
4
              and Mr. Muhammad may want to make comments about
5
              why counsel is not at the table.
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Okay. So you agree with the
8
              representation that they are in the courtroom
9
              here today?
10
11
    DC:
              I do agree.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Okay. And obviously, then, they've
14
              had--have you noticed--it is self evident they
15
              had notice of the time and hearing and are able
16
              to be here.
17
18
    DC:
              They are here.
19
20
    Presiding Officer: I mean, at counsel table here where
21
              they are supposed to be.
```

```
1
    DC:
              Well, again, Mr. Muhammad may want to address the
2
              issue on that. I can't make any other than,
3
              obviously, it is self evident that the only
4
              counsel at defense table is myself.
5
    Presiding Officer: As far as you know----
6
7
8
    DC:
              And the Accused.
9
10
    Presiding Officer: --are they physically able to walk the
11
              last five steps?
12
13
              I, again, am--[conferring with the Accused]. If
    DC:
14
              you want the answer to that, then Mr. Muhammad is
15
              the only one who can address that issue and
16
              respond to that question.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Major Bradley, are you aware of any
19
              physical or medical problem that Mr. Stafford
20
              Smith or Professor Marguiles have that is
21
              preventing them from sitting at the counsel
22
              table?
```

```
1
   DC:
         Again, I'm--I'm saying----
2
   Presiding Officer: No, listen to my question. Are----
3
4
5
   DC:
             I understand your----
6
7
   Presiding Officer: Listen to my question, Counsel.
8
9
             I understand your question, but my response is
   DC:
10
             going to be the same.
11
12
   Presiding Officer: Are you aware, are you, Major Bradley,
13
             aware personally of any physical or medical
14
             problem that they have that's preventing them
15
             from attending this hearing?
16
17
   DC:
         They are in the courtroom.
18
19
   Presiding Officer: From sitting at the counsel table?
20
21
   DC: I cannot answer that question.
```

1	Presiding	Officer: All right. Commission translators
2		within the meaning of POM 11 have been detailed
3		for the Commission and have been previously
4		sworn. The CV of each Commission translator has
5		been marked as Appellate Exhibit 30marked in
6		Appellate Exhibit 38.
7		
8		Before continuing with other preliminary matters
9		it is necessary for me to inquire into the
10		Accused's need for an interpreter, translator.
11		
12		Mr. Muhammad, are you able to understand and
13		speak English?
14		
15	ACC:	First of all, I am preventing
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: Sir, could you speak up just a little
18		bit?
19		
20	ACC:	You can't hear me?
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: I can hear you now. Thank you.
23		

```
1
    ACC:
              I am the one who's preventing the two lawyers
2
              from present -- from representing me in this court,
3
              so that question should come back to me, it
4
              shouldn't go to her, and I have my reasons.
5
6
    Presiding Officer: I didn't get the last part.
7
8
              I have my reasons.
    ACC:
9
10
    Presiding Officer: Right. Well----
11
12
              And my reasons----
    ACC:
13
    Presiding Officer: ----right now, do you--are you able to
14
15
              understand and speak English? It appears that
16
              you are, but I need to ask you that.
17
18
    ACC:
              Well, I'm going to answer that question if you
19
              just let me for a few seconds. You say my name
20
              is Binyam Ahmed Muhammad--Muhammad.
21
    Presiding Officer: Mahammad?
22
```

Could I--I mean, I read the charge sheet just the 2 other day. I am not too sure, but----3 Presiding Officer: Okay. I----4 5 ----could I have that name----6 ACC: 7 Presiding Officer: ---- I apologize if I mispronounced your 8 9 name. Why don't you say it once more for me and 10 I'll do my best? 11 12 No, this is not mispronouncing. This is 4 years ACC: 13 of interrogations, highly intensified, or as they 14 call it -- he knows what it's called [pointing at 15 the prosecution table] -- torture, and they still 16 don't get the right name. That means you've got 17 the wrong person; a mistake, man. The man you're 18 looking for is not here. I am not Binyam Ahmed 19 Muhammad; that's not me. So I would like it to 20 ask him has he got the right person--has he got 21 the right person at the table. Because if you 22 are in Britain and you get arrested on the 23 streets and you don't have a name check, if it

1

ACC:

```
1
              give the wrong name, you get sent to jail, right?
2
              So now we have a problem. I'm Accused but I have
3
              evidence that's not me.
4
    Presiding Officer: Okay. I'm going to----
5
6
7
    ACC:
              Is this true or not?
8
9
   Presiding Officer: I'm going to refer to you as Mr.
10
              Muhammad. My question----
11
12
   ACC:
             No, but the charge sheet says----
13
   Presiding Officer: Sir, for you--sir, my question for you
14
15
              right now is, do you understand and speak
16
              English, and it appears that the answer to that
17
              would be yes. Is that correct?
18
19
    ACC:
              That's your presumption?
20
21
   Presiding Officer: I'm asking you.
```

```
1
    ACC:
              No, because the presumption that I am Mr.
2
              Muhammad is what put me here. I mean, there are-
3
              -if you go to the rules, if you are in America
4
              and you get arrested, if you are an American and
5
              you get arrested, you have an ID card and you
              have the name. If you go in the directory, phone
6
7
              directory, you have to look for a Muhammad and
8
              you look for MA and the name you have is MU,
9
              that's not the same person. So how they contact
10
              the right person?
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Major Bradley, does your client
13
              speak some other language other than English?
14
15
    DC:
              At this point, Mr. Muhammad speaks for himself.
16
              I have a conflict issue that has prevented me --
17
              at this point, to not qualify as his counsel.
18
19
    Presiding Officer:
20
21
              And, um, he--
    DC:
22
              I would answer that question----
23
```

ACC:

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Sir----
3
4
    ACC: ----for you.
5
6
    Presiding Officer: ----I appreciate your answer. I've
7
              heard what you had to say.
8
              Major Bradley, as I expect you're aware, per
9
10
              Commission law, the Defense is entitled to a
11
              defense translator. To my knowledge, you, as his
12
              Detailed Defense Counsel, nor anyone from the
13
              Defense has coordinated with Office of Military
14
              Commission personnel for provision of the defense
15
              translator. Is that correct?
16
17
    DC:
              That is incorrect.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: Okay, have you----
20
21
              And Mr. Muhammad can explain that also.
    DC:
22
    Presiding Officer: No, right now----
23
```

```
1
2
              Well, that's incorrect.
    DC:
3
4
    Presiding Officer: ----I am speaking to you. Okay.
                                                           Then,
5
              why don't you tell me what you've done?
6
7
    DC:
              Because Mr. Muhammad has requested that he
8
              speaks; and that, one, I am not qualified to
9
              speak for him, and he has directed that he wants
10
              to answer these questions in regards to the
11
              translator and other questions before this
12
              Commission.
13
14
              If you don't like me to speak, I just give you
    ACC:
15
              the papers. I'm not going to speak, there's no
16
              problem. I know the problem here is you don't
17
              want us to speak.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: Right now----
20
21
    ACC:
              I'll give you the papers.
```

Presiding Officer: Right now, I don't want you to speak
and I do not want your papers right now. I
appreciate your offer. Thank you.

4

5 ACC: Okay. Reasonable.

6

Presiding Officer: All right. Mr. Muhammad, pursuant to 7 8 Military Commission Order Number 1, you are 9 represented by Major Bradley, your Detailed 10 Defense Counsel. You may also request a 11 different military--request that a different 12 military lawyer represent you. If the person 13 that you request is reasonably available, he or 14 she will be appointed to represent you as your 15 Detailed Defense Counsel. If you are represented 16 by Detailed Defense Counsel of your own 17 selection, you would normally lose the services 18 of your current Detailed Defense Counsel. You 19 may, however, request that your current Detailed 20 Defense Counsel, that is, Major Bradley--excuse 21 me--remain on your case and the Chief Defense 22 Counsel in his sole discretion may either grant 23 or deny that request. Do you understand that?

2 **ACC:** Am I allowed to answer this question now?

3

4 **Presiding Officer:** Yes, I am asking you if you understand what I just told you.

6

7 ACC: So I hope you don't interrupt me. You addressed 8 me as Mr. Muhammad. I keep referring to this 9 because this is a big issue. You have the wrong 10 person on the seat. I mean, I don't understand 11 what kind of system, after 4 years of torture and 12 renditions, still get the wrong person to be on 13 the stand. I am not the person you're talking 14 to. I am not Mr. Muhammad, and if you are going 15 by your books, I mean, how can you charge me with 16 something and I am not the wrong person. You got 17 the wrong--the wrong man here. I think you have 18 to go back and find out who this person you're 19 talking about is. On top of that, it says, 20 "Native language, English." I'm not American and 21 I'm not British and I'm not Australian. How come 22 my native language English? That's not my words.

1 Presiding Officer: Do you understand--I thought you 2 were----3 4 That's his words. [The Accused pointed towards ACC: 5 the Prosecution table.] 6 7 Presiding Officer: ---done. 8 9 That's not my words. Do you understand what I'm ACC: 10 talking about? I think you're a reasonable 11 person, that's why you're sitting over there. 12 And to have in court person whom the cops cannot 13 arrest and put under interrogation for 4 years 14 and then find out he's the wrong man, I mean, 15 what kind of worthiness do these people have, man? Ask yourself, what kind of worthiness do 16 17 they have when bragging around Dracula and 18 whatever he's talking about and this island is 19 getting them a lot of information, gold mine, and 20 out of the ten people that you have on these 21 trials one of the person is the wrong man. I'm innocent; I'm not--I'm not--I'm not supposed to 22

be here. Mr. Binyam Ahmed Muhammad, as you call

him, is not here, is not present, so how can we go on? Either we have to go back and find out where this person that he's [pointing to the prosecution table] accusing is or that means I changed my name to be the man--the name they are giving me. I don't know if that -- I don't know if Congress gave you the right to change names, I don't know sure. I mean, they give you the right to change laws and play around with them but I don't think about names. And I stress that I am the wrong person here and until we get clarification of where this man that you're looking for is we can't go anywhere, we're stuck. And this is simple issues, small issue, but this is--it is an issue. I can't call you Ralph Kahlmann, can I, and arrest you and put you in jail because that's not you. That's why we have phone directory with names with right spellings, right, to find that this person. And this Muhammad happens to be the surname, not even first name. And the surname is the idea of a I mean, how can you get this wrong, man? Four years of--what do you call it--enhanced

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
1
              technique of torture, and we have the wrong
2
              person in court. I mean, that bothers me; I
3
              don't know how it doesn't bother you. Of course,
4
              you're meant to be doing right and wrong, you are
5
              the just person, right? I mean, they didn't put
6
              you in the seat. So as I'm concerned, they told
              me you volunteered to be on that seat. For you
7
8
              to volunteer, that means you must have seen some
9
              sense that it has to be justice in this court, as
10
              they call it, rigged. So you want to enlighten
11
              America as you are convicting people because they
12
              are terrorist, but then when you have the wrong
13
              person in court, what does that say? We have a
14
              problem here. You can overlook it, I don't--I
15
              mean, you're the judge, but we have a problem.
```

17 Presiding Officer: I don't want to interrupt you, so----

18

19 ACC: No, no. I'm--I'm finished.

20

21 **Presiding Officer:** ----are you done now?

22

23 ACC: I'm finished. Go on, please.

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Okay. I hear what you're saying and I
3
              understand what you're saying, okay? A couple of
4
              things you need to know, which I would hope your
              attorneys have told you, is that as you come here
5
6
              and sit here today you are presumed to be
7
              innocent under Commission law. And--let me talk
8
              for a minute.
9
10
    ACC:
             I'm not--I'm not going to----
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Don't interrupt me----
13
14
    ACC: No, no, no, I'm not going to----
15
16
    Presiding Officer: ----and then I'll--and I'll----
17
18
    ACC: ----I'm just--I'm just--no, no, no----
19
20
    Presiding Officer: ----and then I'll--and then you can go
21
              back. All right, sir?
22
23
             I'm not--when you see me----
    ACC:
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: No, no, no. Wait, wait, wait.
3
4
    ACC: ----that's a surprise face.
5
    Presiding Officer: I waited for you, all right, okay? And
6
7
              after I'm done, you can talk to me again. Okay?
8
9
              No problem.
    ACC:
10
11
    Presiding Officer: Okay. But just let me speak and I
12
              pause sometimes, but that doesn't always mean I
13
              am done either. Okay?
14
15
    ACC:
              Can I just ask one question before we go on?
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Yes.
18
19
    ACC:
              Are we all human in this place? Are we--are we--
20
              the way we are before I entered the room. Before
21
              I entered the room I wasn't human, and when I
22
              come here I'm supposed to be human. So am I to
23
              presume everyone is human here or do we have an
```

1 alien? That means--I don't--maybe you don't 2 understand what I'm saying. 3 Presiding Officer: Do you know what the word "rhetorical" 4 5 means, a rhetorical question? 6 7 ACC: No. 8 9 Presiding Officer: Okay. Rhetorical question is one that 10 you ask because you want to ask a question but 11 doesn't necessarily--you don't expect an answer. 12 I don't know whether you expect the answers to 13 those from me or not. I'm not going to answer 14 questions like that from you, so when you ask 15 questions like that -- I understand the point that 16 you are seeking to make, but I'm not here to 17 answer every question that everybody here has. 18 have--I have a role to conduct a full and fair 19 trial on the allegations that have been made 20 against you. And this is my turn now, so just 21 sit back and just relax for a second.

Hopefully, your lawyers have explained to you that as you sit here today you are presumed to be innocent, okay, and whether that's because you're not the person that they say you are, or you didn't do the things that they say you did, or you did the things that they say you did but they don't understand the circumstances under which they took place, or any number of reasons why you may ultimately be found not guilty of these offenses. And, in some cases, even if you did it, sometimes the proof isn't good enough to get beyond the standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt, okay. It's not my job to explain these things to you. It's your lawyers' jobs to--to work with that and I hope they've done that. But I'm addressing the initial points you've made. I'm not look--you say, if I have to go back and look for the right guy, that's not my function either, okay. It's not my job to ensure that you are convicted or acquitted. Do you understand the word "acquitted"? That means, found not quilty, okay. That's not my job and not my concern. My job is to ensure a full and fair

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

trial. Now, if you are not the person that they allege you are, I would expect the evidence is going to demonstrate that or there won't be enough evidence to demonstrate that you are, even if you are, that person. And that would be something that's accomplished during the course of the proceedings, but it's not something that we need to or will be presenting evidence on at this time. And this process, unhappily perhaps, is going to take some time. Additionally, I will not allow us to do things out of order. We have a lot of things that we have to do before we get around to the evidence about whether you really are the person named in the charge or whether you did the things they said you did, okay.

And I'm glad to hear you say you think I am a reasonable person. I think I'm a reasonable person too, but the fact remains that we need to do and we are going to do things in a certain order, whether you like it or not. I hope you can understand that, but—but that's just the way things are going to work. And I hope that, even

1 if you don't express it to me, somewhere you're 2 understanding that that's the way it has to work. 3 4 Right now, we are going to move to the next piece 5 of procedure, moving towards that time when we can hopefully receive evidence which will get us 6 7 to where we need to be. 8 9 I've explained to you your rights with regard to Detailed Defense Counsel. Do you wish for me to 10 11 repeat that explanation for you at this time? 12 13 ACC: Yes. 14 15 Presiding Officer: Pursuant to Military Commission Order 16 Number 1, you are represented by Major Bradley, 17 who is sitting next to you as your Detailed 18 Defense Counsel. You may also request that a 19 different military lawyer represents you. 20 person you request is reasonably available, he or 21 she will be appointed to represent you as your 22 Detailed Defense Counsel. If you are represented

by Detailed Defense Counsel of your own

```
1
              selection, you would normally lose the services
2
              of your current Detailed Defense Counsel, that
3
              is, Major Bradley. You may, however, request
              that your current Detailed Defense Counsel remain
4
5
              on your case and the Chief Defense Counsel, in
              his sole discretion, may either grant or deny
6
7
              that request. Do you understand my explanation
8
              to you about Detailed Defense Counsel?
9
10
    [Affirmative response by ACC.]
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Let the record reflect an affirmative
13
              response.
14
15
              If you could just speak up----
16
17
    ACC:
              Yes.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: ----that would be helpful.
20
21
    ACC:
              Am I supposed to answer this question?
22
```

1 Presiding Officer: No, no, no, no. I heard you say, "Um, 2 hmm," which I took to be an affirmative response 3 that you understood what I told you. 4 5 Yes, I understand what you say. ACC: 6 7 Presiding Officer: Okay. Thank you. Detailed Defense 8 Counsel are provided to you free of charge. Do 9 you understand that? 10 11 ACC: "Free of charge," meaning? 12 13 Presiding Officer: Means you are required to pay nothing 14 for the services of Major Bradley or whoever it 15 is that you seek out as a Detailed Defense 16 Counsel. 17 18 ACC: What if I decide my Defense Counsel, which will 19 cost nothing, is British lawyer with a British 20 citizenship? Then what happens then? And I want 21 him to defend me because I don't believe that her 22 [pointing to the Defense Counsel] being an 23 American and a soldier, sworn enemy of mine, is

1		going to defend me. So then what happens? I
2		mean
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Let me finish the piece on the
5		detailed United States military Defense Counsel.
6		You said you understood your rights with regard
7		to being represented by her, who has been
8		assigned to you or if you wanted to select a
9		different one. Do you understand that, right?
10		
11	ACC:	Yeah we finished that one, right.
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: And you understand that with regard to
14		the milUnited States military counsel that are
15		provided to you, that costs you nothing?
16		
17	ACC:	I understand now. That means she's free.
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: I'm just trying to get past that point,
20		okay. Understand that. Now, I am going to talk
21		to you about civilian lawyers and then I'll get
22		to your question about military lawyers from
23		another country.

2 In addition to the Detailed Defense Counsel, 3 that's Major Bradley, you may be represented by a 4 qualified civilian lawyer. Pursuant to the rules 5 that have been established for these proceedings, 6 a civilian lawyer would represent you at no 7 expense to the government. To be qualified, he or she must be a United States citizen, admitted 8 9 to practice of law in a state, district, 10 territory, or possession of the United States or 11 a federal court; and may not have been sanctioned 12 or disciplined for any relevant misconduct; be 13 eligible--they must be eligible for a secret 14 clearance and agree in writing to comply with the 15 orders, rules, and regulations of the Military 16 Commissions. If a civilian lawyer represents 17 you, your Detailed Defense Counsel will continue to represent you as well as this Detailed Defense 18 19 Counsel--excuse me--will continue to represent 20 you and the Detailed Defense Counsel will be 21 permitted to be present during the presentation 22 of all evidence. Do you understand what I've 23 told you just now about civilian Defense Counsel?

1		
2	ACC:	This civilian counsel has to be an American?
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: It must be a United States
5		
6	ACC:	Citizen.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer:citizen. So you might have
9		circumstances where someone has dual citizenship,
10		and I
11		
12	ACC:	I understand.
13		
14	Presiding	Officer:cannot say for sure but I would
15		imagine that they would qualify as well. Iin
16		dual citizenshipso you'd have someone from
17		whatever country you want to name who is also a
18		United States citizen.
19		
20	ACC:	But
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: I think they fall on that category as
23		well.

```
1
2
              I mean, let's have this scenario. You are an
    ACC:
3
              American and I am not American. Why do I have to
4
              tell an American to defend me, man? But why
              that?
5
6
7
    Presiding Officer: That's not the rule. The rule for
8
              civilian Defense Counsel, they must be a United
9
              States citizen.
10
11
    ACC:
              Is this the rule on all the courts in the world
12
              or is it just----
13
    Presiding Officer: That's the rule in this court.
14
15
16
    ACC:
              ---here? Well, I'm not--I'm not----
17
18
    Presiding Officer: And, Mr. Muhammad--and that's what I
19
              would refer to you, and I understand your
20
              continuing objection to my use of that, okay, but
21
              I need to call you something and that's what I'm
22
              going to call you.
```

```
1
    ACC:
              Well, you can call me Count Dracula like they
2
              [pointing to the prosecution table] call me.
3
              I'm--I'm--I'm left content.
4
5
    Presiding Officer: Well, I won't be doing that.
6
7
    ACC:
              All right. But he can call me that. I mean, I
8
              would love him to call me that. I don't want him
9
              to call me Muhammad because if he can get my name
10
              wrong and they get the wrong person after 4 years
11
              of interrogation, I mean, that's slow; that's
12
              stupid.
13
14
              We can go into this question.
15
16
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Frankly, I forgot what your
17
              question was. Why don't you ask me again? I
18
              think it was a good one, but I just can't
19
              remember it.
20
21
              The question is, I am not an American----
    ACC:
22
```

Presiding Officer: Got it. I remember it.

1 2 ----and I have problem with trusting Americans ACC: 3 because I've been in custody of Americans for 4 4 years, directly and indirectly. I've been 5 abused. So I have a problem in trusting an 6 American, whether he be military or civilian. 7 And that's your rules; they show that there is-there is problems in this military, this--I am 8 9 not going to call it the name, but this room 10 here. So we have problem. How can I have--how 11 am I supposed to trust her to defend me and yet 12 she's under orders to be my enemy. I mean, it 13 doesn't make sense. And then an American 14 civilian, you could say, how? But then he's 15 patriotic, I mean, that's his nation, he has to defend it. So why don't I have the right to my 16 17 own lawyer, from my own nation, where I can have 18 trust? 19

20 **Presiding Officer:** I understand your explanation. But you have another problem, and that's that this is the rule that governs this commission, not made by me, but the rule under which we will be

1		operating. At some point, if your counsel seeks
2		to make a motion to complain about that rule and
3		seek other relief, they can do that, but right
4		now that's the rule we're using although I
5		understand your concern with that rule. But
6		there is nothing I am going to do about it right
7		now.
8		
9	ACC:	I meanlet me understand this. You're on that
10		seat and there is something called POM, which is-
11		
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: Presiding Officer's Memorandum, which
14		are the rules of court.
15		
16	ACC:	So that means you kind of make the rules in this
17		court?
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: The rule that we're talking about right
20		now, and that's the only rule we're talking about
21		right now with regard to the qualifications of
22		counsel, were not established by the Presiding
23		Officers. And I'veand I'm not going to debate

1 the rule with you because it just is what it is 2 right now. 3 4 ACC: I am not trying to debate the rule, you know, I'm 5 trying to say why I say you're reasonable, because a person who is reasonable would see that 6 7 there's problems and he has the power to change 8 them and then he was to weigh does this change 9 help his nation or does it destroy his nation, 10 then he makes that change. No, I'm not here to 11 argue with you on whether I'm a terrorist or not. 12 If you were trying to save lives, as I think that's the issue here, I mean, I think you should 13 14 have the right person in court. For 4 years of 15 interrogation and I'm the wrong person in court, 16 that's--that's not civilianized, that's a waste 17 of money, waste of intelligence. 18 19 Presiding Officer: With your----20 21 And that's propaganda. ACC: 22

1 Presiding Officer: With your concerns noted, do you understand the rules with regard to civilian 2 3 Defense Counsel? 4 5 I understand the rules. ACC: 6 7 Presiding Officer: I know you don't like them, but do you 8 understand them? 9 10 ACC: I had hoped that you'd say that maybe you try and 11 change them because you're being reasonable, but-12 Presiding Officer: If the defense makes a motion about 13 14 that, we'll take it up, but I'm not going to do 15 it based on a conversation with you right now. 16 17 ACC: I hoped to be----18 Presiding Officer: That would be inappropriate. 19 20 21 I'm not trying to be your enemy in court. I hope ACC: 22 you are not trying to see me as your enemy in 23 court. I'm trying to enlighten you to understand

1		why you have everything wrong and why probably I
2		have everything right. And then you should
3		listen to them and see why they have everything
4		right and I have everything wrong. And if I have
5		the chance to speak, then I can say it. But
6		then, if I don't have the chance to speak, then
7		we have a problem because I don't want her
8		[pointing to the Defense Counsel] as my lawyer
9		and the civilian lawyers, they are just my
10		advisors, they are not lawyers. They are
11		lawyers, but they are my advisors outside this
12		courtroom, so they can't talk on my behalf.
13		Understand? So I'm just trying to help you out.
14		I am not trying to be whatever you want to think
15		I am supposed to be.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: I understand. Do you understand the
18		rules with regard to civilian Defense Counsel
19		that we are operating under at this time?
20		
21	ACC:	Yes, and I'm happy that you understand, because
22		

1	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Now, you raised a question about
2		the British, and I don't know if you were talking
3		about British military lawyer or if you just
4		picked that out of, you know, as a country. A
5		military lawyer from another country, okay, I
6		haveI have not considered that and I don't know
7		how a request for certification by a military
8		attorney from another country would be received.
9		If that is your wishI don't know if you have a
10		particular person in mindI would recommend that
11		you make that request through your Detailed
12		Defense Counsel and then we'll see how thatsee
13		how that's to proceed.
14		
15	ACC:	Well, we have a problem here because the Detailed
16		Defense Counsel can't speak on my behalf because
17		she has a conflict, and I am sure that you have
18		the papers on the conflict. It's been there for
19		2 months now. And she can't-she can't speak on
20		my behalf. She can't even act on my behalf.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: We'rewe're

1	ACC:	So how do I get
2		
3	Presiding	Officer: We're going to get to that. Do you
4		understand what I just told you about who needs
5		to do that? And I understand youyou think
6		right now she's not going to be able to do that,
7		but do you understand what I'm telling you right
8		now about who is going to have to do that?
9		
10	ACC:	I understand.
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: Based on where we are right now with
13		her being your Detailed Defense Counsel, if she
14		ends up not being your Detailed Defense Counsel,
15		you know, and that certainly could change, that
16		would be another story. Right now, she is your
17		Detailed Defense Counsel.
18		
19	ACC:	As far as right now, she said she cannot
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: At 1010 on today, she is your Detailed
22		Defense Counsel, right?

```
1
    ACC:
              She said she is not able to talk on my behalf and
2
              she's explained that to me.
3
    Presiding Officer: Yes, she may be mistaken in that
4
5
              regard, okay?
6
7
    ACC:
              You have to ask her or not?
8
9
    Presiding Officer: Yes, we'll get there. All right?
10
11
              So as far as the British military lawyer, or--or
12
              from whatever country, Major Bradley, I would
13
              encourage you to explore that if that's in fact
14
              something he wants to do as opposed to just being
15
              a hypothetical question.
16
17
              Okay. Do you have any additional questions about
18
              your rights to counsel, about the rights to
19
              counsel? Not the -- we'll get to the application
              of those rights in a minute. Do you have any
20
21
              other questions about the rules as far as the
22
              rights to counsel at this time?
```

1 ACC: I'm--maybe I'm mistaken about--could you explain 2 what the rights are? I mean, maybe I--I don't 3 want to look stupid in court. 4 5 Presiding Officer: No, not at all. 6 7 ACC: What is this rights you're talking about? 8 Because I would have been 4 years without rights 9 and now all of the sudden I got rights. I am 10 surprised. 11 12 Presiding Officer: If at any time during these proceedings 13 you are confused, okay, you should ask for recess 14 and then you can discuss things with your 15 In this case, I am going to explain counsel. 16 them to you again because they are actually 17 pretty concisely stated here in the trial guide. 18 But at any time during the proceedings that you 19 need to talk with your counsel about something, 20 you need to let me know and then we'll provide an 21 opportunity for you at an appropriate time. 22 Okay?

```
1
    [Affirmative response by ACC.]
2
3
    Presiding Officer: First, the one was--the right about a
4
              military--detailed military counsel, and I
5
              explained to you the right with regard to the
              Detailed Defense Counsel. And do you recall that
6
7
              explanation?
8
9
    ACC:
              Yeah.
10
11
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Do you want me to read it to you
12
              again?
13
14
    ACC:
              I don't want to--to go too deep into this because
15
              my interest--you said I have a right. But, where
16
              did that come from? I have -- I have no right.
17
              I've had--I haven't have rights for 4 years. If
18
              I have rights, I don't think I would have been
19
              touring the world. I mean, what's this right?
20
              Could you explain what is --what is the right--
21
              what is rights? I mean, she can't explain it
22
              because I've asked her.
```

1 Presiding Officer: I'll restate. I'll restate those to 2 you. Pursuant to Military Commission Order 3 Number 1, that's where the right comes from, you 4 are represented by Major Bradley, your Detailed 5 Defense Counsel. You may also request that a 6 different military lawyer represent you. If the 7 person you request is reasonably available, that 8 other person will be appointed to represent you 9 as your Detailed Defense Counsel. If you are represented by this other Detailed Defense 10 11 Counsel of your own selection, you would normally 12 lose the services of your current Detailed 13 Defense Counsel, Major Bradley. However, you 14 could request that Major Bradley continue to 15 represent you along with the counsel that you selected. And then, if you did that, the Chief 16 17 Defense Counsel would have the discretion of 18 either grant or deny that request. I advise you 19 that Detailed Defense Counsel are provided to you 20 free of charge. I also advised you that, in 21 addition to a Detailed Defense Counsel, you may represented by--you may be represented by 22 23 qualified civilian lawyer. A civilian lawyer

1		would represent you at no expense to the
2		government, and to be qualified he musthe or
3		she must be a United States citizen; admitted to
4		practice the practice of law in a state,
5		district, territory, or possession of the United
6		States or a federal court; and may not have been
7		sanctioned or disciplined for any relevant
8		misconduct. They must be eligible for a secret
9		clearance and agree, in writing, to comply with
10		the orders, rules, and regulations of the
11		Military Commissions. If the civilian lawyer
12		represents you, your Detailed Defense Counsel
13		pursuant to Military Commission Order Number 1
14		will continue to represent you as well, and the
15		Detailed Defense Counsel will be permitted to be
16		present during the presentation of all evidence.
17		
18		So I've restated those rights to you and we've
19		talked about them. Do you understand them?
20		
21	ACC:	Can I ask who is giving me these rights? I mean,
22		is it an organization?

```
1
   Presiding Officer: It is published by Military Commission
2
              Order Number 1.
3
4
              So it's from your government? Your government is
    ACC:
5
              giving me the rights to this or, I mean, where is
              it coming from? I haven't had that book, so--
6
7
8
   Presiding Officer: Military Commission Order Number 1 is
9
              signed by the Secretary of Defense of the United
10
              States.
11
12
   ACC:
             Colin Powell?
13
14
   Presiding Officer: It's Donald Rumsfeld.
15
16
    ACC:
              See, if it was Colin Powell, I would say that's
17
              unreasonable. Rumsfeld, that's reasonable.
18
19
   Presiding Officer: Okay. Any other questions about your
20
              rights to counsel?
21
22
   ACC: So far, I've got no rights.
```

```
1
    Presiding Officer: I did not hear you.
2
3
              The rights that you're talking about, I don't see
4
              them as rights.
5
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Do you----
6
7
8
    ACC:
              I see them----
9
    Presiding Officer: ---have any question about the matters
10
11
              I've just discussed with you about the counsel?
12
13
    ACC:
              No.
14
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Do you desire to be represented
15
16
              by--well, let me ask you this. By whom do you
17
              wish to be represented in this matter?
18
19
    ACC:
              Is it my desire or is it something you're going
20
              to force upon me?
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Right now, I am asking you who do you
23
              wish to be represented by in this matter?
```

```
2
              In this case here?
    ACC:
3
    Presiding Officer: In this Military Commission case, which
4
5
              we are starting here today. This is our first
6
              session here.
7
8
              See, I don't want to be----
    ACC:
9
10
    Presiding Officer: And it's going to go on for some time,
11
              I would think.
12
13
    ACC:
              I understand. I don't want to go into offensive
14
              like he [pointing to the prosecution table] does
15
              and I've read some of his stories, I don't know
16
              if it's offensive as I read it--well, I would,
17
              but I don't consider this place as a Commission.
18
              So, I mean, I'll call it something else, but not
19
              right now. So I'm referring to this place as
20
              "the room." You can call it whatever you want.
21
              As you said, you have to refer to me a Muhammad
22
              even though that's not my name, so I have to
```

refer to this place as a place even though that's not the real name of the place.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

I wish no representation by anyone to defend me in this case because they have no idea what the case is. The only person who can defend himself is the one who is Accused. I am the one who is I have the answers here, not her, and Accused. not the other two people over there. And you will never get the answers from her or from the other two people even though we take them to Brooklyn and raise up there then. I have the answers here, not them. So when you ask me that I have the right to counsel, I wish no right to counsel. That right came from your government and your government has appointed me as an enemy. I don't take the lawyer from my enemy to defend Take it from our perspective. She comes in me. here, she defends me, I get acquitted, she becomes the enemy of America. I come in here, I got found guilty, she becomes the hero of That's the big issue here. It is my America. right, as you say. You asked me, "Do you wish to

1		be defended by counsel?" It's my wish; I don't
2		want it. If you are going to oppose it, you're
3		going to subject me to having a lawyer here,
4		that's really bad. Even though it's the rule,
5		it's really bad because that's not what a lawyer
6		is. A lawyer doesn't dictate what happens in
7		this room I dictate. Like you dictate what
8		happens in your courtroom, I dictate what happens
9		in my case. When you subject her to do what is
10		not right, that's immoral.
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Soso when my question is, by
12 13	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Soso when my question is, by whom do you wish to be represented
	Presiding	
13	Presiding ACC:	
13 14		whom do you wish to be represented
13 14 15	ACC:	whom do you wish to be represented
13 14 15 16	ACC:	whom do you wish to be represented I answered that question.
13 14 15 16 17	ACC:	whom do you wish to be represented I answered that question. Officer:in this caseyou've told me you
13 14 15 16 17 18	ACC:	whom do you wish to be represented I answered that question. Officer:in this caseyou've told me you don't want to be represented by Major Bradley, is
13 14 15 16 17 18	ACC:	whom do you wish to be represented I answered that question. Officer:in this caseyou've told me you don't want to be represented by Major Bradley, is

```
1
              understand it, but English English says, "I--
2
              wish--no--representation."
3
    Presiding Officer: Now, in the time leading up to our
4
5
              hearing today, there have been two lawyers who
              have made representations to this commission,
6
7
              that they--let me finish.
8
9
              No, no, I'm just surprised.
    ACC:
10
11
    Presiding Officer: Okay.
12
13
    ACC:
              There's no--
14
    Presiding Officer: Okay, that's fine.
15
16
17
    ACC:
              When I talk, then let's talk.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: There's two lawyers that have made
20
              representations that they have been--that they
21
              are representing you in this case. They have
22
              indicated that they have been speaking for you.
23
              And they are Mr. Stafford Smith----
```

```
1
2
   ACC: Yes.
3
   Presiding Officer: And a Professor Marguiles. Did you at
4
5
             any time authorize them to make representations
6
             on your behalf to this Commission?
7
8
   ACC: These commissions? No.
9
10
   Presiding Officer: Do you wish for them to represent you
             in this matter?
11
12
13
   ACC: To advise me?
14
   Presiding Officer: To represent you.
15
16
17
   ACC: To be in front, here, and talking to you----
18
19
   Presiding Officer: Or make----
20
21
   ACC: ---on my behalf?
```

```
1
   Presiding Officer: Or make--representation includes any
2
              kind of communication with this Commission.
3
4
              Any kind of communication with these commissions?
    ACC:
5
6
    Presiding Officer: In court or through documents.
7
8
             No communications with you or with these
   ACC:
9
              commissions.
10
11
    Presiding Officer: Okay. And you----
12
13
    ACC:
             They're my advisors.
14
15
    Presiding Officer: ----don't want them speak--and they
16
              don't want you--you don't want them speaking on
17
              your behalf?
18
19
    ACC:
              No, there is--this is a technical term you're
20
              using now, because we have the habeas lawyers and
21
              we have now the Commission lawyers, right?
22
23
    Presiding Officer: That's the distinction. If----
```

```
1
2
              If Clive--if Clive goes outside and starts
    ACC:
3
              speaking on my behalf as a habeas lawyer, even
              though is concerning this case, that doesn't make
4
              him my Commission lawyer, does it? It doesn't.
5
              He's my habeas lawyer. As far as I'm concerned,
6
7
              Clive and Joe----
8
9
    Presiding Officer: And that is Mr. Stafford Smith?
10
11
    ACC:
              Yes. They are my----
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Then why don't we use that----
14
15
    ACC:
              ----habeas lawyers.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: ----because this is a rather formal
18
              proceeding, okay?
19
20
    ACC:
              I understand, but they are my habeas lawyers.
              I've never put them in the court. I never said
21
22
              they are lawyers here.
```

1	Presiding	Officer: I understand.
2		
3	ACC:	So I don't know where you're getting this one, I
4		don't know if he has given the wrong information
5		about it.
6		
7	Presiding	Officer: No. I've got this from Mr. Stafford
8		Smith. He has made numerous filings on your
9		behalf, but I understand now that you said that
10		was without your authorization, is that correct?
11		
12	ACC:	I have not given them authorization to come into
13		this room and represent me in this room.
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: Okay.
16		
17	ACC:	We're having a problem with the English term. I
18		would
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Well, andandhe's either
21		representing you before this Commission or he is
22		not, okay, and that includes any kind of filings
23		or any kind of communication.

2 ACC: Okay. So that means that we have to, right now, 3 make it clear that his representation means filing and all that stuff because we did not 4 5 understand. This is all new to us, you understand? There's never been an American 6 7 Commission. I never heard of this kind of--I'm 8 going to--I want to understand it for me. For 9 him to file something on my behalf on this case, 10 does it make him be my representative in this 11 case or does it mean he can be my speaker outside 12 or my advisor. What does it--what's the----13 14 Presiding Officer: In order for him to participate in any 15 fashion in this Commission proceeding, through 16 filings or appearances in court, he must be 17 representing you in this matter, which he has 18 represented to the Commission he was doing. 19 20 Okay, sir. ACC:

1

1	Presiding	Officer: Now, that was a misunderstanding
2		between you and him and we're going to clarify
3		that right now.
4		
5	ACC:	We have to clarify that one because I want to
6		clarify it.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: Can you tell me, do you want him as
9		your representative in this Commission?
10		
11	ACC:	I want him as an advisor outside this room
12		because I am new to this; I don't know what the
13		heck is going on here and I need to know because
14		you are talking law and I am not a lawyer. But
15		him to represent me in this room and answer your
16		questions, that I don't allow it.
17		
18	ACC:	Okay.
19		
20	DC:	Your Honor, if I could just comment, because I
21		think what he'swhat he's saying, and this is
22		based in Faretta versus California, that he wants
23		the right to self-represent himself in this room.

but that he wants to keep Mr. Clive StaffordSmith as for--and as well as Professor Joseph
Marguiles as advisors. They just cannot speak in
this room, as he calls it. [Conferring with the
Accused.] Mr. Muhammad calls it in this room.

He wants to be the one speaking in this room and
he has a right to self-representation and to
speak in this room, which is one reason why I
have been silent along with the conflict issue
because Mr. Muhammad wants to speak on his own.

Presiding Officer: Okay. As you should be aware, under Commission law, he does not have the right to self-representation. If the defense wishes to make a motion challenging that rule or any other rule, you're free to do it and we'll take it up, but we are not going to change the way we're operating based on some such assertion of a right which doesn't really exist. And what we are going to focus on right now is whether or not the representations of Mr. Stafford-Smith, made through his notice of appearance filed with this Commission, and the same with Professor

1 Marguiles, are accurate or if they were the 2 result of some sort of misunderstanding or if 3 they are just plain inaccurate. 4 5 They are not inaccurate; it is not a DC: misunderstanding. It is the understanding that 6 they have the right to file on Mr. Muhammad's 7 behalf and, again, I'll let Mr. Muhammad speak on 8 9 that because I don't want to put words in his 10 But in this room, Mr. Muhammad wants to 11 be the captain of the ship. So there have been 12 no incorrect filings or incorrect 13 misrepresentation by Defense Counsel, and I want 14 the record clear on that, that we don't leave here believing that Mr. Muhammad has not 15 16 authorized or has not--that any filings have been 17 filed in this case, which have been numerous by 18 the defense, and particularly with the conflict 19 issue, has inaccurately been filed. So I want 20 the record very clear on that because----21 Presiding Officer: Well, what the record is----22

1	DC:	I believe that's where I've been hearing that
2		you're saying that there's been some
3		misrepresentation or perhaps some fraud by
4		counsel and I want that very clear, particularly
5		because we have ethical issues involved in this
6		case, many ethical problems that have arisen and
7		they have not been in the side of the defense. I
8		want to make that very clear that there had-
9		haven't been any inappropriate behavior by the
10		defense on this matter.
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: Okay. Now, I am not trying to make the
13		case anywhat I'm trying to do is clarify
14		because the clear statement I have from your
15		client is that he at no time authorized Mr.
16		Stafford Smith or Professor Marguiles to make any
17		representations on his behalf to this Commission.
18		Now, if we need to clarify that, we're
19		
20	DC:	But that's a mistake
21		
4 1		

```
1
    DC:
             ----that's a misstatement----
2
3
    Presiding Officer: No, no, no. Stop. Do not interrupt
4
              me, you understand? Now, if that is somehow a
              misunderstanding, we do need to clarify that and
5
              what I'll do is offer you an opportunity right
6
7
              now if you want to step out, take a recess, and
8
              talk about that with your client.
9
10
    DC:
              Yes, sir. Let's take a recess and talk about--
11
              oh, well, sorry. Mr. Muhammad----
12
13
              We don't need to go for recess for this. I can
    ACC:
14
              do it right now here. You don't need to waste
15
              another 5 minutes outside.
16
17
              Representation, as I understand it to my
18
              language, was to represent me in here. To file
19
              motions, that's something else. I authorize them
20
              to file motions----
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Okay.
```

2 and speak on my behalf. 3 Presiding Officer: Okay. I am glad we had this talk, 4 5 okay, because you are mistaken about how this is going to work. They either are going to be 6 7 representing you in this matter, in which case they will be required to be here for all--at 8 9 counsel table, for all sessions of the Commission unless excused by me, and I would not do that 10 11 without your consent to that. Well, they are not 12 going to be--and for the case, they will not be 13 representing you on some matters from outside the 14 court and then not being here, okay. Now, with that clarification of how this is going to work, 15 would you like some time to talk with your attor-16 17 -your Detailed Defense Counsel about this or 18 perhaps even Mr. Stafford Smith and Professor 19 Marguiles, since they happen to be in the area? 20 Do you want some time to talk about that? 21 22 [Conferring with his counsel.] All right. ACC: Wе 23 take a recess, sir.

----but I do not authorize them to stand up here

1

ACC:

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: How much time do you think you need?
3
4
              I don't know. This is the first time I've been
    ACC:
5
              in this situation.
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Fifteen minutes sound okay?
8
9
    [Affirmative response indicated by ACC.]
10
    Presiding Officer: Okay. Sounds good to me. It is 1030
11
12
              and we'll seek to go gavel down, I mean, starting
13
              again at 1045.
14
15
              The court's in recess.
16
17
    The Commission hearing recessed at 1030, 6 April 2006.
18
19
    The Commission hearing was called to order at 1046,
20
    6 April 2006.
21
```

```
1
    Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order. All
2
              parties present when we recessed are again
3
              present.
4
5
              All right. Mr. Muhammad, have you had an
              opportunity to talk about these things with your
6
7
              counsel?
8
9
              Yes, sir, I have had.
    ACC:
10
    Presiding Officer: Okay. And--well, let me just go back
11
12
              and ask you, then. By whom do you wish to be
13
              represented in this matter?
14
15
    ACC:
              And we still have a problem because in the 15-
16
              minute discussion we had I've been told that 90
17
              percent of lawyers, civilian lawyers in America
18
              or anywhere in the world, don't have to be in the
19
              courtroom with their client, their job is
20
              outside. And in your rules, POMs, there's no
21
              rule that says a civilian lawyer has to be inside
22
              the room.
```

```
1
   Presiding Officer: Actually, there is.
2
3
              I haven't read it.
   ACC:
4
   Presiding Officer: Well, you're going to have to trust me
5
6
              on this one. Actually, there is, and whether
7
              someone disagrees with that rule or not is
8
              another matter.
9
10
   ACC:
             How can I trust you and you are trying to wayward
11
              this--trust is a big thing. Because you stand up
12
              there doesn't mean that I trust you.
13
14
   Presiding Officer: Well----
15
16
    ACC:
              Your government called me a terrorist, and yet
17
              I'm supposed to be innocent. Where's the trust
18
              here? Trust is not something--
19
20
   Presiding Officer: By whom do you wish to be represented
21
              in this matter?
```

1 ACC: Well, she can clarify what the problem is,
2 because I--I don't speak lawyer language and she
3 can try and--and afterwards you can ask me
4 because I've been advised, I've been told that
5 these laws that say the civilian lawyer doesn't
6 have to be in court.

7

8 Presiding Officer: Okay. Major Bradley?

9

10 Yes, Your Honor. In--in the 15-minute discussion DC: 11 that I had with Mr. Muhammad, I mean, I couldn't 12 name one case in where an individual cannot have 13 a legal advisor. I mean, if this court can name 14 a case, I'll be more than happy to--to take that 15 understanding. Yes, I mean, there are many cases 16 where the individual goes in the courtroom; the 17 lawyer is not there with the individual and most 18 of the work is done outside the courtroom. 19 seems as what the Commission law is trying to do 20 is say, "Hey, you got" -- an individual has to have 21 representation in the courtroom but can't have an 22 advisor, and I don't understand that because I 23 don't think that is the law. And if you would

1		like me to brief it, we would brief the issue;
2		but I don't understand how, if this is where
3		you're stating that the Commission law is or
4		you're stating from the bench that he cannot have
5		legal advisors and speak for himself in court.
6		It flies contrary to 17 years of practice. And I
7		tried to explain that to him; of course, he's not
8		a lawyer, he doesn't have 17 years of experience.
9		You probably have a lot more. But I would
10		challenge the court to name one case where an
11		individual does not have a right to have legal
12		advisors.
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: Major Bradley, we are governed here by
15		Commission law, okay, and
16		
17	DC:	But II notthe problem is I don't understand
18		Commission law. I mean, I don't think anyone
19		understands Commission law and that's a problem.
20		I have to go by 17 years of experience of law, of
21		legal case of precedence. There is nothing of
22		that here, so when you send me back to advise him
23		on something, I can only tell him what has

```
1
              existed, not what is being formulated -- created.
2
              So, I mean, if we can brief the issue and look at
3
              what law is out there and make some comparison,
4
              I'd be more than happy to do it, but you ask me
5
              to look into everywhere. I think all of us are
              venturing to what doesn't really exists other
6
7
              than what comes out of these MCIs and these POMs
8
              every once in a while.
9
10
    Presiding Officer: You just interrupted me again.
11
12
              I apologize for that, sir. I just--I'm trying to
    DC:
13
              be--I apologize.
14
    Presiding Officer: And you just interrupted me again. You
15
16
              need to stop doing that. Do you understand that?
17
18
    DC:
              I apologize. I--I---
19
20
    Presiding Officer: I hear you apologizing. Do you
21
              understand--stand up.
22
23
    [The DC did as directed.]
```

1 2 Presiding Officer: Do you understand that you need to stop 3 interrupting me? 4 5 DC: Yes. 6 Presiding Officer: We are governed here by Commission law. 7 8 Your statement that you don't understand it does 9 not obviate the fact that it exists and it is the 10 rules that we are governed by. Per Commission 11 law, if counsel are representing a client here, 12 you're going to be here for all the hearings 13 unless you're removed from the case by a 14 competent authority. That's very clear. With 15 regard to civilian Defense Counsel, they are going to be here for all the hearings unless they 16 17 are excused by the Presiding Officer after a 18 discussion about a waiver of their appearance 19 with the Accused. That is the rule of the court 20 and that is rule until, more than less, you all 21 submit a motion properly, in accordance with POM

4-3, and then you can sign whatever you want.

Until such time there's a ruling that changes the

22

```
1
              existing Commission law made up by the
2
              Presidential military order and the MCIs, the
3
              MCOs, and the rules of court established by the
4
              POMs, that's where we are. I am not asking now
5
              if you agree with it. Do you understand what I
6
              just told you?
7
8
    DC:
              Yes.
9
10
    Presiding Officer: Do you need to go back and discuss that
11
              further with your client before I ask him whether
12
              he wants anyone other than you representing him
13
              on this case?
14
15
    DC:
              No.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Did I say something that is amusing
18
              you?
19
20
              I--no. I mean, I--I--it's----
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Okay.
                               Then----
23
```

```
1
   DC: ----my facial expression.
2
3
    Presiding Officer: ----then, what you need to do--this is
4
             very serious business, Major Bradley, and your
5
             grin is inappropriate. Do you understand me?
6
7
   DC:
             I didn't realize I was grinning, that it was
8
             inappropriate to the court.
9
   Presiding Officer: Okay. You were and it is. Do you
10
11
             understand?
12
13
           No, I don't, because I didn't realize that I was
   DC:
14
             doing a grin that was----
15
16
   Presiding Officer: Fair enough.
17
18
    DC: ---inappropriate to the court.
19
20
   Presiding Officer: I am advising you, you need to take
21
             better charge of your facial expressions when
22
             you're dealing with this court. Do you
23
             understand that?
```

1 2 DC: Again, I didn't realize--I'm just--is this 3 appropriate now [making a facial expression] 4 because I am not quite sure of what was 5 appropriate. And I am not trying to be disrespectful. I am not. 6 7 8 Presiding Officer: Okay. Well, I'm just advising you that 9 your grin, while I was explaining the rules, was 10 inappropriate. And if you weren't aware of it, 11 there it is, and now--now you've been--you've 12 received my constructive criticism on that point. 13 I hope you take it to heart. That's all; we can 14 just leave it at that. 15 16 Now, we'll go back to the question. So it's been 17 explained to you what the rules are. I've 18 explained that to your counsel and explained that 19 whatever was told to you about what happens 20 somewhere else is not what is governing us here. 21 Based on that, you should understand--based on 22 what you've told me about and what you've 23 demonstrated to me as far as your use of the

English language, that if you want anyone other than your Detailed Defense Counsel representing you in this matter, you need to tell me that now and, if you do want them representing you, they will be here at that table for all sessions of the court unless excused. They don't have to say anything while they're sitting there; they don't have to do anything while they're sitting there, okay. You will be represented at a minimum in these proceedings by your Detailed Defense Counsel because the rules do not provide for you to represent yourself. And all I'm trying to establish now, because I'm taking it one step at a time, right, is whether you want anyone other than Major Bradley representing you because what I've heard from you so far is that you don't want her representing you. Got it. She's going to represent you anyway, she's the Detailed Defense Counsel. And unless something else changes, you know, she's mentioned some ethical concerns or something else, she's the Detailed Defense Counsel and she has the responsibility to represent you. Some other people have said they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 represent you and you've told me you never authorized them to do that. Okay, that's fine. 2 3 4 Now I want to--I'm just going to ask you again. 5 Who do you want to represent you in this matter? 6 7 ACC: I can talk right now? 8 Presiding Officer: Yes, I'm finished. 9 10 11 ACC: Then, first of all, I'm happy that she stood up 12 and there and said she's confused, and I can understand why she's confused about these 13 14 commissions because this is not a Commission, this is a con-mission, is a mission to con the 15 world, and that's what it is, you understand. 16 17 [Waiving paper saying "CONN MISSIONS and showing 18 it to the parties and persons of the gallery.] 19 This is a con mission; it's all it is. Now, if 20 this was a Commission, you should understand how 21 to deal with the matter, because she's been in 22 the commissions for I think 17 years. Now, since

this is a con-mission, which is new to her, it

doesn't bother me. You can execute me tomorrow, but don't try and cheat the world of what this really is.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

This place has got great implications. You start playing around with con-missions around the world, you started here then tomorrow we have another one in Canada and then the next day have another in Australia and the next day have it somewhere, another place, where certain noncitizens have to oblige by some POMs that you write in what I call it con-missions. great implications. That's like the person who built the bomb, the H-bomb. When he built it, he just built it. He didn't know that it was going to be used to kill over 300,000 people in Nagasaki and Hiroshima, right. Now, you're standing there and you're telling me is moral to be in a Commission, which she doesn't even understand what the heck is a Commission because this doesn't look like a Commission, this looks like a con-mission here. You have a conadministration, which is trying to con the world,

to get reelected, and the only way they can do that is to convict 500 people.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

Your job is not to convict me. Your job is to find out what's the truth. Is it appropriate to convict one person for the sake of propaganda? And yet, this becomes a bad disease, this becomes like a religion, just sweeping our nation. like a religion is just a mission, all the old buildings in which we practiced religious they are going to convert it to the new religion. And if this con-mission is allowed to proceed and to judge people, then tomorrow you're going to have courts around the world turning to be conmissions. This is the real issue. It is not about one terrorist, it is about what you are doing to the world. You said--there is a saying that says preach what's practiced. You're preaching something and then practice something else. America doesn't preach democracy and then creates a con-mission because it just wants certain non-citizens to be convicted.

23

I didn't ask for a trial. You can kill me tomorrow; I don't really care. But then I have an obligation to the world that such crap cannot be accepted because this, I have the right around not to say it, but then somebody in Uganda, when there's a con-mission which is set out to judge him, he doesn't have the right to say something. This is about religion. This is coming from people who are supposed to be looked up. And I don't think you, as a reasonable person, can sit up there and tell me we don't have a problem. have great problems here. If I told you, you have a wife but you're stuck because you can't sleep with your wife for some reason and you're in heat. So you what, you go to a prostitute? Is that morally legal? That's no more. this is what your regime is doing. You still in heat and he wants to convict people, so he sets up a con-mission trying to convict people and is immoral. And a person who is married who goes and commits adultery with some prostitute, doesn't normally loses the respect, loses his wife, his kids, probably his job, and sooner or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

later he's going to kill himself because he can't stand it. We can't sit down here and say, you know what, George Bush is in a ship and he's captain of the ship and somebody tells him, "You know what, the ship's sinking, go back to base and mend it," and he says, "You know what, I'm captain of this ship, full steam ahead." That ships sinks, America. And if you are here trying to sink America, I have a problem with it. But as a reasonable person as you are, you should sit down and think what are you really doing. Is it one big, bad terrorist who we can't even find out it's him, it's Mohamed or Muhammad, you don't even have the right information on him after 4 years of torture, or is it trying to win a war which has been lost a long time ago.

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

If you think your war, you are going to win the war by convicting 10 people here, and another 500, that is very stupid. I am not saying, you. I am saying your government.

1 I asked them, "Who is this judge?" Because I 2 wanted to know who is the judge. I found out 3 this from this original person. I think you have 4 an obligation to your country to find out, are we 5 really doing the right thing. Is this the sort of thing we want to run the world? Because what 6 7 happens in America happens around the world. 8 9 I give you an example. Iran goes around saying, "I have to have a nuclear bomb." America says, 10 11 "You know what, you can't, because we are 12 stronger than you are." Iran says, "You had a 13 bomb. Why can't I have a bomb?' 14 15 So tomorrow you are going to have Australia saying, "You know what, you had a Con-mission. 16 Why can't I have a Con-mission?" When are you 17 18 going to stop this? This is not the way to deal 19 with this issue. 20 21 That is why I don't want to call this place a 22 courtroom, because I don't think it is a 23 courtroom. This is a Con-mission. If you are

running around trying to calm the world, at least you should have got experts, not him, who can get wrong names. Try and make it professional, because it is really stupid. This shows. I am not too sure about—I don't think you want to be responsible for such a thing, and maybe sometime, 10 or 20 years, you will have Commissions and this Commission, their names will be Ralph Kohlmanns and we will not be having prosecutors, we will be having slayers, that is a vampires names, and you won't be having attorneys, they are going to be Bradleys and Fleeners and Swifts in the street.

Have you ever sat down and thought of the big issue of what we are really doing? What are we really doing. I don't think your kids are going to be proud to hear that there is a Ralph Kohlmann who is on this Commission. As I don't think the kids of Hitler are happy to for them to be called, "You know, your father is the one that started this shit?"

```
1
              People in stone house----
2
3
    Presiding Officer: I thought you were done there for a
4
              minute.
5
6
              No, no. I am trying to figure out what to say.
    ACC:
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Okay, but you have to wrap it up
9
              though, okay.
10
11
    ACC:
              Yes, sir.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Because I have some things that I need
14
              to say.
15
16
    ACC:
              People in glass houses shouldn't throw stones.
17
              And you, as America, can't be throwing stones and
18
              be expecting that some little child comes and
19
              throws a marble and cracks your window, does that
20
              scream of a big terror and a 9-1-1. That is not
21
              the way it is.
```

1	Now we forget everything until today. We talk
2	about this place here. This is a bad place. I
3	mean it. I am sure you wouldn't agree with it
4	because if you was arrested somewhere in Arabia
5	and Bin Laden says, you know what, you are my
6	enemy but I am going to force you to have a
7	lawyer and I give you some bearded turban person,
8	I don't think you will agree with that.
9	
10	Forget what rules, regulations, and crap, you
11	wouldn't deal with that. That is where we are.
12	This is a bad place. You are in charge of it. I
13	don't know if you want to be a general. Because
14	I can tell something, if you want to be a general
15	you have to go with this, but if you want to stay
16	as colonel, like you are, you have to make real
17	big decisions here.
18	
19	I am done. You can stop looking at the watch.
20	
21	[The ACC placed a single sheet of paper that read "CONN
22	MISSIONS," in the direction of the gallery.]

```
1
   Presiding Officer: I am not going to let you put up signs.
2
3
   ACC: It is not in the POMs.
4
5
   Presiding Officer: Do you understand?
6
7
    ACC: I know but it is----
8
9
   Presiding Officer: You need to put that down, or we are
10
             going to have to put it away.
11
12
   ACC: But it is not in the POMs not to put up signs.
13
14
   Presiding Officer: I am telling you that you need to put
15
             it down or it is going to be put away.
16
17
   ACC: So it is a new POM.
18
   Presiding Officer: Mr. Muhammad, the POMs are rules of
19
20
             court, okay. I can establish additional rules of
21
             court for the conduct of these proceedings, okay?
```

1	ACC:	Okay, so we have a new POM. No more signs in the
2		court? Because I want to follow the rules. I
3		don't want to be here and you think, oh look at
4		this idiot. You said, "POMs." I asked about the
5		POMs. There is nowhere where it says that I can
6		not have a sign in the court. Now if there is,
7		and if there is not, just tell me, because I will
8		not do it.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: I am telling you as the Presiding
11		Officer in charge of the proceeding, that we are
12		not going to have signs held up.
13		
14	ACC:	Okay, sir, that is a new rule.
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: Okay, and I allowed you hold it around,
17		okay. But we are not going to be propping things
18		up.
19		
20	ACC:	New POM.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Do you understand that?

1 ACC: New POM. 2 3 Presiding Officer: Your discussion there was unresponsive 4 to my question, and I will get back to the 5 question in a just a moment. I allowed you to go on for some time there on matters that have 6 7 nothing to do with my question, because I understand that you may well have been thinking 8 9 about the things that you wanted to say about 10 these proceedings. 11 12 And, I have allowed you, in my view, a lot of leave to just talk. And I didn't need to do 13 14 that, but I did that because I understand the 15 circumstances, which might want you to do that. 16 17 There is a limit to how much leave I will provide 18 in that regard, and when I do, you are also going 19 to have to be guided by appropriate standards of 20 civility, which, in my view would not include 21 discussions of hypotheticals that include members 22 of my family, which would not include comparing

me to historical figures, and which would not

```
1
              include the use of words like, "crap" or "shit,"
2
              okay? That is impolite conduct.
3
4
              That is impolite.
    ACC:
5
    Presiding Officer: And you did all of those things just
6
7
              now and you need to stop doing that. Okay, I
8
              understand that maybe you didn't understand
9
              standards, which I am going to require, but now I
10
              have explained those things to you and I would
11
              expect that you would not use profane language or
12
              engage in discussions of things involving members
              of my family. Otherwise, you are not going to be
13
14
              allowed the leave that I have been granting you,
15
              okay. I will need to clamp down on that a little
16
              bit.
17
18
    ACC:
              Let me have----
19
20
    Presiding Officer: And I would rather be able to provide
21
              you opportunities to speak from time to time.
22
```

So no more family.

23

ACC:

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: You want to write it down, yeah. Don't
3
              talk about the Presiding Officer's family.
4
5
              No family.
    ACC:
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Don't use bad language. You can make
8
              your point, "I think the rules for the
9
              proceedings are absurd." Okay, that works just
10
              as well as, "This is crap." Okay?
11
12
    ACC:
              So----
13
14
    Presiding Officer: And you seem like a rather articulate
15
              fellow to me and I think you can probably make
16
              your points without bad language.
17
18
    ACC:
              Actually, Islam teaches us not to use bad
19
              language, but----
20
21
    Presiding Officer: I didn't hear you.
22
```

```
1
    ACC:
              Islam teaches us not to use bad language but
2
              sometimes I can't control, so it just comes out.
3
    Presiding Officer: Okay, well try.
4
5
6
    ACC:
              I am trying to restrain myself as you are trying
7
              to restrain yourself, but I just--I want to
8
              understand. You say, "impolite," and I think it
9
              is impolite to have a person tell you that 99
10
              percent of the people outside have lawyers, yet
11
              you they don't have them in the room. And you
12
              say, "You know what, I don't care." I think that
13
              is impolite. I think you should say, "I think,
14
              you know what, I have to go and make an
15
              investigation on this."
16
17
    Presiding Officer: I will give you one minute here.
18
              Because you are kind of starting up again, all
19
              right.
20
21
    ACC:
              I don't need it.
```

1 Presiding Officer: All right. So, I hear what you are 2 saying, but the bottom line is that it is not 3 responsive to my question. I understand that you 4 don't like the rules. I have explained to you what needs to be done to challenge the rules. 5 That is a motion by counsel to this court, and so 6 7 I am back to the question again. Do you want 8 anyone other than Major Bradley representing you 9 in this case? Because it is not going to be they 10 file things when they want to and don't show up 11 when they don't want to. It is either they are 12 representing you or they are not. 13 14 They are not----ACC: 15 16 Presiding Officer: It is really very simple. 17 18 ACC: They are not representing me here under my 19 orders. As far as I was told, I have the right 20 to give them an instruction, which they have to 21 follow. Now I instructed them not to be sitting 22 with me.

1	Presiding	Officer: Okay, you don't have that right. You
2		have the right, very clearly, to request to be
3		represented by civilian Defense Counsel, and if
4		they are qualified in accordance with the rules
5		for this proceeding, which apparently Mr.
6		Stafford Smith and Mr. Marguiles are, because
7		they have been certified by the Chief Defense
8		Counsel, who is the person that has to do that;
9		then you can be represented by them.
10		
11		And, if you want them to represent you and you
12		have come to an agreement with you on how that is
13		going to be done as far as payment or nonpayment
14		or whatever, they are going to represent you.
15		But then, it is fully, not you picking when they
16		are going to be here and not be here. That is
17		not the way it works.
18		
19	ACC:	Okay I will
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: And you go ahead andfrankly I don't
22		really need to hear you complain about the rules
23		any more because I understand that

1		
2	ACC:	This is not complaining.
3		
4	Presiding	Officer:you don't like the rules.
5		
6	ACC:	This is not complaining. I am not going to
7		complain.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: Okay.
10		
11	ACC:	Since I didn't understand the rules and I was
12		confused. Do I have the right to reserve this
13		question for next time, because I can't make a
14		decision on that right now because I am confused?
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: Well, what
17		
18	ACC:	You are telling me something and they are telling
19		me something else.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Well, you can't really reserve it. You
22		have to decide right now. If you decide later to
23		request additional counsel beside Major Bradley

we will take it up, but you can't have them come on and off, and on and off. You are not going to be able to essentially manipulate the system that way. If you want them to represent you, and I will remind you again, that they have made representations to us that they were representing you, well then, they are going to be directed to represent you fully. But if you don't because you want to use them in some sort of non-representation advisory fashion, in which does not involve filings with this court or appearance in this court, well maybe that is something else you can take up with them.

I will also note that if they are not representing you, I do not know how that will affect their access to you within this area. I just can't answer that.

So right now, do you want to be represented by anyone other than Major Bradley, and--but if I don't get any more from you, I am going to have to make a finding that you don't want anyone else

1		representing you. If you keep hemming and hawing
2		about it.
3		
4	ACC:	We have to end this question right now, because
5		you are tired of it and I am tired of it. It is
6		just I am not understanding it. I can't answer
7		that question right now. That is my answer.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: Do you wish to be heard Major Bradley?
10		
11	DC:	Yes, I would. I mean, it sounds like what Mr.
12		Muhammad is saying is that he would like to think
13		about the question and I think that is fair for
14		him to have time to think about this issue. It
15		is a crucial issue. Something to have him, right
16		now on the spot, with him having conflicting
17		information on the representation by civilian
18		counsel, that he should have the time to think
19		about it and not be pressured at this point to
20		make the decision in front of this Commission.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Thank you for that. At this time, the
23		only person representing you is the Detailed

1 Defense Counsel, because I have heard from you 2 that you have never authorized anyone else to do 3 that and that--Major Bradley, sit, okay. I have 4 already told you that if you change your mind 5 later and want someone else to also represent you, certainly you can raise that, okay. But at 6 7 this time right now, I hear Major Bradley, and I have heard nothing else. 8 9 10 One minute. So I have not said----ACC: 11 12 Presiding Officer: You have----13 14 I haven't told them not to do the work I have ACC: 15 told them to do. They have done the right work. 16 They are filing motions. What I have told them is they cannot sit down here and talk on my 17 18 behalf. Now we have a problem because maybe your 19 English is not my English and my understanding is 20 not your understanding. Now I understand that I

have to make a decision. Do I want them to

represent me here? I am also on the outside.

21

1		am not representing on the outside and here. Nov
2		I
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: Well we clarified one point, if they
5		representing you in some other proceedings
6		somewhere else, you can certainly have them
7		represent you somewhere else. You mentioned
8		habeas proceedings. If you want them to
9		represent you in habeas proceedings but not this
10		Commission, that is fine. But if they are going
11		to represent you in this Commission, they are
12		either in or out. And that is entirely up to you
13		and them, and it is not up to me.
14		
15	ACC:	Let me get this straight, this civilian counsel,
16		you can not order him to talk because he is not
17		military counsel and actually he listens to me
18		more than he would listen to you. Is that what I
19		am supposed to believe?
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: If you request civilian counsel, okay,
22		and they come in here and they are going to
23		represent you they will have to do some talking

as far as their qualifications. Excuse me, if
they are not going to participate verbally in
this courtroom, for whatever tactical reasons you
have, and it turns out that your Detailed Defense
Counsel is going to handle that representation in
the courtroom, I mean, that is up to you.

But if they are representing you, they will be here during all the proceedings unless excused by me, after talking about it with you, and you can work it out that way, if you want.

Okay and we will explain, that, how that waiver of process works as far as if you waive their appearance at a subsequent hearing and you fully understand that when you waive that, proceedings go on with out them and we will take care of all business that comes up, despite them not being here; we can do that.

But that will be only with leave granted by the Presiding Officer after discussion with you.

1	ACC:	Okay, as far as this problem, because we are
2		going to get to the next point, so, since they
3		said they are representing me, and you have them
4		as representing me, I don't mind them
5		representing me until we clarify this problem
6		that we have with them. Because I can see that
7		is not your problem. It is not your fault. You
8		have your POMs and they have their rulings.
9		
10		So, they represent me in this session right now,
11		but I gave them instructions to do some things
12		which they will not do, and then, the next time
13		we meet, I can give you a final judgment on this
14		issue. I can't reserve it, but I give you the
15		answer the next time. As far as we are concerned
16		right now, they still representing me. They can
17		come on the show.
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: Do you wish that they represent you?
20		It has to be more than, "I don't mind." Do you
21		want them to represent you in this matter.

1	ACC:	That is the issue, because we are talking about
2		wishes, wishes aredoesn't make it right. I
3		wish to be represented by her. You don't talk
4		about wishes. I said, since we can't clarify the
5		question right now, and you have them as
6		representing me, they can come on the show.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: Do you desire for them to represent you
9		in this case?
10		
11	ACC:	I repeat, they can come on the show.
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: Do you desire for anyone other than
14		Major Bradley, and you can say desire, or want
15		or
16		
17	ACC:	Could you write desire? What is the meaning of
18		desire because I am not understanding. We are
19		not talking English.
20		
21	DC:	I am not understanding the court either. He said
22		three times that he wants them to represent him,
23		and you keep coming back with

```
1
2
   Presiding Officer: He said three times what?
3
4
             He would like them to represent him. At this
   DC:
5
             point, they can represent.
6
7
   Presiding Officer: Has she correctly stated your position?
8
9
              Yes. I said in English, "They can come on the
   ACC:
10
              show."
11
12
   Presiding Officer: Because you want them to?
13
14
   ACC: Well if it wasn't for want, why would I say it.
15
16
    Presiding Officer: Okay, well I will make a finding then
17
              that the Accused has stated that he wants to be
18
              represented by, and who are we talking about, Mr.
19
              Stafford Smith and Professor Marguiles?
20
21
             I think that is what you have, yes.
   ACC:
22
23
   Presiding Officer: So that is a, yes?
```

1		
2	ACC:	Yes.
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: Okay.
5		
6	ACC:	Until further notice, that is. Remember, next
7		time we meet, I am going to give you a final
8		decision on this.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: Right, and if youokay, but they are
11		not going to come on and off the case, okay.
12		
13	ACC:	I am listening.
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: So if they are on, then they on. If
16		you excuse them, they will be gone.
17		
18	ACC:	There is no problem with that. We will deal with
19		that one as it comes.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Mr. Stafford Smith and Professor
22		Marguiles, you need to assume your appropriate
23		places at counsel table.

1		
2	[The CDC a	and CDC2 did as directed.]
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: Mr. Muhammad, do you want any other
5		counsel, other than those that are seated at the
6		table at this time, to represent you in this
7		matter?
8		
9	ACC:	Like I said, if I am allowed to have an attorney
10		who is not an American citizen, and you say, yes,
11		then I will give you the names of the people I
12		want representing me here. No further want of
13		it, but for the heck of it.
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: All right, I understand. Major
16		Bradley, I would submit that if the defense
17		wishes to pursue that matter, they should file an
18		appropriate motion.
19		
20	DC:	We understand. Thank you.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Mr. Muhammad, in the event that counsel
23		might disagree the several counsel you have

1 there might disagree on a matter concerning your 2 representation, I need to designate a lead 3 counsel who will speak for you and the defense 4 Who shall I designate as the lead counsel? team. 5 We have--I have just been told that David Hicks 6 ACC: 7 has an Australian counsel. I am not sure, that 8 means I can an Ethiopian counsel? 9 10 Presiding Officer: And I don't know anything about that. 11 So, as----12 13 ACC: So you have no idea. 14 Presiding Officer: ----I indicated, you need to file the 15 16 appropriate -- your counsel need to file the 17 appropriate motion. 18 19 ACC: All right, we have to do that. Now about the 20 lead counsel, well I am the lead counsel here 21 because I am the one who is on trial. I have the 22 answers. Nobody here can answer any of your

1 questions because we have no communications. So, 2 I am lead counsel. 3 Presiding Officer: That is not going to be permitted. And 4 5 you all can make a motion, if you want to seek ability to do that. But at this time, that is 6 7 not going to be permitted. So I need for you to 8 designate one of the lawyers at your table to be 9 the lead counsel so I know who to speak to in the 10 first instance. 11 12 ACC: I think we need to take a recess, because now you 13 have confused me again. 14 Presiding Officer: Okay, we will return to that. We won't 15 16 take a recess right now, but we will return to 17 that shortly. 18 19 ACC: All right. 20 21 Presiding Officer: If you all need to talk about that. 22 That is not one of the things that we have to 23 figure out right now.

1		
2	ACC:	Well that is good because I am really confused.
3		You have to understand I am no lawyer.
4		
5	Presiding	Officer: I do understand that.
6		
7	ACC:	And 4 years under the ground and words change and
8		you are in new word land now.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: Mr. Stafford Smith, if you could please
11		state your qualifications for the record.
12		
13	CDC:	Your Honor, I am apparently qualified under the
14		rules of the Commission. So, is there anything
15		else that I need to say?
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: Have you transmitted your notice of
18		appearance to through the Chief Defense Counsel?
19		
20	CDC:	I am sure I have, yes.
21		

I	Presiding	Officer: Have you signed the civilian counsel
2		agreement to practice before the Military
3		Commission?
4		
5	CDC:	Because I don't think it is legal, but yes, I
6		have.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: So you have signed the agreement to
9		apply by the applicable directives, regulations,
10		orders, and the directions of me, as the
11		Presiding Officer.
12		
13	CDC:	With the reservation that I think it is
14		illegitimate, but yes, and I will challenge it,
15		obviously.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: And have you acted in any manner that
18		might tend to disqualify you from practicing in
19		this proceeding?
20		
21	CDC:	I don't believe so.
22		

```
1
   Presiding Officer: Have you been sworn with regard to your
2
              duties here?
3
4
             Yes, I have, sir.
    CDC:
5
    Presiding Officer: And have we received your notice of
6
7
              appearance?
8
9
    CDC:
              Yes.
10
    Presiding Officer: Thank you. Professor Marguiles, am I
11
12
              saying your last name correctly?
13
14
              That's right, Your Honor, it is Marguiles, with a
    CDC2:
15
              long E.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: I will attempt to say it correctly.
18
19
    CDC2:
              Thank you, sir.
20
21
    Presiding Officer: And I have been saying Professor, is
22
              that what you would like me to say?
```

```
1
   CDC2: Mr. is fine, sir.
2
3
    Presiding Officer: Is that what you prefer?
4
5
              Mr. is fine.
    CDC2:
6
7
    Presiding Officer: If you could state your qualification,
8
              please.
9
10
              I understand that I am qualified within the
    CDC2:
11
              meaning of the Commissions, Your Honor.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Have you transmitted your notice of
14
              appearance through the Chief Defense Counsel?
15
16
    CDC2:
              I have, sir.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Have you signed the civilian counsel
19
              agreement to practice before the Military
20
              Commissions?
21
22
              Subject to the same qualifications of Mr.
    CDC2:
23
              Stafford Smith, Your Honor.
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Have you acted in any manner that might
3
              disqualify you from practicing in this
4
              proceeding?
5
6
    CDC2:
              Not so far as I am aware, Your Honor.
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Have you been sworn with regard to your
9
              duties here?
10
11
    CDC2:
              I have.
12
    Presiding Officer: Very well. Thank you. Okay, given Mr.
13
14
              Muhammad's response with regard to lead counsel,
15
              and I will revisit that question with him a
16
              little later. In the meantime, I do need to
17
              speak to one of you. Unless I am advised that a
18
              certain person is taking a certain issue.
19
              Between the three lawyers is--would any of you
20
              like to suggest which one of you will be lead
21
              counsel until such time as we change that?
22
23
    DC:
              At this----
```

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: If you are speaking to the Commission,
3		you need to be behind the microphone.
4		
5	DC:	I am sorry. At this point, the defense is not
6		ready to state who will be lead counsel, but if
7		you would like to address any questions to me,
8		and I can address to Mr. Muhammad.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: And I agree, that that is the
11		appropriate default position here. And then,
12		Major Bradley, you just let me know if somebody
13		else is going to be addressing a particular
14		issue, okay?
15		
16		Let me go back to the interpreter question.
17		Based on the conversation that we have had
18		between the Presiding Officer and parties to
19		include Mr. Muhammad, over the last couple hours
20		it appears that he is able to understand and
21		speak English, and I make that finding.

1		Based on the absence of a request by the defense
2		for the service of a translator, I am not going
3		to compel the defense to have a defense
4		translator seated at counsel table or imposed
5		upon the defense, although I do need to make
6		clear, that per Commission Law, the defense is
7		absolutely entitled to the service of one.
8		
9		If at any time, Mr. Muhammad, you or the Defense
10		Counsel determine there is a need for a defense
11		translator, you should simply let me know about
12		it and we will make that happen. I would advise
13		you that that should be made in a timely fashion,
14		because an untimely request will not be something
15		which we are going to allow to disrupt the flow
16		and progress of the proceedings.
17		
18	ACC:	Can I ask you a question at this point, because
19		this interpreter
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: No, not right now. Major Bradley, do
22		you understand that?

```
1
    DC:
              Yes.
2
3
    Presiding Officer: If you are speaking to me, then you
4
              need to--I am going to try to limit your going up
              and down, but----
5
6
7
    DC:
              Oh, I am sorry.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: But I need you to get up to the podium
10
              when you are speaking.
11
12
    DC:
              So you are asking if I understand it, or if Mr.
13
              Muhammad understands it?
14
    Presiding Officer: I am making a finding. He has not made
15
16
              the election. I am making a finding based on our
17
              conversation that he understands and speaks
18
              English such that I am not going to require one
19
              be put into services right now. If at any time
20
              either your client or his Defense Counsel believe
21
              there is a need for a defense translator, all you
22
              need to do is tell me and one will be provided
23
```

for you.

1		
2		You won't need to justify that either. All it
3		needs to be is a timely request. Do you
4		understand that?
5		
6	DC:	So you are asking if I understand whether or not
7		we need a translator. I am not quite sure I am
8		understanding what you are asking. I am notI
9		am not
10		
11	Presiding	Officer: What do you think I am asking?
12		
13	DC:	I don't know if the question is directed towards
14		me or directed towards the individual who might
15		need the translator.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: I am asking you, because you are
18		Detailed Defense Counsel, you are representing
19		your client. If your client, or you, determine
20		you need one, you need to tell me.
21		
22	DC:	So if my client tells me
23		

```
1
    Presiding Officer: Because I am not going to keep going
2
              back and asking him.
3
4
              So if my client tells me he needs a translator, I
    DC:
5
              tell you? I am just trying to get what you are
6
              asking.
7
8
    Presiding Officer: It is as simple as that. Right. You
9
              are right.
10
11
              All right, this is a good time for the midday
12
              break. The break will be for an hour and a half,
13
              which means we will be set to go gavel down at
14
              1305.
15
16
    ACC:
              Sir, an objection.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Not right now.
19
20
    ACC:
              I have a prayer at that time. I am not going to
21
              make it.
```

```
1
    Presiding Officer: We will be set to go gavel down at
2
              1305. The court is in recess.
3
4
    The Commission Hearing recessed at 1134, 6 April 2006.
5
    The Commission Hearing was called to order at 1318, 6 April
6
7
    2006.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order. All
10
              parties present when we recessed are again
11
              present.
12
13
              We were slightly delayed in coming back. I
14
              learned during the break that the schedule that
15
              we set did not take into account prayer schedule
16
              of Mr. Muhammad, and his religious practices. I
              did not know that. I did not take that into
17
18
              account. I will seek to do that in the future so
19
              that we build that into the schedule.
20
21
              I was going to advise that.
    ACC:
```

1 Presiding Officer: That is what I thought. I figured that 2 out after I left, but at that time, I was ready 3 to take that recess. So now I have learned, and 4 now I know, and we will try to work that into the 5 schedule. 6 7 Okay, POM 16 was provided to parties; notice of the rules of the Commission, trial practice 8 9 concerning decorum of the Commission and there is 10 a section on attire of the people involved in the 11 tribunal. 12 13 With regard to civilian participants, it states 14 that for me, while suit and tie or equivalent is 15 encouraged, civilian counsel and other civilian 16 counsel and other civilian representatives of the 17 party and civilian witnesses, except the 18 detainees appearing before the tribunal, will at 19 a minimum, wear at a minimum business casual 20 attire. 21 And then it gives some examples of acceptable 22 23 business casual attire for me, including long

pants and button up collar shirts with sleeves, with or without sports jackets, and then there are some examples there of inappropriate civilian attire which includes clothing without tears, and is unfrayed, and then it further goes on that an Accused will appear in business casual attire, or if the Accused desires, culturally equivalent attire.

It also states that in the event the Defense

Counsel cannot with due diligence obtain

sufficiently appropriate clothing for an Accused,

the Defense Counsel will notify the prosecutor

sufficiently in advance of trial to allow for the

prosecutor to obtain the necessary clothing. The

prosecutor will then take the actions necessary

to obtain the clothing.

It also goes on to state that an Accused will not appear at a Commission session wearing prison attire. These rules are with regard to the Accused, as I would expect counsel probably know one of their primary functions is to protect the

1 presumption of innocence on the part of the 2 Accused. 3 4 The rule with regard to not appearing in prison 5 attire is for the protection of the Accused such that the members or the people that are making 6 the findings with regard to guilt or innocence or 7 sentence would not be inferring anything adverse 8 to the Accused based on the wearing of prison 9 10 attire. 11 12 So that rule is there to buttress the presumption of innocence protection of the Accused in these 13 14 proceedings. 15 16 I will note for the record the Accused is wearing 17 an orange, loose-fitting orange top and I 18 couldn't quite tell, but orange pants of some 19 sort. And in some jurisdictions, the jurisdiction that I normally practice, orange 20 21 jumpsuits are a form of prison attire. Frankly, 22 I don't know what the different attire regs are

at the facility here.

1		
2		So, the issue I just wanted to raise with Defense
3		Counsel is my concern that you know about this
4		rule here and the purpose for the rule, and to
5		see if you had taken that into consideration.
6		While Defense Counsel had a discussion about the
7		attire of the Accused.
8		
9		Major Bradley, are you familiar with POM 16 and
10		the provisions I have read to you.
11		
12	DC:	I think Mr. Binyam wants to speak about his
13		cultural wear.
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: No, I am asking you a question.
16		
17	DC:	While his dress, since you brought up his dress,
18		and you believe it is prison garb, and it is not
19		prison garb, I think if Mr. Muhammad needs to
20		address the type of the clothing that he has on,
21		particularly since the POM talks about wearing

```
1
    Presiding Officer: Okay, stay up there. Here is my
2
              question. Are you familiar with the provisions
3
              of POM 16?
4
5
    DC:
              That states that the Accused can wear cultural
6
              clothing, yes, which Mr. Muhammad has on.
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Are you familiar with the provisions of
9
              POM 16?
10
11
    DC:
              Yes, as he does have cultural clothing. Yes.
12
    Presiding Officer: Okay. We are going to go back to the
13
14
              manner of your speaking with me. Don't interrupt
15
                   Your last answer could be interpreted and
              me.
16
              was interpreted by me as having some measure of
17
              exasperation with me. You need to drop that
18
              attitude. Do you understand me?
19
20
    DC:
              I am trying to let the court know that Mr.
21
              Muhammad would like to speak on this issue of his
22
              cultural clothing. I mean, I think that----
```

```
1 Presiding Officer: I want you to be responsive to my
2
             question and----
3
4
   DC: Yes.
5
   Presiding Officer: ----my directions.
6
7
8
   DC: I said, yes, to your question, Your Honor.
9
10
   Presiding Officer: Okay, so drop the attitude when----
11
12
   DC: I don't have----
13
14
   Presiding Officer: ----speaking with me.
15
16
   DC: ----an attitude.
17
   Presiding Officer: I am perceiving one from you.
18
19
20
   DC: Well.
21
22
   Presiding Officer: And that----
```

```
1
   DC:
         You also perceived earlier----
2
    Presiding Officer: Don't interrupt me----
3
4
         ----my facial----
5
   DC:
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Do not interrupt me, because I have
8
              advise you about that before as----
9
10
    DC:
            And----
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Major Bradley. I will tell you when I
13
              am done, okay, because you seem to be having
14
              trouble understanding when I am done. So don't
              speak until I tell you to, all right?
15
16
17
   DC:
            Fair enough, yes.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: Do you understand my--do you understand
20
              that I am perceiving an unprofessional attitude
21
              and tone on your part? Do you understand what I
22
              am telling you? I am not asking you if you
23
              agree, I am asking you if you understand.
```

1		
2	DC:	As what you are saying, yes.
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: I am counseling you at this point to
5		change your tone when speaking to me. It is
6		inappropriate as a major speaking to a colonel
7		and it is inappropriate as counsel speaking to a
8		Presiding Officer in this Commission. Do you
9		understand what I am telling you?
10		
11	DC:	Yes.
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: I don't expect to have to have this
14		conversation and repeat it back to you. Your
15		demeanor thus far has been unacceptable. It is
16		unprofessional and I think it would be
17		unprofessional in any courtroom with regard to
18		your attitude towards the bench. Don't respond.
19		I want you to think about it and I want you to
20		amend the way you are talking with me.
21		
22		Now, are you familiar with POM 16, in its
23		entirety?

```
1
2
  DC: No.
3
   Presiding Officer: Do you have a copy of it there?
4
5
6
   DC: Yes.
7
8
   Presiding Officer: Sit down and read it.
9
10
             The part that you are talking about it, I am
11
             familiar with it.
12
   Presiding Officer: Sit down and----
13
14
15
   DC: The entire----
16
   Presiding Officer: I want you to sit---
17
18
19
   DC: Paragraph for paragraph----
20
   Presiding Officer: I want you to sit down and read POM
21
22
             16. Go ahead.
```

```
2
3
              While they are reading.
4
5
    Presiding Officer: No, we will all wait together.
6
7
    ACC:
              I asked them to bring this. So you should ask me
8
              about the problem.
9
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Muhammad, when I need for you speak
10
11
              to me again, and when I will allow you to speak
12
              to me again, I will let you know. Until then,
13
              you need to remain silent.
14
15
              Okay, Major Bradley, you have read it now, is
16
              that right?
17
18
    DC:
              Yes.
19
20
    Presiding Officer: Okay, stay where you are. This is for
21
              all counsel. I think one of the minimum
22
              standards of professional practice is that you
23
              have read the rules of court for where ever you
```

[The DC did as directed.]

1		are practicing. So I will direct all counsel to
2		insure that you have read all of the current
3		POMs, those that are published or those that
4		might be published before each session of the
5		proceedings.
6		
7		I am certainly not going to quiz you on them and
8		don't expect you to recall with complete clarity
9		all of the aspects of all the different rules,
10		but you should, at a minimum, have read them all
11		before you get here.
12		
13		All right, so now that being said. So, taking
14		into account what you have indicated, the
15		defense's sense that this a culturally
16		appropriate attire for the Accused; is that your
17		position Major Bradley?
18		
19	DC:	My position is that Mr. Muhammad can explain the
20		cultural dress.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: No, I am speaking with you. You are
23		the Detailed Defense Counsel. He is not

1		representing himself in these proceedings, you
2		are. And right now, I am asking you, if you all
3		elected to use theuse these clothing instead of
4		something else despite the fact that I mentioned
5		that someone, not me, but someone might confuse
6		them for prison garb and that that might have
7		some impact on the presumption of innocence. Did
8		you think about that?
9		
10	DC:	Idid I think about the fact that somebody could
11		mistake his cultural clothing as prison garb?
12		
12 13	Presiding	Officer: And I talking aboutbasically about
	Presiding	Officer: And I talking aboutbasically about the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at
13	Presiding	
13 14	Presiding	the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at
13 14 15	Presiding	the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at least in the jurisdiction where I am, is a common
13 14 15 16	Presiding DC:	the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at least in the jurisdiction where I am, is a common
13 14 15 16 17		the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at least in the jurisdiction where I am, is a common color for a prison jumpsuit.
13 14 15 16 17 18		the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at least in the jurisdiction where I am, is a common color for a prison jumpsuit. Well in the jurisdiction of Commission or habeas
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		the orange color, which in, as I mentioned, at least in the jurisdiction where I am, is a common color for a prison jumpsuit. Well in the jurisdiction of Commission or habeas over in the camp, there are various colors.

1 he is not in brown, so I am not quite sure how you are going to----2 3 Presiding Officer: Well all I want to accomplish here 4 5 today is to raise the issue to you so you can think about it, so that in the future, especially 6 7 when we get to the portion of having the members of the Commission and the presentation of the 8 9 evidence, that you have taken that into 10 consideration, and if you elect to have him wear 11 orange despite the concern I have raised with 12 you, I think that is probably your choice, okay? 13 But I wanted to make sure that you at least 14 understood that and took that into account, 15 because this rule, again, is for the benefit of your client, and it is your job--Major Bradley, I 16 17 am speaking with you. 18 19 DC: Yes, I was just going to grab the rule as---20 21 Presiding Officer: It is your job----

```
1
    DC:
              ----speak, if that is my--I am still listening.
2
              I can listen and----
3
4
    Presiding Officer: Okay, it is your job to think about
5
              that and counsel your client on that. And after
              thinking about that and if he wants to wear what
6
7
              he is wearing today, because he likes orange, or
8
              that is culturally appropriate clothing and that
9
              outweighs the issue I have raised, that is fine.
10
11
              All I am doing here is to make sure you are
12
              thinking about the presumption of innocence
13
              because it is your job to think about those
14
              things and I am just helping you right now. Do
15
              you understand?
16
17
    DC:
              Yes.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: That is all I am doing.
20
21
              May I respond?
    DC:
```

1 Presiding Officer: None is necessary. I want you to think 2 about it before we come back. That is all. 3 4 Think about his cultural clothing--or the color DC: 5 and the---6 7 Presiding Officer: And the color and the presumption of 8 innocence, and make sure that you all make and 9 reach a decision. 10 11 ACC: Uh huh. May I say something? 12 13 Presiding Officer: No, no you may not. 14 15 This is my uniform. ACC: 16 Presiding Officer: No, you may not. I have already told 17 18 you that. You need to remain silent. 19 20 ACC: You said you would give me a chance to speak.

1 Presiding Officer: Not on this point and not right now. I 2 did not tell you that and you are not going to 3 have that chance. 4 5 DC: With----6 7 Presiding Officer: Do you understand, Major Bradley? 8 9 With all due respect, going by the POM, it says DC: 10 cultural wear, cultural attire, and I don't 11 think, and no disrespect to you, I am trying to 12 respectful. 13 Presiding Officer: That is good, you should. 14 15 16 No disrespect to you or myself or counsel at the DC: 17 table, there are cultures that we don't 18 understand about, and I would like him to explain 19 the cultural wear that he has on. So, if it is a 20 color issue, I think he can explain that, but I 21 think the other part is that what he has on is 22 cultural wear and that is what he is trying to

explain to this court.

2		Because you have emphasized to me the POMs. You
		because you have emphasized to me the roms. Tou
3		have emphasized to me CommissionCommission Law.
4		So why is it in Commission Lawit states here
5		cultural attire and obviously either you, or I,
6		or someone is confused about what cultural attire
7		is and Mr. Muhammad would like to explain what he
8		has on for the court, at least for the record,
9		because now we have this lengthy record about his
10		clothing and I think that needs to be explained.
11		I am not able to explain that.
12		
13		In addition, I wanted to also mention that Mr.
14		Muhammad made a request to come in here in
15		shackles this morning because he has been
16		shackled for the last 2 years and the only time
17		he has to come
18		
19	ACC:	Four.
20		
21	DC:	Four years, he has been shackled and so now we
22		parade him in here without his shackles. He made
		that request. I talked to people also about
17 18	ACC:	he has to come

1 that. I would like him to explain his position, 2 particularly with the cultural wear. I think the 3 record needs to show--that needs to be shown on 4 the record. 5 Presiding Officer: Okay, that is not necessary or 6 7 appropriate at this time. If the defense wishes 8 to raise a motion with regards to any of these 9 issues for some sort of relief, you can do that 10 in accordance with POM 4-3 and we will litigate 11 it in due course. 12 13 I have raised the issue with you. I am doing it 14 for your benefit, and we are done with it. You 15 may resume your seat. 16 17 DC: Can I just--I understand, the other thing I just 18 want to make on the record, and I think it may be 19 clear, there are no Commission people here, so 20 any prejudice, in this particular proceeding 21 today, doesn't exist because there are no Commission individuals here. 22

1 And I am quite sure in you being reasonable and 2 your judgment, and this obviously didn't strike 3 you right away as being a problem, as prison 4 clothes, I mean, we have been in court for 2 and half hours, and we come back after break and this 5 becomes an issue, that obviously it didn't 6 7 prejudice you at the time and we would be aware, if Commission Members were here of that--of what 8 9 Mr. Muhammad is wearing. 10 11 Presiding Officer: Okay, thank you for that. I will note 12 for the record that this was simply the time when 13 I found it most appropriate to deal with the 14 issue. I certainly take no prejudice from it. I 15 again, noted these things strictly to provide some assistance, resisted or otherwise, by the 16 17 defense. 18 19 Okay, next issue. On the 2nd of February of 20 2005, the Commission received a motion from the 21 defense to proceed to ex parte with regard to a

claimed conflict issue. This is motion is in RE

1 25. At that time, the nature of the claimed 2 conflict was not revealed in any way. 3 4 On the 14th of February 2005, the government 5 response was filed and that is in RE 29. 6 7 On the 5th of April 2006--excuse me, the 8 Presiding Officer received a memo from Mr. 9 Stafford Smith, which has been marked as RE 40, 10 which indicates that the defense was withdrawing 11 its motion for the ex parte session. 12 13 Is that correct, Major Bradley? 14 15 DC: I am sorry. Can you repeat the question? 16 17 Presiding Officer: Sure. Shall I just skip down to the 18 last part, or do you want to hear it all again? 19 20 Yes, just the last part. 21 Presiding Officer: Okay, on the 5th of April 2006, the 22 23 Presiding Officer received a memo from Mr.

1		Stafford Smith, which has been marked as RE 40,
2		which indicated to me that the defense was
3		withdrawing its request for the ex parte session.
4		
5		It said something else, in terms of something
6		like, the Accused was waiving his right to an ex
7		parte session, which, of course, he does not have
8		that right. But I understood that to be a
9		withdrawal of the motion for the ex parte
10		session, and I am trying to determine if I
11		understood that correctly.
12		
12 13	DC:	Yes, you understand that clearly. That request
	DC:	Yes, you understand that clearly. That request was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to
13	DC:	
13 14	DC:	was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to
13 14 15	DC:	was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to withdraw the ex parte hearing and there was a
13 14 15 16	DC:	was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to withdraw the ex parte hearing and there was a reason for it and Mr. Muhammad, again with all
13 14 15 16 17	DC:	was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to withdraw the ex parte hearing and there was a reason for it and Mr. Muhammad, again with all due respect to the court, wants to explain why he
13 14 15 16 17 18		was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to withdraw the ex parte hearing and there was a reason for it and Mr. Muhammad, again with all due respect to the court, wants to explain why he
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		was withdrawn at the right of Mr. Muhammad to withdraw the ex parte hearing and there was a reason for it and Mr. Muhammad, again with all due respect to the court, wants to explain why he is withdrawing the ex parte motion.

In that event, I note that RE 40 contained among other things a document described as Attachment

A. The version of Attachment A that was in RE 40 was redacted, in part, by the defense. RE 40 was also accompanied by a separate unredacted version of Attachment A. The unredacted version of Attachment A was labeled by the defense as an ex parte submission to the Presiding Officer. This unredacted copy of Attachment A will be marked as Review Exhibit 41.

The defense made this ex parte submission to the Presiding Officer based on the defense's position that the redacted portion contained privileged material. Even though my review of the redacted material has not led me to conclude that it contained any privileged information, at this time, I am directing that RE 41 be made a sealed exhibit.

With regards to RE 40 and 41, I further note that they contain only proffers and arguments of

1 counsel regarding the so-called conflict of 2 interest issue.

Additionally, even if the proffers in REs 40 and 41 are taken at face value, my assessment at this time is that the circumstances described do not establish either a clear conflict of interest, or ethical dilemma with regard to the Defense Counsel in this case.

Finally, there has been no showing of a determination by an appropriate authority that a conflict of interest exists between the rules, regulations, and instructions applicable to trial by Military Commission and the branch specific Armed Forces Rules of Professional Conflict, or rules of other jurisdictions that regulate the professional conduct of attorneys, such that, Section 3(C) of Appointing Authority Regulation Number 3 is called into question.

1 The defense is certainly free to pursue this matter through a motion for appropriate relief 2 3 filed in accordance with POM 4-3. 4 I would further note that if this matter is going 5 to be raised before this Commission, it will be 6 7 done in an orderly and professional fashion in accordance with the rules of court published in 8 9 the POMs. 10 11 In this regard, I would expect proper preparation 12 and presentation of evidence, to include testimony of all necessary witnesses. 13 14 The decision whether or not to pursue this matter 15 16 with appropriate licensing authorities or with 17 the Commission lies with the defense. At this 18 time, however, the matter has not been properly 19 raised with the Commission. 20 21 I have previously provided counsel for both sides 22 a summarized biography that has been marked as 23 Review Exhibit 18. I have also received written

1		voir dire questions from the defense. Those
2		questions, along with my written answers to those
3		questions were marked as Review Exhibit 33.
4		
5		Does the government have any additional questions
6		beside those already answered in Review Exhibits
7		18 and 33?
8		
9	PROS:	The government does not, sir.
10		
11	Presiding	Officer: Defense?
12		
13	DC:	Your Honor, in all due respect, we are not at
14		this session of voir dire. I know this court may
15		be, but we have to go back to this issue of the
16		conflict because I have an opinionan expert
17		from Pennsylvania that I cannot go forward any
18		further, despite your findings.
19		
20		In addition, if you look at Appointing Authority
21		Regulation Number 3, there is clear Commission
22		rules on how, when there are conflict, which I
23		there is obvious conflict, I have a Pennsylvania,

a 19-page opinion, advisory opinion that I cannot go forward. The Air Force rules tells me something different. The Commission rules tell me something different. So, there is an obvious conflict.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

And in reading the Appointing Authority Regulation Number 3, at Paragraph 3(c), it states that when there is a conflict, and I quote, if a conflict exists between the rules, regulations, and instructions applicable to the trials by Military Commission, and the branch specific Armed Forces Rules of Professional Conduct, or rules of other jurisdictions, that regulate the professional conduct of attorneys, the Appointing Authority for Military Commissions, or the Presiding Officer of a Military Commission, shall apply the rules, regulations, instructions applicable to the trials by Military Commission, only after the legal advisor to the Appointing Authority for the Military Commission coordinated with the Judge Advocate General of the

1		Appropriate Armed Forces, or the appropriate
2		officials of other jurisdictions.
3		
4		And we are squarely in that predicament at this
5		point. And, I cannot go forward when I have an
6		advisory opinion of 19 pages that I cannot
7		represent Mr. Muhammad under the current
8		structure of OMC Defense office.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: Okay, you have already heard my
11		statements on that regard. In the package that
12		was submitted, which was not a motion for any
13		sort of relief from this Commission, but was
14		rather a memo submitted, which withdrew a motion
15		for an ex parte session and then commented on a
16		number of other things.
17		
18		I did note there was an opinion in there from a
19		private attorney in Pennsylvania, and I saw his
20		CV in there that he has significant experience
21		with regard to Pennsylvania ethical rules, but he
22		is not an appropriate authority to make a

determination like that for the purposes of this court.

What we need here, is a determination by an appropriate authority from Pennsylvania, or from the Air Force in your case, or perhaps from me as the Presiding Officer here, that there is a conflict.

Based on what I received there, even though it
was not a motion for relief from you, there is
not a clear conflict between the rules and we are
not going to debate that here absent a motion by
you, which in the course of our correspondence
over the last several months, I suggested to you,
as an option, were you to seek pursue this
matter. You elected, apparently, not to do that,
which is within your right.

So at this point, we have no showing of a determination by an appropriate authority that a conflict exists between the rules, regulations, and instructions applicable to the trial by the

1	Military Commission, the branch specific Armed
2	Forces Rules of Professional Conduct, or rules of
3	other jurisdictions that regulate the
4	professional conduct of attorneys, such that,
5	Section 3(c), of the AAR which you read, is
6	called into effect.
7	
8	Your proffers and the opinions Mr. Miller, I
9	think it is, don't persuade me that we are in
10	that position. Now as far as what you have
11	perceived as an ethical problem with you, I
12	cannot advise you and it is not my function to
13	advise you how to deal with your licensing
14	authority.
15	
16	But I can tell you that until such time that you
17	relieved of your responsibilities, you will
18	continue to represent your client as you've been
19	detailed to do, and I am directing you to do
20	that.
21	
22	Okay

1 DC: Well--I--I have to respond, because we are 2 going to have a serious conflict here, but can I 3 have a moment to confer with counsel? 4 5 Presiding Officer: Yes. 6 7 DC: If--I--I would like to respond to that because I 8 am assuming that you are not licensed in 9 Pennsylvania and I--and if--and I would like to 10 explain to you the licensing practices in 11 Pennsylvania and the efforts I took to get an 12 advisory opinion, and I think that is important for this court to understand and put on the 13 14 record. So the assumption that I don't have a 15 valid advisory opinion; I do have a valid 16 advisory opinion in Pennsylvania. 17 18 Before I go through what I did to get an advisory 19 opinion, let me explain to you the Pennsylvania 20 Bar licensing or Pennsylvania Bar Association 21 procedures in Pennsylvania.

1 Pennsylvania, as I unfortunately learned in 2 trying to get an advisory opinion does not have a 3 unified bar. I don't know how many states have 4 that unique position, but Pennsylvania is one of 5 the states where there is not a unified bar, which means if there is an ethical dilemma the 6 7 counsel has limited options. 8 9 One of those options is to go to the person's 10 local bar, which my local bar is Delaware County 11 Bar Association. Delaware County's Bar 12 Association does not have an ethics board. talked with the President of the bar and was 13 14 advised by the President of the bar, if I wanted an advisory opinion, I would probably end up 15 having to hire an expert, someone who has 16 17 expertise on professional responsibility, which 18 Mr. Samuel Miller, with his 19-page advisory 19 opinion, definitely does, as this court has 20 recognized. 21 22 In addition to that, in Pennsylvania not having a

unified bar, there is Pennsylvania Bar

Association known as the PBA. PBA is a state bar association but it is a volunteer membership, which means that attorneys do not have to be part of the PBA, the state bar, to practice.

I did go to the Pennsylvania Association or PBA for an opinion. Because I was not a member, they would not provide me with an opinion.

I also went to what is known as the Pennsylvania
Disciplinary Board, in fact, that was the first
place I went to to seek an advisory opinion. The
Pennsylvania Disciplinary Board, as I was told
when I talked with the board and wrote the board,
is that they do not give advisory opinions, that
what—that they do take discipline action against
attorneys who violate the rules of ethics and the
professional rules of responsibilities in
Pennsylvania, but they do not give advisory
opinions.

As a result, I was advised by the Pennsylvania
Disciplinary Board, that if I wanted an advisory

opinion, again, that I would have to seek the services of someone who was an expert in the area of Pennsylvania rules--of professional rules of responsibility. Again, another reason why I went to Mr. Miller.

I was also advised at one point in trying to seek an advisory opinion to contact an agency, and I believe the agency was known as the Pennsylvania Ethics Board. I contacted the Pennsylvania Ethics Board, the Pennsylvania Ethics Board informed me that they do not advise—do not provide advisory opinions of that nature. That they are organized or set up to take ethical questions regarding violations by elected officials or ethical violations of elected officials.

So under Pennsylvania, and the way that

Pennsylvania is structured, the only way a

private counsel can get an advisory opinion is in

the fashion in which I sought, which was through

1		an expert and someone who knew Pennsylvania
2		ethics rules to provide that opinion.
3		
4		So, there was no other way for me to get an
5		opinion in Pennsylvania and that is a proper
6		authority and that I presented to this court.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: Okay, I think all the information that
9		you just recited wasis all contained in RE 40,
10		is that correct?
11		
12	DC:	UhIthe outline, yes. The fact that there is
13		no other way to get an advisory opinion in
14		Pennsylvania other than the steps I have taken;
15		no. And, you are not going to get an advisory
16		opinion from anywhere else in Pennsylvania unless
17		they are a member of the PBA or they obtain the
18		services of an individual who knows Pennsylvania
19		rules about professional responsibility.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Do you have any written correspondence
22		between you and any of those agencies?

1	DC:	I do, unfortunately I don't have them here with
2		me in court. I might havesome of the
3		correspondence I gave to them. I don't know if I
4		have all the correspondence they gave to me.
5		Sometimes I was doing it by telephone because I
6		was trying to get an opinion as quickly as
7		possible.
8		
9		I might have with me the letter Iat some point
10		I had contacted the PBA, the Pennsylvania Bar
11		Association by telephone. I later wrote them a
12		letter even asking them to waive their fee so I
13		could get an opinion from them. They never
14		gotten back within touch with me. So I may
15		have some of this correspondence with me on the
16		island, but I am not quite sure if I have
17		everything.
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: Okay, why don't you sit down.
20		
21	[The DC d	id as directed.]
22		

1 Presiding Officer: On the 30th of November 2005, this is 2 reflected in RE 7, Major Bradley was Detailed 3 Defense Counsel in this case. And at that time 4 she was advised in that detailing letter she 5 should immediately contact the Chief Defense Counsel if she became aware of any conflict. 6 7 On 16 December 2005, as reflected in RE 8, Mr. 8 9 Stafford Smith was certified as the counsel in 10 this case. 11 12 As reflected in RE 25, on the 29th and the 31st 13 of December, or between that time, Major Bradley 14 first met with the Accused, at which time, she and Mr. Stafford Smith discussed with the Accused 15 16 an apparent conflict in her representation of him 17 in a conflict in which they indicated affects the 18 entire process, including so long as it persists, 19 the involvement of any civilian counsel. 20 21 On the 4th of January 2006, as reflected in RE 22 12, the Defense Counsel sent an email to the 23 Presiding Officer with notice of this perceived

1 conflict of interest issue with an indication 2 that they had contacted the Chief Defense 3 Counsel. 4 5 On the 5th of January--why don't we skip down? On the 6th of January--no back up, on the 5th of 6 7 January 2006, the Assistant Presiding Officer 8 sent an email to the Chief Defense Counsel 9 seeking information regarding this conflict of interest situation in an effort to move toward 10 11 some sort of resolution. 12 On the 6th of January 2006, the Chief Defense 13 14 Counsel sent an email to the Assistant Presiding 15 Officer with regard to this matter, and that is 16 in RE 15. 17 18 On the 12th of January 2006, reflected in RE 16, 19 the Presiding Officer sent an email to all 20 parties urging that they take prompt action to 21 address and resolve this perceived conflict 22 issue. And I advised them, that essentially if

23

they could not resolve the conflict, they should

consider taking steps to withdraw from the case.

I noted that if they were unsure whether the circumstances required or justified such action on their part, they should resolve it in a manner such as the issue does not interfere with the orderly litigation of this case.

Additionally, that in the meantime, they should also do such work as necessary to discharge their duties within the established trial schedule.

Accordingly, this matter has been--counsel have been advised to take steps to address this matter for some time and that includes the possibility of making a motion to this Commission for some sort of appropriate relief. That has not happened.

With regard to Mr. Miller's letter, again, that's one way of looking at things, I suppose, but it certainly does not amount to a determination by an appropriate authority that there is actually a conflict of interest problem in this case.

Accordingly, I refer back to my earlier statements that the defense is free to support this matter with a motion for appropriate relief to be filed in accordance with POM 4-3. In which case, it can be appropriately litigated in this forum and if the evidence demonstrates that some sort of relief should be granted, which I can't even speculate on at this point, we will take that up and I will see what I can do.

In the meantime, however, Major Bradley, you are directed by this court to continue to represent your client and discharge your duties to which you have been assigned.

17 DC: Well my--and I agree, and I have an ethical duty
18 which is higher, in all due respect, to this
19 court, and that is from the Pennsylvania Bar
20 which licensed me, with which out--I mean, you
21 only assigned me to a case. I am licensed by
22 Pennsylvania. I mean that is my licensing
23 authority and that, at this point, is where I

have to lean. Which shows there is an actual conflict. Which goes back to the Appointing Authority Regulation that I am under a duty, if there is an ethical conflict, to notify of the ethical conflict, which I have done in front of the tribunal for the Commission.

Your Honor, as a PO, as a Presiding Officer, you are under an ethical obligation to resolve the conflict and not just move on.

We have a conflict. The conflict has not been resolved and AAR Number 3 tells us how to resolve that conflict.

Moreover, given the fact that I had a conflict, I wasn't about to step into this ethical--cross that ethical line and start looking into the substantive matter of cases and start doing voir dire, looking at discovery. I have not looked at discovery because there is an ethical problem and I could not cross that line and I will not cross that line.

```
1
2
              I also want to make clear that about 2 weeks ago,
3
              when we had our ex parte motion before the
4
              Commission, we were willing and ready as a
5
              defense team to go forward and present a motion
              and documents to support the reason for that ex
6
7
              parte motion on the conflict issue until we
8
              understood that mail by our client was being
9
              read. And as that issue has developed,
10
              understanding that confidential privilege, legal
11
              mail had----
12
              Sir, the government is going to object at this
13
    PROS:
14
              time.
15
16
    DC:
              You can object all you want.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Counsel. Okay----
19
20
              I know I am being disrespectful.
21
    Presiding Officer: Stop speaking----
22
23
```

```
1
   DC:
            But I have a concern.
2
3
    Presiding Officer: Stop speaking. Okay, here is another
4
              rule, which you should already know. Never,
              never ever speak across to opposing counsel.
5
              Have you heard that rule before?
6
7
8
   DC:
              No.
9
10
    Presiding Officer: That is remarkable. Okay, you have
11
              heard it now. Never ever speak across to
12
              opposing counsel. Do you understand that? Okay.
13
14
              With regard to your objection, I am going to let
15
              her finish.
16
17
    PROS:
              Yes, sir.
18
    Presiding Officer: Go ahead.
19
20
21
    DC:
              You told me I couldn't interrupt and he
22
              interrupted by--and all I want to get to the
23
              point is----
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Okay, stop speaking----
3
4
    DC: ----is as----
5
6
    Presiding Officer: Stop speaking----
7
8
   DC:
         ----as far as----
9
10
    Presiding Officer: Stop speaking. When people make
11
              objections, they will interrupt. That is
12
              appropriate. He says, "Objection." Then you
13
              should stop. And if I am going to listen to it,
14
              I will say, "Basis?" Okay, so objections is one
15
              of those times where you can actually interrupt.
16
              Do you understand?
17
18
    DC:
              I understand that.
19
20
    Presiding Officer: Now he objected, and I said I'm going
21
              to let you continue. I didn't even ask him the
22
              basis, did I? Because I want you to be able to
23
              finish. Okay, go ahead.
```

2 DC: This all ties back into the whole conflict issue. 3 So, 2 weeks ago when we learned that--when mail 4 by--from Mr. Muhammad had been given to the 5 prosecutor, we were at a dilemma of whether or not to submit our ex parte motion until we had 6 talked to Mr. Muhammad in regards to--in regards 7 to information that was in the letter. 8 9 10 I really don't want to go into details into 11 exactly what happened, and I would be more than 12 happy to explain if we were in ex parte, that 13 whole fiasco on Mr. Muhammad's mail being read 14 and what was in that letter, that confidential 15 letter, legal document, addressed to Mr. Stafford 16 Smith, that led us to not being able to even go 17 forward to submit any additional motions or 18 documents to the tribunal. 19 20 I would love to go into details if the court 21 wants to go into detail but I would rather do it 22 ex parte given some of the privileged and 23 confidential information that was in that letter

1 on why the defense team felt they could not go 2 forward at this time. 3 Presiding Officer: Okay. 4 5 6 Do you--I was going to ask Mr. Muhammad if he DC: 7 cared if we went forward to let--to put on the 8 record in open court----9 10 Presiding Officer: Okay, I have heard what you had to say. 11 The flipside of your repeating the same argument 12 over again is that I am not going to repeat the 13 same ruling over again. 14 15 There has been no determination by an appropriate 16 authority that there is a conflict between the 17 rules that are applying or that you are 18 conflicted out in this situation. The proffers 19 that you have made to me, on their face, do not 20 provide clear information of that, that I am 21 going to sua sponte do something.

If you want to make a motion with regard to this matter, which could include any number of matters that you have alluded to, some of them may be really good things to litigate about. I don't know. But if we are going to do that, if we are going to talk about them, we are going to do it in a proper fashion, with a motion, an opportunity for the government to investigate and respond to the things you said, and then, perhaps you will get whatever relief it is that you are looking for, okay? If it is a motion regarding the structure of the OMC Defense section, well then you should make that motion, and bring forward the evidence that you have described in some of the things have submitted to the court. When I say, "you," I mean the defense. None of those matters appear to me to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

privileged. I can understand why you are

thinking and rethinking bringing them up in

1 court, but it is not because they are privileged,
2 okay? It is because of some other reason.

In the meantime, the motion of the conflict of interest issue has not been raised here. Your memo and your emails do not raise issues in this case. Motion practice in accordance with POM 4-3 do.

With regard to your interaction with your
licensing authority, which also happens to be my
licensing authority, I am a Pennsylvania
attorney, I am not here, it is not my function to
advise you how to deal with that. I have told
you the steps that you need to take. The fact
that you are having trouble doing that, that is
not my issue. That is your issue. If you are
having trouble getting relief from them or
determination from them, perhaps you should make
a motion to the Commission here. Perhaps you
should have made it a month ago. We are not
going to interrupt the litigation of this case
because you haven't filed a motion a month ago

1		when I was suggesting to you that perhaps you
2		should do that.
3		
4		Okay, that was your choice and it continues to be
5		your choice, Major Bradley. And, that is where
6		we are.
7		
8		So, we are done with that issue. If you want to
9		raise it, raise it properly. If you have a
10		problem with your bar, sort it out.
11		
12		In the meantime, I am directing you to fulfill
13		your duties to zealously represent your client,
14		which includes preparation for this trial, and
15		representing him in this courtroom. And, that is
16		a direct order from me to you, which you will
17		disobey at your own peril frankly.
18		
19	DC:	May I confer with counsel, please.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Yes, do you want a recess?
22		
23	CDC:	Yes, please.

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: How long would you like?
3		
4	CDC:	Fifteen minutes, please.
5		
6	Presiding	Officer: Okay, we will come back at 1435. We
7		are in recess.
8		
9	The Commi	ssion Hearing recessed at 1416, 6 April 2006.
10		
11	The Commi	ssion Hearing was called to order at 1434, 6 April
12	2006.	
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: The Commission will come to order. All
15		parties present when the court recessed are again
16		present.
17		
18		Mr. Stafford Smith?
19		
20	CDC:	Thank you very much, sir. Your Honor, I don't
21		want to compound the issue of conflict, but I
22		have advised Major Bradley that she needs a
23		lawyer at this point, and we need further time to

1 figure out--and certainly if I advise her, that 2 is a huge conflict. But, it seems to me, and I 3 have been there personally one time myself and 4 were facing contempt charges and you have got to 5 have an independent lawyer. That is obviously where we are headed. 6 7 So, may I ask this if we could? I would 8 9 respectfully ask if we could take time to 10 research this a bit more. I think we have got to 11 get an independent lawyer for Major Bradley to 12 see what her obligations are. They are one of two things that occur to me, but you know, I 13 14 don't know the answers to them. And I don't 15 think I can answer them. So, may we request that, that would be in the form of an oral 16 17 request for time to work out what her legal and 18 ethical exposure is in this case? 19 20 Presiding Officer: Okay, no. That request is untimely. 21 Parties have been on notice of this issue for 22 quite some time, as I have outlined.

appreciate your concern and I shared the same

1 concerns earlier and I advised all the parties to 2 take appropriate steps so as to not interfere 3 with the litigation in this case. 4 5 Should the defense desire to pursue the matter, a motion should be raised for appropriate relief 6 7 with this Commission in accordance with POM 4-3. 8 But nothing has changed with regard to this issue 9 for quite some time, and we are not going to 10 finish our business today because the defense did 11 not take the appropriate steps to resolve it, or 12 seek to resolve it in an appropriate fashion 13 before today. 14 Your Honor, if I may, for various reasons that 15 CDC: 16 are privileged that I can't go into, the defense 17 very much did follow that. And what I would make a request, a reasonable, I hope, request is this; 18 19 could we have a recess so we can research the 20 issue? 21 We couldn't even find the appropriate rule when 22 23

we were up there in the time allowed. And you

1		know, if necessary, we will file a written motion
2		on that, but my advice, quite frankly, at this
3		moment to Major Bradley is to take the 5th and
4		not to answer any further questions in the
5		context of these proceedings because we know
6		where it headed. And I can't let her, as a
7		fellow member of the bar; do that.
8		
9		And yet, I am in a conflict. I obviously can't
10		be the one advising her because I am there for
11		Mr. Muhammad. We are in a hopeless position and
12		I just ask the court to be reasonable.
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: Okay, your request is denied. Thank
15		you.
16		
17		All right, now, the government had no additional
18		voir dire. Does the defense have any additional
19		voir dire beyond the voir dire questions that
20		were answered in REs 18 and 33?
21		

1 CDC: Your Honor, I am in a conflict in this position 2 in this, but my advice to Major Bradley, whose 3 role that is, is that she should remain silent. 4 5 ACC: This obviously is a problem here. Fix it. Don't 6 just roll over it. 7 8 Presiding Officer: Mr. Muhammad, you need to remain silent 9 unless I ask you to speak. Do you understand? 10 11 ACC: You are not asking me. That is the problem. Ask 12 me. 13 Presiding Officer: No, I will ask you for a comment, or I 14 will provide you an opportunity to speak at 15 16 appropriate times 17 18 ACC: Seriously. 19 20 Presiding Officer: We had some of those earlier and we may 21 have some of those in the future. 22

But we have an issue here.

23

ACC:

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: With regard to this matter, it is not
3
              appropriate for you to comment at all and you
              will not. It is as simple as that.
4
5
              Major Bradley, I have already provided you an
6
7
              order to fulfill your duties representing your
8
              client. Do you understand that?
9
10
              Your Honor, may----
    CDC:
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Smith--Mr. Stafford Smith, excuse
13
                   Please sit down. Please sit down.
14
15
              May I be heard?
    CDC:
16
17
    Presiding Officer: No. Sit down.
18
19
    [The CDC did as directed.]
20
21
    Presiding Officer: Now, Major Bradley, you need to stand
22
              up and go to the lectern.
```

```
1
    [The DC did as directed.]
2
3
    Presiding Officer: I previously provided you with an order
              to fulfill your assigned duties as Detailed
4
              Defense Counsel in this case. And you, I believe
5
              you indicated that you understood that order. I
6
7
              think the record demonstrates that.
8
9
    [Pause.]
10
11
    Presiding Officer: And so my question to you is, do you
12
              wish to ask any additional voir dire questions on
13
              behalf of your client at this time?
14
15
              With all do respect, I exercise the 5th
    DC:
16
              Amendment, my 5th Amendment rights.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Okay, I think you misperceive the
19
              application of that aspect of the law in this
20
                     I will interpret that as an answer by you
              case.
21
              that you have no additional questions for the
22
              Commission. You may be seated again.
```

```
1
    DC:
              Again, I am exercising my 5th Amendment rights.
2
              That is not a, "yes," or a, "no," in invoking and
3
              letting the court know I have 5th Amendment
4
              rights and am exercising them.
5
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Stafford Smith or Professor
6
7
              Marguiles, do either of you wish to ask any
8
              additional questions beyond those already in the
9
              record?
10
11
    CDC:
              Your Honor, based on what the court has
12
              previously said in the record as to the defense
13
              right to make strategic decisions on this, and
14
              the direct statement made twice, that we can do
15
              that, and due to the fact that those issues are
              privileged, respectfully, I decline to answer the
16
17
              question.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: I am sorry. You are going to have to
20
              state that again because it didn't make any sense
21
              the first time.
22
```

CDC:

Okay.

2 Presiding Officer: Break it down for me.

3

4 Let me read back what you said, and this of CDC: 5 course, is my notes, so forgive me if I am slightly inaccurate, but my notes of what you 6 7 said, or you answer were, "They don't have to say 8 anything while they are sitting there. They 9 don't have to do anything when they are sitting there." 10

11

12 Then you said later on, "The defense may make privileged decisions as instructed by the client 13 14 about we do this." Those decisions have been made. They have been made for very valid 15 reasons, which are privileged. In that context, 16 17 I think that the court understands where we are. 18 The court can always ask Mr. Muhammad if he has 19 got anything to add to that, but respectively, I 20 decline to answer the question as to whether 21 there are further questions to be done on voir 22 dire.

```
1
              I will note for the record that nowhere in United
2
              States jurisprudence for the last 200 years has
3
              it been possible for someone who is Accused in a
4
              criminal case to knowingly, intelligently, and
5
              voluntarily waive a legal right under the
              circumstances of taking the 5th Amendment.
6
7
              you.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: Okay----
10
11
    CDC:
              May I sit down, sir?
12
    Presiding Officer: Yes.
13
14
    [The CDD did as directed.]
15
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Okay, I will note that on the 10th of
18
              February 2006, at a time well after the defense
19
              had apparently identified this claim, conflict of
20
              interest, the defense submitted 340 voir dire
21
              questions for the military judge. I answered
22
              those questions and the questions in the record
23
              encompass 53 pages, which is well beyond any
```

other voir dire that I have been aware of my time
on the bench as a military judge.

I will also note that in the course of providing those answers, I sua sponte provided a number of comments that were not raised by the questions, but which I deemed to be appropriate in terms of full disclosure.

I will view the defense's decision not to ask questions at this time as a waiver of the opportunity to ask additional questions. And with that in mind, so if there is any misunderstanding and you want to revisit that and ask questions, because I perfectly willing to answer your questions.

I don't recognize that there is any conflict
here. I don't believe it is an appropriate
invocation of the 5th Amendment and I am not
going to allow legal arguments that are not well
developed, I won't go so far as to say spurious,
because they are just coming here without any

1		real development or basis; I am not going to
2		allow them to cause the proceedings to come to a
3		halt at this point.
4		
5		If you want to make motions about things, the
6		defense, you are invited to do so in accordance
7		with the POMs. But, you haven't been doing that
8		and we are not going to just stop the proceedings
9		because you have, for whatever reason you have
10		here, to try and not do things.
11		
12	CDC:	May I note our objection and if I may, just
13		briefly explain the basis for our objection?
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: Now is this different from what you
16		said before?
17		
18	CDC:	Oh yes.
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: Because they took it all down the first
21		time.
22		
23	CDC:	Oh no, I have got some further objections.

1 2 Presiding Officer: Okay. 3 4 Our first objection is that we do believe that in CDC: 5 15 minutes it is impossible to resolve an issue of future potential incarceration of counsel. 6 7 Second, we are under specific instructions by Mr. 8 9 Muhammad ultimately to develop enormous number of 10 questions that would come out to what Your Honor 11 submitted in the voir dire questions, but, we 12 can't possibly do it now. 13 14 Third, and I was specifically told to say this, 15 we have requested that that be made public on the 16 record, because if we are not allowed to make 17 those--do those questions in the future, we 18 sincerely expect the New York Time, the Wall Street Journal, and the Miami Herald, to follow 19 20 up on those questions which clearly should be 21 asked, with all due respect of the court.

1		So with that, we would note our objection to the
2		process. Thank you.
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: Very well. There being no further
5		questions from the defense, does the government
6		have a challenge for cause?
7		
8	PROS:	The government has no challenge, sir.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: Does the defense have a challenge for
11		cause?
12		
13	CDC:	The defense is not in a position to make that
14		decision but I would ask that Mr. Muhammad can
15		make his statement now.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: No, he is not going to address the
18		court at this time.
19		
20		Major Bradley, you are the Detailed Defense
21		Counsel and I am going to ask you; does the
22		defense have a challenge for cause?
23		

2 Amendment rights. 3 Presiding Officer: There being no challenge for cause from 4 5 the defense, I find that I am qualified to serve in this Military Commission as the Presiding 6 7 Officer. 8 9 I don't think so. ACC: 10 Presiding Officer: All personnel--Mr. Muhammad----11 12 You know, I don't think so. 13 ACC: 14 Presiding Officer: You are being directed now to remain 15 16 silent. Do you understand that? 17 18 ACC: I thought you said----19 20 Presiding Officer: If you do not comply with my directions 21 to remain silent unless I give you permission to 22 speak, you will end up waiving your right to be 23 present in these proceedings.

Again, I apologize, I am invoking my 5th

1

DC:

```
1
2
    ACC:
              All right.
3
4
    Presiding Officer: All personnel appear to have the
5
              requisite qualifications and all personnel
              required to be sworn, have been sworn.
6
7
8
              Major Bradley, have you and the Accused
9
              previously been provided a copy of the charge,
10
              and if you think the 5th Amendment prevents you
11
              from answering a question like that, you are
12
              sorely mistaken.
13
14
              Your Honor, if I may address the---
    CDC:
15
16
    Presiding Officer: Would you like to answer this question
              for the defense? Because that is all I want for
17
18
              now is an answer to the question that I have
19
              read?
20
              If I may note for the record----
21
    CDC:
```

1 Presiding Officer: No, you may not. I want you to answer 2 the question first and then you can ask me your 3 question. 4 5 No, I am going to make an objection, Your Honor, CDC: 6 I am not making a statement. I would just like 7 to note for the record the objection, for the purposes of the record, that counsel's position 8 9 is that she is in a conflict situation, it is not 10 just the 5th Amendment situation she is in, and 11 that is a problem too. That is why she can't go 12 forward. 13 14 Presiding Officer: I would agree she is in a difficult 15 position because I am directing her, ordering 16 her, to perform her duties. She violates that 17 order at her own peril and that is black book, 18 long-standing military law. And, I would be 19 really concerned about her doing that because her 20 responsibility to follow my orders is crystal 21 clear.

1 The perceived conflict that you have alluded to 2 in the defense briefs is an issue which requires 3 extensive litigation, and in the meantime, if it 4 is to be raised, and in the meantime she has an 5 order from this court to proceed, which almost certainly protects her in her home jurisdiction. 6 7 A home jurisdiction, which in rule 8.5 from the 8 9 Pennsylvania Disciplinary Code dictates that a 10 lawyer shall not be subject to discipline if the 11 lawyer's conduct conforms to the rules of a 12 jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect of the lawyer's 13 14 conduct will occur. 15 16 And the suggestion that the predominant rules are 17 something other than Commission Law and the 18 orders of the Presiding Officer is fanciful, at 19 best. 20 21 Accordingly, I am going to go back to Major

lawyer, I am going to go back to her as an

Bradley here, and you should not be acting as her

22

1		officer of considerable experience, apparently,
2		given her grade, to follow my orders, to get up
3		there and answer my question about whether she
4		and her client have been provided with a copy of
5		the charge sheet.
6		
7	CDC:	May I note on the record, Your Honor, the
8		objection. First, that the court is now giving a
9		lawyer, who is facing criminal charges, legal
10		advice, which is clearly inappropriate, and I
11		would orally state my intention to move to
12		disqualify the court. I have been in this
13		situation before.
14		
15		Second, the question of what that disciplinary
16		rule exactly means is exactly what she needs
17		independent advice on, not advice from the court.
18		
19		And that is why, with all due respect, I had
20		asked you to give us time to get her independent
21		advice. It is totally inappropriate for that
22		advice to come in the form of an order.

1		And I admire her courage, but I am here to defend
2		her too, in this situation, as a member of the
3		bar, although I am in a total conflict situation
4		there. It is impossible for us to go forward,
5		and I simply don't see why we want to mess up
6		this whole process by rushing through that when
7		there is a series of violations.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: Thank you.
10		
11	CDC:	Thank you.
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: Major Bradley, are you refusing to
14		answer my question that I have just posed to you?
15		
16	CDC:	My advice is that she takes the 5th Amendment,
17		Your Honor.
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: Major BradleyMr. Smith, I heard you
20		the first, second, and the third time. Major
21		Bradley, are you refusing my order to answer this
22		question?

1	DC:	I am not refusing any order. I mean, I am at an
2		ethical dilemma. Not only am I at an ethical
3		dilemma at this point, which the court has not
4		resolved, I am also atthis court, which keeps
5		saying it is supposed to be full and fair is also
6		putting Mr. Muhammad in a situation of having
7		counsel provide ineffective assistance of
8		counsel, which is another violation. So you are
9		asking me to now step into a situation of
10		ineffective assistance of counsel along with the
11		other ethical problems that I am currently
12		facing.
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: Given your lack of response to my
15		direction; Trial Counsel, do you have another
16		copy of the charge sheet.
17		
18	PROS:	Yes, sir.
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: Bailiff, please get it from the
21		prosecutor.
22		
23	[The bail:	iff did as directed and handed it to the PO.]

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Okay, if you could hand this to Mr.
3
              Muhammad, please.
4
    [The bailiff did approached the ACC.]
5
6
7
    ACC:
              That is in English. I don't know, want it.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: Let the record reflect--just put it in
10
              front of him.
11
12
    [The bailiff did as directed.]
13
14
    Presiding Officer: Let the record----
15
16
    ACC: On the record, this is not----
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Muhammad, you need to remain
19
              silent. Let the record reflect that the Accused
20
              has been presented with a copy of the charge
21
              sheet.
22
```

1		The prosecutor will announce the general nature
2		of the charge.
3		
4	PROS:	Sir, the general nature of the charge in this
5		case is conspiracy to attack civilians,
6		conspiracy to attack civilian objects, conspiracy
7		to commit murder by an unprivileged belligerent,
8		conspiracy to destroy property by an unprivileged
9		belligerent, and conspiracy to commit terrorism.
10		
11	Presiding	Officer: Does the defense desire the charge be
12		read, Major Bradley?
13		
14	CDC:	Your Honor, may we refer to that to Mr. Muhammad
15		who would like to respond to that?
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: No. He is not representing himself at
18		these proceedings. I am asking Major Bradley,
19		who I have been advised is the lead counsel here,
20		although other members of the defense may
21		
22	DC:	I am going to object to that. That is not on the
23		record that I am lead counsel I have never said

```
1
              that because there is a conflict issue, so I
2
              would like that clarified that I am not lead
3
              counsel.
4
   Presiding Officer: I believe your recollection of the
5
6
              record is mistaken at this point.
7
8
   DC: I stated I would take----
9
10
   Presiding Officer: Major Bradley----
11
12
   DC: ----stated I would----
13
14
   Presiding Officer: Major Bradley----
15
16
   DC: ----take questions.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Major Bradley, do not start arguing
19
              with me. Does the defense desire that the charge
20
             be read?
21
22
             Your Honor, I am not in a position to answer that
    CDC:
23
              question under this process.
```

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: Very well. We will have the charge
3		read.
4		
5		Trial counsel.
6		
7	PROS:	Jurisdiction for this Military Commission is
8		based on the President's determination of July
9		29th, 2005 that Binyam Ahmed Muhammad, a/k/a
10		Talha al Kini, a/k/a Foaud Zouaoui, a/k/a Taha al
11		Nigeri a/k/a John Samuel is subject to his
12		Military Order of November 13th, 2001.
13		
14		The charged conduct alleged against Binyam
15		Muhammad is triable by a military commission.
16		
17		General allegations; Al Qaida ("the Base"),
18		was founded by Usama bin Laden and others in
19		or about 1989 for the purpose of opposing
20		certain governments and officials with force
21		and violence.

1	Usama bin Laden is recognized as the emir
2	(prince or leader) of al Qaida.
3	
4	A purpose or goal of al Qaida, as stated by
5	Usama bin Laden and other al Qaida leaders,
6	is to support violent attacks against
7	property and nationals (both military and
8	civilian) of the United States and other
9	countries for the purpose of, inter alia,
10	forcing the United States to withdraw its
11	forces from the Arabian Peninsula and in
12	retaliation for U.S. support of Israel.
13	
14	Al Qaida operations and activities are
15	directed by a shura (consultation) council
16	composed of committees, including: political
17	committee; military committee; security
18	committee; finance committee; media
19	committee; and religious/legal committee.
20	
21	Between 1989 and 2001, al Qaida established
22	training camps, guesthouses, and business
23	operations in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and

1	other countries for the purpose of training
2	and supporting violent attacks against
3	property and nationals (both military and
4	civilian) of the United States and other
5	countries.
6	
7	In 1992 and 1993, al Qaida supported violent
8	opposition of U.S. property and nationals by,
9	among other things, transporting personnel,
10	weapons, explosives, and ammunition to Yemen,
11	Saudi Arabia, Somalia, and other countries.
12	
13	In August 1996, Usama bin Laden issued a
14	public "Declaration of Jihad Against the
15	Americans," in which he called for the murder
16	of U.S. military personnel serving on the
17	Arabian peninsula.
18	
19	In February 1998, Usama bin Laden, Ayman al
20	Zawahiri, and others, under the banner of
21	"International Islamic Front for Fighting
22	Jews and Crusaders," issued a fatwa
23	(purported religious ruling) requiring all

1 Muslims able to do so to kill Americans, 2 whether civilian or military, anywhere they 3 can be found and to "plunder their money." 4 5 On or about May 29, 1998, Usama bin Laden issued a statement entitled "The Nuclear Bomb 6 7 of Islam," under the banner of the 8 "International Islamic Front for Fighting 9 Jews and Crusaders, " in which he stated that 10 "it is the duty of the Muslims to prepare as 11 much force as possible to terrorize the 12 enemies of God." 13 14 Since 1989, members and associates of al Qaida, known and unknown, have carried out 15 16 numerous terrorist attacks, including, but 17 not limited to: the attacks against the 18 American Embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 19 August of 1998; the attack against the USS 20 COLE in October 2000; and the attacks on the 21 United States on September 11, 2001.

1 Charge, conspiracy: Binyam Muhammad, in 2 Afghanistan, Pakistan, and other countries, 3 from on or about May 2001 to on or about 4 March 2002, willfully and knowingly joined an 5 enterprise of persons who shared a common criminal purpose and conspired and agreed 6 7 with Usama bin Laden (a/k/a Abu Abdullah), Saif al Adel, Dr. Ayman al Zawahiri (a/k/a 8 9 "the Doctor"), Muhammad Atef (a/k/a Abu Hafs 10 al Masri), Abd al Hadi al Iragi, Zayn al 11 Abidin Muhammad Husayn (a/k/a Abu Zubayda 12 hereinafter "Abu Zubayda"), Jose Padilla (a/k/a Abdullah al Muhajir), Khalid Sheikh 13 14 Mohammad (a/k/a Mukhtar) and other members 15 and associates of the al Qaida organization, known and unknown, to commit the following 16 17 offenses triable by military commission: 18 attacking civilians; attacking civilian 19 objects; murder by an unprivileged 20 belligerent; destruction of property by an 21 unprivileged belligerent; and terrorism.

196

1 In furtherance of this enterprise and 2 conspiracy, Binyam Muhammad and other members 3 or associates of al Qaida committed the 4 following overt acts: 5 On or about May 2001, after a recent 6 7 conversion to Islam, Binyam Muhammad, a 8 trained electrical engineer, traveled to Afghanistan and attended al Qaida's al Farouq 9 10 training camp, where he received training in 11 light weapons such as the Kalishnikov, 12 Simonov, PKA, rocket-propelled grenades and 13 crew-served weapons. 14 15 In early summer 2001, while Binyam Muhammad 16 was at al Farouq, Usama Bin Laden visited the 17 camp several times and lectured Binyam 18 Muhammad and other trainees about the 19 importance of conducting operations against 20 the United States, Europe, and Israel. During 21 one of these lectures Usama bin Laden told 22 the group "something big is going to happen

1 in the future" and to "get ready" or words to 2 that effect. 3 During August 2001, after completing his 4 training at al Faroug, Binyam Muhammad 5 attended a city warfare course in Kabul where 6 7 he was to receive 10 days of pistol training, 8 ten days of training on the AK-47, and ten 9 days of "room to room" combat. Due to lack 10 of ammunition, Binyam Muhammad only received 11 training on the AK-47 assault rifle. 12 In September 2001, after completing his 13 14 abbreviated city warfare course, Binyam 15 Muhammad moved to the front lines in Bagram 16 to experience fighting between the Taliban 17 and the Northern Alliance. While on the 18 front lines, Binyam Muhammad took a course in 19 firing mortars, map reading, targeting and 20 firing. 21 22 After a short time on the front lines in 23

Bagram, Binyam Muhammad attended an

1 explosives training camp in Kabul where he 2 received training on explosives and "homemade" bomb-making. Also in attendance at 3 4 this camp was Richard Reid. 5 After traveling from Kabul to Khandahar, 6 7 Binyam Muhammad was directed to go to Zormat, 8 Afghanistan where he met with Abd al Hadi al 9 Iraqi. While in Zormat, Binyam Muhammad was told al Qaida had a "mission' for him. 10 11 12 Binyam Muhammad then traveled to Birmel, 13 Afghanistan, and was introduced to Abu 14 Zubayda. Abu Zubayda promised him training 15 in Pakistan building remote-control-16 detonation devices for explosives that were to be used against American forces. After 17 18 his training was complete, Binyam Muhammad 19 was to return to Afghanistan to make 20 detonation devices and teach others how to 21 construct them.

1	Binyam Muhammad traveled with Abu Zubayda
2	from Khowst into Pakistan, stopping at
3	several guesthouses and a madrassa (religious
4	school), where he first met Jose Padilla,
5	Ghassan al Sharbi, and Jabran Said al
6	Qahtani. Abd al Hadi al Iraqi and Abu Zubayda
7	directed Binyam Muhammad (along with al
8	Sharbi and al Qahtani) to receive training on
9	building remote-controlled detonation devices
10	for explosives.
11	
12	From the madrassa in Khowst, Binyam Muhammad
13	traveled to a guesthouse in Lahore, Pakistan,
14	where he and Jose Padilla reviewed
15	instructions on a computer in the guesthouse
16	on how to make an improvised "dirty bomb."
17	Ghassan al Sharbi translated these
18	instructions into Arabic and read them aloud
19	to a group in the guesthouse.
20	
21	After arriving in Lahore, Binyam Muhammad and
22	Jose Padilla met with Abu Zubayda in private
23	and discussed plans for attacks against the

United States. Abu Zubayda stated that he preferred Binyam Muhammad conduct an "overseas operation" instead of going back into Afghanistan as originally planned.

Binyam Muhammad agreed to carry out an operation inside the United States.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

While in Lahore, Binyam Muhammad, Jose Padilla and Abu Zubayda discussed the feasibility of constructing the improvised "dirty bomb" from the instructions they had read on the computer. Abu Zubayda also discussed other plans against the United States with Binyam Muhammad and Jose Padilla, such as blowing up gas tankers and spraying people with cyanide in nightclubs. Abu Zubayda told Binyam Muhammad that one of the purposes for the attacks on the United States was to help "free the prisoners in Cuba." After spending a few days in guesthouses in Lahore and Faisalabad, Binyam Muhammad and Jose Padilla were sent to Karachi to meet Saif al Adel (the head of al Qaida's security committee) and Khalid Sheikh Mohammad (a top level al Qaida planner and

leader). Saif al Adel and Khalid Sheikh Mohammad told Binyam Muhammad that their mission would involve targeting high-rise apartment buildings that utilized natural gas for its heat and also targeting gas stations. The apartment building plan called for renting an apartment and utilizing the natural gas in the buildings to detonate an explosion that would collapse all of the floors above. Binyam Muhammad and Jose Padilla agreed to conduct such an operation.

In early April 2002, Binyam Muhammad was given approximately \$6,000 U.S. dollars and Jose Padilla was given approximately \$10,000 U.S. dollars to get to the United States and met with Khalid Sheikh Mohammad for last minute briefings.

On or about April 4th, 2002, Binyam Muhammad and Jose Padilla were both detained at passport control at the airport in Karachi (Binyam Muhammad for a forged passport and Jose Padilla due to visa violations), but

1		were released the next morning. Khalid
2		Sheikh Mohammad arranged to get Binyam
3		Muhammad a different forged passport while
4		Jose Padilla continued on to Chicago,
5		Illinois.
6		
7		On or about April 10th, 2002, Binyam Muhammad
8		was arrested at an airport in Karachi,
9		Pakistan attempting to get back to London
10		using a forged passport.
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: Very well, while current Presiding
	_	-
13	_	Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and
13		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and
13 14		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the
13 14 15		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current
13 14 15 16		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current
13 14 15 16 17		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current filings inventory has been marked as RE 42.
13 14 15 16 17 18		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current filings inventory has been marked as RE 42. Counsel for either side wish to raise any matters
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current filings inventory has been marked as RE 42. Counsel for either side wish to raise any matters with regards as to the accuracy of these
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CDC:	Officer Memoranda are listed in RE 36, these and any subsequent modifications are in effect as the rules of court for this Commission. The current filings inventory has been marked as RE 42. Counsel for either side wish to raise any matters with regards as to the accuracy of these

1 2 Presiding Officer: No. Mr. Smith--excuse me, Mr. Stafford 3 Smith, Mr. Muhammad is not representing himself 4 at these proceedings. He will not be speaking 5 for the defense. Does the defense, and you certainly may, okay, Professor Marguiles, I am 6 7 sorry. 8 9 It is Marguiles, thank you. CDC2: 10 11 Presiding Officer: I am sorry, Mr. Marguiles or Major 12 Bradley certainly may. Do you have any objection 13 with regard or point out anything about the 14 inaccuracy of those review exhibits. 15 16 Your Honor, with all due respect, I finally note CDC: 17 my objection to that. Mr. Muhammad is currently 18 without counsel because his designated defense--19 Detailed Defense Counsel is unable to respond and 20 under this tribunal's previous ruling, we are not 21 in a position to, so he is currently without 22 counsel.

1 Presiding Officer: How do you figure that you are not able 2 to? I said you don't have to speak but you are 3 certainly are entitled to. If he is directing 4 you not to, that certainly makes for a cumbersome 5 process because you are going to ultimately end up, likely you are going to be waiving a lot of 6 7 things where it may be in your client's interests 8 to do things. 9 10 But that is your choice and your client's choice. 11 If you want to waive all the objections to all 12 the things we are doing here, you have, as far as 13 I can see, waived the opportunity for the 14 additional voir dire, again, despite the fact that you conducted substantial voir dire with all 15 the same ethical issues in front of you. That is 16 17 your choice. 18 19 I am not going to force the defense to do many 20 things. I will ask for some simple answers from time to time, as in now. Do you have any 21 22 objections to these matters? If you have none, 23 you have none. That is fine.

Your Honor, we do have objections, and the first
objection is 2 or 3 days of defense voir dire is
not a simple matter, and in that context, it is
not something that can be waived by this
tribunal's instruction. Certainly people who
weren't meant to do the case are meant to do the
case.

That is just ineffective and it is pure and simple. Sir, in this context, we are not in a position where certainly one can magically wave the want after 15 minutes of frantic efforts to try and figure out the most basic issues of criminal liability. Of course that is not a voluntary decision any more than Mr. Muhammad's statements under the coercion he has been in the past.

I don't know me to throw a parallel between razor blades and this, of course, but this cannot be in any sane legal system a knowing, intelligent, and voluntary waiver.

1 2 And all we are asking for here is to revisit in a 3 few months and we don't want to waste your time, 4 and we are certainly not waiving anything 5 whatsoever. I am absolutely not waiving anything and I am asserting my client's right to respond 6 7 to issues that he wants to respond to. 8 9 Presiding Officer: Mr. Stafford Smith, your eloquence does not make your argument any more reasonable. 10 11 have had sufficient time to prepare. It was 12 obvious from the questions that you submitted before that there was considerable preparation 13 14 done with regard to the voir dire issue, since 15 that is the one you mentioned right there. are past that now, however, and now I am asking 16 17 if there is any concerns with regards to the 18 accuracy of RE 36 and 42? 19 20 And, Your Honor, I am not in a position, of CDC: 21 course, to respond on any of that. That is not 22 my role.

1 Presiding Officer: Okay, the defense has no objection. 2 Thank you. 3 4 Does the defense have any motions that they wish 5 to raise at this time? If you wish, you may reserve your motions to a later date. 6 7 8 No, orally, Your Honor, we move to disqualify the CDC: 9 court, and orally we would move to reconsider 10 every ruling that has been made in this--in front 11 of a different tribunal in this proceeding. 12 13 And orally, we would certainly move to have the 14 court withdraw from the record any notion that an issue as sensitive as all the questions I have 15 16 asked you, and I would be glad to list a few of them in terms of the voir dire and in terms of 17 18 the conversations you had about torture in North 19 Carolina, and terms of the article you wrote 20 about how you criticized the military tribunals, 21 the thousands of questions that any sane person 22 would do.

1 We just can't do those in the context of this proceeding in the way that the counsel is dealing 2 3 with them is currently facing criminal charges. 4 5 Presiding Officer: Have you prepared any of those motions 6 in accordance with the rules of this court? 7 8 Your Honor, I will go back and I am going to look CDC: 9 at the written emails. I have been looking at 10 them recently about what you and I went back and 11 forth on, on the submissions on conflict, and I 12 think we complied with those rules, but if not, 13 we will do it all over again. But there is an 14 ethical issue here that has to be resolved 15 regardless of motions. So in terms of written 16 motions, today, we ask for permission to take a 17 recess now and go deal with them. 18 19 Presiding Officer: Okay, I will allow you to reserve those motions. We are not recessing now but you can 20 21 reserve those motions and you are free to make 22 them in accordance with the POMs, POM 4-3.

```
1
              All right, defense has reserved its motions.
2
              Accuse and counsel, please rise.
3
4
              Accused and counsel or defense?
    CDC:
5
6
    Presiding Officer: Accused and Defense Counsel, please
7
              rise.
8
9
    [The CDC and CDC2 did as directed. The DC and ACC remained
10
    seated.]
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Major Bradley, stand up.
13
    [The DC did as directed.]
14
15
16
    Presiding Officer: Binyam Ahmed Muhammad, I now ask you,
17
              how do you plead? Defense counsel may speak on
18
              your behalf, or you may speak yourself.
19
20
    [Long pause.]
21
22
    Presiding Officer: There being no response----
23
```

```
1
   ACC: You can speak for yourself.
2
3
   Presiding Officer: There being no response----
4
             You're being rude here.
5
   ACC:
6
7
   Presiding Officer: ----there being no response, I will
8
              issue a plea of: Not Guilty, on behalf of the
9
              Accused.
10
11
              You may be seated. Thank you.
12
13
    [All parties did as directed.]
14
   Presiding Officer: Okay, we will take a 15-minute recess
15
16
              at this time and we will reconvene at 1530.
17
              Court is in recess.
18
19
   The Commission Hearing recessed at 1513, 6 April 2006.
20
21
   The Commission Hearing was called to order at 1721, 6 April
22
    2006.
```

1	Presiding	Officer: The Commission will come to order. All
2		parties present when the court recessed are again
3		present.
4		
5		I want to spend a little more time on this
6		conflict issue. First let me clarify a couple of
7		things. It is clear that Major Bradley perceives
8		she has a conflict.
9		
10		Mr. Stafford Smith, do you also believe that you
11		have your own conflict of interests issue here?
12		
13	CDC:	Sir, the issue is in the structure of the Office
14		of Military Commissions Defense. So, to the
15		extent that that system doesn't change, the
16		entire process for us, we, the civilian lawyers
17		are not directly implicated in a conflict, but
18		for various reasons that we won't go into, if we
19		don't change the structure, it is going to taint
20		his whole, Mr. Muhammad's entire rights.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: I will take that as a yes.

1	CDC:	Well it is a complicated yes.
2		
3	Presiding	Officer: Okay.
4		
5	CDC:	The system needs to change. We have to change
6		the system.
7		
8	Presiding	Officer: Okay, thank you.
9		
10		Professor Marguiles, do you also believe you have
11		a conflict of interest issue in this case?
12		
13	CDC2:	In the same fashion, Your Honor.
14		
15	Presiding	
	1100101119	Officer: Thank you. All right, despite my long-
16	1100141119	Officer: Thank you. All right, despite my long-standing directions to the Defense Counsel to
16 17	redrama	
		standing directions to the Defense Counsel to
17		standing directions to the Defense Counsel to take appropriate action to address their claim to
17 18		standing directions to the Defense Counsel to take appropriate action to address their claim to conflict of interest issues, they have not
17 18 19		standing directions to the Defense Counsel to take appropriate action to address their claim to conflict of interest issues, they have not secured a determination from an appropriate
17 18 19 20		standing directions to the Defense Counsel to take appropriate action to address their claim to conflict of interest issues, they have not secured a determination from an appropriate authority that there is, in fact, an actual

1 attorneys, or attorneys that are practicing 2 before the Military Commissions. 3 4 Mr. Stafford Smith has suggested that he thought 5 the issue had been properly raised before the Commission. He has also indicated a desire, now 6 7 that this misunderstanding I think, has been identified, a desire to file an appropriate -- a 8 motion for appropriate relief with the Commission 9 10 seeking some sort of, at this point, unspecified 11 relief regarding that issue. 12 13 I have determined that the most prudent course of 14 action at this point is to provide the defense an 15 additional opportunity to do what they should 16 have done before. 17 18 Accordingly, I am making the following directions 19 to the defense: If due to events that have 20 already occurred, and these directions are given 21 to each of you separately because you are 22 separately situated.

And so while I can understand there may be discussion about things, I am going to order a number of motions here, and I would like them to come from you each separately with regard to your different circumstances.

I would understand that Major Bradley's would vary perhaps more from the two civilians, but you come from different licensing states and there are different rules involved. So while there will be some overlap, I understand, we will work through this issue because it may be that the determinations are different in each of your cases.

If you feel that due to events that have already occurred, there is a conflict of interests that cannot be resolved by any direction that might be made by this court or anything that would change some of the structural things you talk about; I am directing you to file a motion to withdraw, or in the alternative, provide a brief on why you

should continue to represent Mr. Muhammad despite

an irreconcilable conflict.

Alternatively, if any of you believe that your perceived conflict can be cured by a change to the orders and regulations governing these proceedings, you should file, again separate motions, taking into account your separate situations, with specific requests for specific appropriate relief that would cure the conflict.

I would note that in RE 40, there are statements like, "There is a big problem here and Colonel Kohlmann, you've got to fix it." Okay, and that is not going to get it. You need to first persuade this Commission that there is, in fact, a problem, and then you need to describe how it may be fixed, and then describe why you think I have the authority to do that. And there are several hurdles that you will need to get past with regard to this motion. And you need to break them down like that.

1 As previously noted, these motions must be 2 presented in accordance with POM 4-3. And for 3 witnesses that are required, in accordance with 4 POM 10-2, and it should be filed, and the 5 government will have an opportunity to respond, and any issues that come up along the way; we 6 7 will just deal with as they come up along the 8 way. 9 10 But, we are going to do it in accordance with the 11 applicable POMs. I won't say exclusively 4-3 and 12 10-2, but those are the ones that obviously come to mind with regard to form, timing, witness 13 14 requests, and things like that. 15 16 These motions must contain a specific proffer of 17 the facts and a timely submitted witness list, as 18 I have just described at 10-2. 19 20 This Commission will not consider a motion of 21 this significance based on counsel's statements 22 of alleged facts, and in my view, the defense has

the burden of proof in going forward in

demonstrating the facts to support their claims
of problems.

Okay now, those two were one or the other. If it can't be fixed, you either need to file a motion to withdraw or an explanation of why, even though it can't be fixed, you should continue in this case.

Or, here is how is can be fixed; now in addition to the motion—the second motion of about how it can be fixed, each Defense Counsel is directed to file a brief which addresses what basis, if any, would exist for their continuing representation of Mr. Muhammad if the perceived conflict is not resolved by this Commission.

The reason for this is, if you don't have some other authority that says there is really a conflict, that throws it over to me as the only authority left to determine whether there is a conflict or not. And, if I determine, and when I say, "I," I mean the Presiding Officer. If I

determine that there is no conflict, or that there is no way to fix it, or that I don't have the authority to fix it, well then we are kind of left with; you think there is a conflict; there is no authority; you need to go forward; you say you can't go forward; and we are not just going to be stuck in Never Never Land.

You are going to need to withdraw if you feel you cannot, in the case of Major Bradley, fulfill your assigned duties to represent this man, or, in the case of the civilian counsel, at that point say I can't do what I agreed to do as far as complying with the rules of the Commission, which include the directions of this Commission, because of an ethical conflict. Because then you basically will not be able to comply with what you have agreed to do.

And that is why this additional piece has to be there on you explaining why it is not the case, that you would have to withdraw despite the fact that you will be, at that point, saying, "Well

since you can't fix it, I can't do anything."

And I want that to be submitted at the same time

so we can not have another time lag between the

determination of one matter and then the

following matter.

Major Bradley, in your case, it occurs to me that based on your not following my directions today, and invocation of the 5th Amendment, you may have created an irreconcilable conflict of interest in your own case that trumps all these other considerations. And that situation is that your personal interests may be at stake now with regards to this case.

For if you are asserting the right against self-incrimination with regard to the directions I have been providing here, it would seem that you must believe that representing Mr. Muhammad or participating in this Commission, that were following the rules of the Commission, has personal criminal consequences for yourself.

1		And I am not saying that they do. I am saying
2		that based on your responses, it would seem that
3		you think that that is the case. Accordingly, in
4		addition to the motions I have just directed, you
5		are also directed to file a brief addressing the
6		issues of whether your personal interests or
7		stake in the representation of the Accused is a
8		conflict of interest in your case, and if so,
9		what measures, if any, are available to cure it.
10		And if the answer to that is, "None." Then we
11		are back to why should you not be relieved of
12		your assigned duties in this case.
13		
14		I am now going to talk about the schedule for
15		that in just a moment. First, are there any
16		questions about those directions?
17		
18		Major Bradley?
19		
20	DC:	No.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Excuse me?
23		

```
1
   DC: No, I have no questions.
2
3
   Presiding Officer: Okay, "No, sir," would be the
4
              appropriate response.
5
6
   DC:
              I am sorry, Your Honor. No. No, sir.
7
8
   Presiding Officer: Thank you. Mr. Stafford Smith?
9
10
    CDC:
             No, sir, but I need a transcript so I can make
11
              sure I didn't miss anything, but----
12
13
   Presiding Officer: Yeah, I frankly don't know how you go
14
              about doing that, but I am sure there are people
15
              that can talk to you about that.
16
17
              Professor?
18
19
    CDC2:
              No, Your Honor, but I had the same idea about a
20
              transcript, just so we have it right.
21
22
   Presiding Officer: Government?
```

```
No questions, sir.
1
    PROS:
2
3
    Presiding Officer: All right, scheduling. Major Bradley,
4
              would you all like to confer with your fellow
5
              counsel on how much time you think you need to
              prepare the motion? I am not saying you are
6
7
              going to get it, now, I am saying I am going to
8
              ask you what you think is the appropriate amount
9
              of time. Do you want to confer?
10
11
    DC:
              Yes, sir. I would like to confer with counsel.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Do you need to step out, or can you do
14
              it there?
15
16
              No, we can do it here. Would it be possible to
    CDC:
17
              find out from someone how long a transcript would
18
              take?
                     I would hate to make the court reporters
19
              life difficult, but----
20
21
    Presiding Officer: What you are talking about is just that
22
              little bit that I had?
```

```
1
    CDC:
              Well that would be helpful, but I think certainly
2
              for the issue of the conflict of Major Bradley
3
              and her personal issue, we would need the other
4
              transcript as well. I hope it wouldn't take too
5
              long.
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Does the court reporter have any idea,
8
              and if you don't, don't answer the question right
9
              now, because I don't want to tell them one thing
              and then, well----
10
11
12
    Court Reporter: A couple of days, sir.
13
14
              All right, fantastic.
    CDC:
15
16
    Presiding Officer: Okay.
17
18
    CDC:
              Colonel, if it would be possible, we are talking
19
              and Mr. Marguiles has a trial and we have
20
              various--I've got three states, he's got two
21
              states; if possible, I think 30 days from today
22
              would work.
```

1	Presiding	Officer: Okay, and I will remind you in the
2		agreement that you signed to represent here, that
3		includes the statement that other matters,
4		personal or professional, would not be proffered
5		as a reason to affect the litigation in this
6		case.
7		
8	CDC:	Oh, I understand that, sir, it is just that there
9		are practical realities and so I thinkI just
10		offered that as a practical reality.
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: And you are suggesting 30 days for the
12 13	Presiding	Officer: And you are suggesting 30 days for the submission of the briefs?
	Presiding	
13	Presiding CDC:	
13 14		submission of the briefs?
13 14 15	CDC:	submission of the briefs?
13 14 15 16	CDC:	submission of the briefs? Right.
13 14 15 16 17	CDC:	<pre>submission of the briefs? Right. Officer: With the trial after that? Government,</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18	CDC:	<pre>submission of the briefs? Right. Officer: With the trial after that? Government,</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CDC: Presiding	submission of the briefs? Right. Officer: With the trial after that? Government, do you wish to be heard on that?

1	Presiding	Officer: I am going to put that matter aside for
2		just a moment and I am probably going to end up
3		taking a brief recess just to see how that meshes
4		with other matters on the docket and other cases
5		that I am not involved in.
6		
7		A couple of other matters. Does counsel for both
8		sides understand those provisions of Military
9		Commission Order Number 1 governing protected
10		information?
11		
12	PROS:	The government does, sir.
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: Defense.
15		
16	CDC:	Your Honor, we certainly read it but I've got
17		questions. For example, Mr. Muhammad wants a
18		copy, obviously, of the discovery, and quite
19		frankly, I don't really understand, in the
20		context, what we are and aren't allowed to give
21		him. It seems to me, obvious, that he has a
22		right to get it, but do we have to redact names

out of it, or what? What's the deal?

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Right now I am in Section VIII of the
3
              trial guide for this session, which I provided to
4
              both sides.
5
              All right.
6
    CDC:
7
    Presiding Officer: And there is a series of one, two,
8
9
              three, four questions about----
10
    CDC:
11
              Right.
12
    Presiding Officer: ----your understanding of the
13
14
              provisions of the Protective Orders.
15
16
              I understand from the defense that they have some
17
              questions regarding the provisions of the
18
              Commission Orders and I am going to ask that you
19
              reduce those questions to writing.
20
              certainly, at this point, different from
21
              objections to them, because that would be the
22
              basis of something that should be brought in a
23
              motion.
```

1		
2		Right now it is just important that you
3		understand the provisions because we need to make
4		sure that you don't violate those provisions,
5		even inadvertently. And, you can submit them to
6		the Presiding Officer and I will see about
7		getting you some answers.
8		
9		Do counsel for both sides understand that you
10		must, as soon as practicable, notify me of any
11		intent, at any point, in these proceedings to
12		offer evidence involving protected information,
13		so that I may consider the need to close the
14		proceedings.
15		
16	PROS:	The Prosecution does, sir.
17		
18	Presiding	Officer: Defense?
19		
20	CDC:	Yes.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: To counsel for both sides, is there any
23		issue relating to the protection of witnesses

1		that should be taken up at this time as it may be
2		necessary to discuss and litigate motions, or to
3		conduct other business, before the presentation
4		of evidence on the merits? Government?
5		
6	PROS:	The government does have one issue in this
7		regard, sir, and we spoke to defense this morning
8		about it. The government intends, for all
9		government agents that testify, and other
10		witnesses to be testifying under pseudonym.
11		
12		We wanted to bring that to the attention of the
13		Commission as soon as possible in the event the
14		defense attempts to litigate that issue.
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: Okay, so we are talking about evidence
17		on the merits?
18		
19	PROS:	Yes, sir.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Well it sounds like we may have time
22		for you to file a motion requesting authorization

1		to do that. You should do that in accordance
2		with POM 4-3, okay?
3		
4	PROS:	Yes, sir.
5		
6	Presiding	Officer: That will be one of the motions that we
7		take up at a subsequent session. And right now,
8		it is kind of one the fence between one of the
9		law motions and the evidentiary motions. So you
10		can really submit it at either time. Earlier is
11		always better. We are going to do law motions
12		before evidentiary motions, so that would seem to
13		be the appropriate time for doing that.
14		
15	PROS:	Aye, sir.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: For counsel for both sides, as I am
18		required by Military Commission Order Number 1 to
19		consider the safety of witnesses and others at
20		these proceedings, do all counsel understand that
21		they must notify me of any issues regarding the
22		safety of potential witnesses so that I may

```
1
              determine the appropriate ways in which testimony
2
              will be received and witnesses protected?
3
              And that kind of hits on the thing that we just
4
5
              talked about, but do understand your
              responsibilities to notify me so that I can
6
7
              fulfill my responsibilities in that regard?
8
9
              Yes, sir, the government understands.
    PROS:
10
    Presiding Officer: Does all the Defense Counsel understand
11
12
              that?
13
14
    CDC:
              Yes, sir.
15
16
    Presiding Officer: And I note that Major Bradley is
17
              nodding affirmative.
18
19
    DC:
              Yes, Your Honor.
20
21
    Presiding Officer: And Professor?
22
23
              I do, Your Honor.
```

CDC2:

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Thank you. The only protective orders
3
              that I am aware of that have been issued have
4
              been marked as Review Exhibits 21, 22, and 23.
              Have both sides seen these exhibits? Government?
5
6
7
    PROS: May I have a second just to ensure, sir?
8
9
    [Pause.]
10
11
    PROS:
              Yes, sir. The government is aware, sir.
12
13
             Yes, Your Honor. The defense understands.
    DC:
14
    Presiding Officer: Okay, and you have seen REs 21, 22, and
15
16
              23?
17
18
    DC:
              Yes, we have, Your Honor, and Mr. Muhammad is
19
              looking over them now, as we speak.
20
21
    Presiding Officer: Thank you. Is counsel for either side
22
              aware of any other protective orders, other than
```

```
1
              those three, that are in existence that I have
2
              not mentioned?
3
4
    PROS:
              The government is aware of none, sir.
5
              No, sir.
6
    CDC:
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Does either side request that I issue
9
              any other protective order at this time?
10
11
    PROS:
              The government does not, sir.
12
13
              No, thank you.
    CDC:
14
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Stafford Smith, based on one thing
15
16
              you noted about the voir dire and discussion that
17
              may be had about that in the press, I just want
18
              to remind you that no Review Exhibit can be
19
              released, except for through its release on the
20
              Military Commission's website, and then only in
21
              that form, which will occur in due course. But,
22
              that is the way it needs to occur. Do you
23
```

understand that?

1 2 CDC: I do understand that. And, we have made a 3 I made a request to Mr. Harvey, and request. 4 maybe we have to file a motion on this, but we 5 would like all of these things made public before hearings as opposed to after hearing, if 6 7 possible. But, we will file a motion on that. 8 9 Presiding Officer: If you need to make a motion, then make 10 That is--unless it is put in my lane; it is 11 outside my lane. 12 13 Mr. Muhammad, I want to clarify one thing with 14 you. And, then I want to provide you an 15 opportunity if there was something else that you wanted to tell me that you hadn't told me, you 16 17 can go ahead and do that. 18 19 As you recall, when I when I was talking to you 20 about your counsel rights, we talked about who is 21 representing you and your choices about 22 representations and I wanted to just clarify 23 something I said, and I don't think it is any

```
1
              different, but I want to make sure that I have
2
              got it right for you.
3
4
              Is that counsel that are representing you, which
              are these three right here, they file motions and
5
              other requests for relief in this Commission and
6
7
              will appear at this Commission when conducting
8
              sessions in your case, unless they are excused by
9
              me. And I would only do that after getting a
10
              waiver of their presence by you. Do you
11
              understand the term, "waiver"?
12
13
    ACC:
              Yes.
14
    Presiding Officer: Affirmative response?
15
16
17
    ACC:
              Yes.
18
19
    Presiding Officer: So, unless you say it is all right for
20
              them not to be here, they are going to be here,
21
              okay.
```

1		The other side of that coin is counsel that do
2		not represent you may not make motions on your
3		behalf and may not appear before this Commission
4		on your behalf to represent you.
5		
6		Now as to counsel who serve as advisatoryexcuse
7		me, advisory in nonrepresentational capacity;
8		that is between you and whatever other counsel
9		might advise you. These advisory counsel may
10		serve you and your other lawyers as you wish.
11		However, an advisory counsel who has not been
12		recognized as one authorized to represent you
13		before this commission may not make motions and
14		filings on your behalf and may not represent you
15		before this Commission.
16		
17		That is nothing different that I told you before,
18		but I wanted to clarify that. Do you understand
19		that?
20		
21	ACC:	Yes.
22		
23	Presiding	Officer: Was there

1		
2	CDC:	May I have a second?
3		
4	[The CDC	conferred with the ACC.]
5		
6	CDC:	Colonel, I honestly don't understand what you
7		said.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: Okay. In order to file things with
10		this Commission and make appearances here,
11		counsel have to be representing. You can't do
12		one and not the other. You are either
13		representing him or you are not. I did not want
14		to leave a false impression, however, that it
15		means no other lawyers can provide you counsel or
16		how to do your job, or talk with him, and then,
17		you know, you can't come see him if that is
18		allowed by whatever procedures they have for
19		contact here, which I am not aware of.
20		
21		I was just trying to makeI didn't want to leave
22		here saying that the only lawyers that can work

1		on his case in any capacity at all and provide
2		any help are you three.
3		
4		You three are the ones actually recognized as
5		representing him though, and they are the only
6		ones that can file things with us. But then you
7		also have to be here unless excused by me, with
8		his waiver.
9		
10	CDC:	Okay, so just to clarify and I think this is an
11		important point for Mr. Muhammad, for him to make
12		his decision, and I am just asking for
13		clarification on this. If Mr. Muhammad decides
14		that he really does just want some lawyers
15		perhaps only to be advisory, do I understand you
16		correctly to be saying that those lawyers cannot
17		be, "Commission Lawyers," as in they are not
18		coming to court, well they can be sitting in the
19		back of the court, but they are not actually
20		sitting at the table?
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Sorry, I am not understanding your
23		question.

1		
2	CDC:	This is the question, I think. In terms of the
3		right that Mr. Muhammad is asserting and that I
4		think he wants to assert, is it possible, under
5		your ruling, and I am only asking you this to
6		clarify, is it possible under your ruling for him
7		to have to lawyers who are Commission Lawyers,
8		who can therefore visit him, provide him with
9		advice, and all the rest of it, but who are not
10		actually sitting at counsel table?
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: No, that is not my ruling.
13		
14	CDC:	No, oh, okay.
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: I have
17		
18	CDC:	I just wanted
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: Because I have not considered other
21		commission lawyers. I just did not want to leave
22		the impression that the only three people that

```
can do anything with regard to his case are you
2
              three.
3
4
              I understand.
    CDC:
5
    Presiding Officer: You three are the ones that are
6
7
              representing him and can file, but then you have
8
              to also be here, which is why we ended up saying
9
              you are going--in for a penny, in for a pound.
10
11
              Okay and----
    CDC:
12
13
    Presiding Officer: And you are in.
14
15
              We will file a motion on that, but I just wanted
    CDC:
16
              to clarify.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Okay.
19
20
    CDC:
              Thank you.
21
22
    Presiding Officer: All right. Mr. Muhammad, was there
23
              something else that you wanted to tell me? I am
```

1 not saying you have to, and I am not inviting a 2 long discussion on anything in particular, but if 3 there were any questions or things you wanted to 4 do. 5 6 ACC: There is just one thing. He has told me that he 7 is allowed to see----8 9 Perhaps I could explain it. My understanding is CDC: 10 that I can't show Mr. Muhammad your responses to 11 the voir dire questions of your stage. I am not 12 sure if that is true, but that is what I have 13 understood. And Mr. Muhammad wants to see that 14 obviously. 15 16 That is the question I have. Is it possible to ACC: 17 have this information so I can know who is 18 actually judging me because I am calling him 19 responsible or reasonable, and I find out there 20 is questions that we have to ask him, and they 21 have asked you and I don't have the answers.

1 So, I have to make the judgment that the person 2 who is actually going to convict me is doing it. 3 Presiding Officer: I understand the question. 4 5 6 That is the question I have. ACC: 7 Presiding Officer: I don't have the answer to that right now. 8 9 10 You can take----ACC: 11 12 Presiding Officer: And I ask that the defense submit a 13 motion stating why you think, pursuant to the 14 existing Commission Law, you should be able to do 15 it. It may be a very simple answer. I have just 16 not thought about it one way or the other to be 17 honest with you. 18 19 Okay, is there anything else? We are not going 20 to be able to answer that right now. 21 22 I don't know if I can be given an opportunity to ACC: 23 talk the next time we meet, because I don't have

1		nothing right now. And since you are reasonable,
2		and I don't want to say stuff which you probably
3		don't want to hear right now.
4		
5	Presiding	Officer: That is fine. I can't make any deals
6		with you. When a time comes where you want to
7		share something with me, please let me know
8		through counsel and we will talk about it. It is
9		not going to happen all the time, and sometimes
10		will probably say no. I will try to be
11		reasonable, but I have to tell you, for the most
12		part your counsel are doing the representation
13		here for you.
14		
15	ACC:	I understand that. You said in the morning you
16		would give me chances to speak, so I take it my
17		not speaking right now doesn't mean that I am not
18		going to speak again.
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: I understand.
21		
22	ACC:	So probably I am going to speak the next time.

1 Presiding Officer: I understand. 2 3 ACC: That is all I have. 4 5 Presiding Officer: And also on the pro se part, if the 6 defense wants to make a motion about that, that 7 is like everything else. If you want to make a 8 motion, the rule is clear right now. If you want 9 to make a motion with regard to that, although 10 the rule is clear in Commission Law right now, 11 you can make a motion on any number of things. 12 13 May I just ask on that, because we were talking CDC: 14 about it, by "Commission Law," are you referring 15 to the al Bahlul decision, because I didn't know 16 of any other rule that was cleared, that Mr. Muhammad couldn't do stuff other than that. 17 18 19 Presiding Officer: The rule, off the top of my head, that 20 stands in the way of that is the requirement for 21 him to have a Detailed Defense Counsel at all 22 times.

2		
		whether he can participate in his case. It just
3		means you have a Detailed Defense Counsel sitting
4		here.
5		
6	Presiding	Officer: Go ahead and make the motion if you
7		want to flush that out.
8		
9		Okay, at this point I would like the bailiff to
10		provide these copies of RE 44 and 45 to the
11		defense, which they indicated earlier that they
12		weren't sure if they had seen.
13		
14	[The bail:	iff did as directed.]
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: And now we are going to take, what I
17		hope is a brief recess so I can check on the
18		scheduling issue, and then I hope to be back with
19		you very shortly on that.
20		
21		And then I think we are going to be about done.
22		Court stands in recess on my call.
21		

1 The Commission Hearing recessed at 1801, 6 April 2006. 2 3 The Commission Hearing was called to order at 1810, 6 April 4 2006. 5 Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order. 6 All 7 parties when we recessed are again present. 8 9 Now with regard to the scheduling issue, here is 10 a proposed plan: All the motions I described, 11 along with the witness requests with regards to 12 those motions would be due on the 4th of May; 13 that is almost 30 days. The reason that is the 14 4th instead of the 5th, is because I just 15 generally like to make things due on Thursdays instead of Fridays because it is always, in all 16 17 cases, by 1700 Eastern Standard Time, that is 18 five o'clock p.m., and I just don't like making 19 things due at five o'clock on Fridays, so that 20 will be the 4th of May. 21 22 With responses due on the 11th of May, and the

responses would also be expected to address,

1 separately I presume, the witness requests that 2 came along. So the 10-2s with regard to 3 witnesses, the government needs to respond to the 4 motion and the witness request at the same time. 5 6 PROS: Yes, sir. 7 8 Presiding Officer: And then the replies would be due to 9 the responses on the 17th of May. That would put 10 us into the 5 June trial term for litigation of 11 these motions here. 12 13 Government, does that schedule meet your needs? 14 Yes, sir. 15 PROS: 16 17 Presiding Officer: Defense? 18 19 CDC: Your Honor, I think it does, but my own practical 20 silly problem is that I cannot get my electronic 21 diary into this place, so do you mind if we send 22 you an email confirming--I mean, the first three 23 things are fine, but the June 5th.

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: We need to resolve this here and now.
3		What is it that you are missing?
4		
5	CDC:	My dairy. I can't get it in here because it is
6		electronic. It is aexcuse me. I seriously
7		don't think we have a problem, I am always just a
8		bit nervous because I forget those dates all the
9		time. Can we agree to it and I will send you
10		
11	Presiding	Officer: Who can you call to get that
12		information for you in your office?
13		
14	CDC:	It is in England. It is now 11:15 at night, so I
15		don't think there is anyone I can call,
16		unfortunately.
17		
18	Presiding	Officer: Okay.
19		
20	CDC:	Can't we just say it is fine and then
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Well I am very concerned about
23		slippage. What we can do is, I am going to order

1		today. Today is Thursday. You need to find out
2		before we leave Guantanamo. And if we need to
3		come in here and readjust the schedule, then we
4		need to do that. Because if I order it, and we
5		leave here, then that is the schedule and we are
6		all going to be here.
7		
8	DC:	Your Honorumjust thinking about it again, I
9		don't have my calendar in front of me, but can
10		you give me the day of June 5th, whether that is
11		a Thursday or a Friday? The only reason I ask is
12		that sounds close to a mandatory school I have to
13		go through with the Air Force.
14		
15	Presiding	Officer: This will take priority over whatever
16		school you are talking about, I assure you.
17		
18	CDC:	Thank you.
19		
20	Presiding	Officer: It is just a practice requirement that
21		all counsel at all times must have their
22		calendars with them when they come to court.

1	The electronic things don't work here, so you
2	have to have a good old fashion backup and put it
3	allprint it out before you come here or
4	something like that and then we won't have this
5	issue again.
6	
7	Major Bradley, I can tell you the dates, but like
8	I said, there is a pecking order of priorities
9	and this case is way above school. The 4th is a
10	Thursday of May. The 11th is a Thursday of May.
11	The 17th is a Wednesday in May. And in June the
12	5th is a Monday in the first full week of June.
13	
14	Mr. Stafford Smith, I need you to bring any
15	problem to my attention by 0900 tomorrow.
16	
17	Very well, those milestones are ordered by the
18	Commission. I am going to restate them once
19	more.
20	
21	The motions that I have directed are due on the
22	4th of May with accompanying witness requests.

1		Responses are due 11 May.
2		
3		Replies the 17th of May.
4		
5		The motion will be litigated during the
6		previously published week of 5 June trial term.
7		
8		And again, the filing times are all 1700 Easter
9		Standard Time.
10		
11	CDC:	I am sorry to interrupt, but I have just
12		remembered the 5th of that week I do have a
13		serious conflict. Would it be possible do you
14		think to have it at the end of that week on the
15		Thursday or the Friday.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: I can't guarantee that and I will go
18		back to my other point is that when you signed on
19		to
20		
21	CDC:	I understand.

1 Presiding Officer: ---do this, this went to the top of 2 the list. And, we have made some accommodations, 3 and I am not holding that against, you, but I 4 can't keep doing that. The logistics, as you 5 know, of operating here, can be challenging. 6 7 I certainly know that. CDC: 8 9 Presiding Officer: So to say that I can always put your 10 thing at the end of the week, and in this case, 11 it may be an extended session. I really don't 12 know how long it is going to take. We are in a 13 situation, in fact, where we may be here the week 14 of the 5th and carrying on through the week of 15 the 12th, which puts us in a position where we probably want to start earlier in the week. 16 17 18 Now, if we move everything up, we can do it 19 during a different week entirely, which would 20 resolve your concern, Major Bradley, and Mr. 21 Stafford Smith, during the trial term of 15 May. 22 But then we can't have the prep time that---you 23 can't have it all, all the time.

2 CDC: No, I understand. You know, I am only asking. 3 will tell you what is, is that my charity is 4 sponsored by the Shakespeare Theater and they 5 have designated June 5th as the day we put on our night of theater and whatever else, and you know, 6 7 it is a big deal for our finances. You know, 8 that is the issue. If it is possible to work out 9 that we do it Thursday an Friday, I can do both. 10 And I just ask your help on that, if that is 11 possible. 12 Presiding Officer: I don't know that this will be 13 14 possible. As much as it sounds like a good 15 thing, but just as with Major Bradley's school, 16 this is way above it in the pecking order. 17 18 So that during that week, you all then should 19 plan on being here to start the litigation on 20 Monday. 21 I am anticipating that the travel days are going 22 to have to be adjusted because we learned a 23 lesson this week on giving away Monday and how

```
1
              that crunches the schedule. So I think you are
2
              going to see that being adjusted so that we are
3
              working in this room on Mondays in the future.
4
5
              Okay, those litigation milestones are ordered by
              the Commission.
6
7
8
              Any other matters the government would like to
9
              take up?
10
11
    PROS:
              Not at this time, sir.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Defense?
14
15
    CDC:
              No thank you.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Very well. We are in recess in
18
              accordance with the established milestones.
19
20
    The Commission Hearing recessed at 1820, 6 April 2006.
```

AUTHENTICATION OF FINAL SESSION TRANSCRIPT

in the case of:

United States v. Binyam Ahmed Muhammad

a/k/a/ Talha al Kini
a/k/a Foaud Zouaoui
a/k/a Taha al Nigeri
a/k/a John Samuel

This is to certify that the pages <u>1</u> through <u>255</u> (Authentication page) are an accurate and verbatim transcript of the proceedings held in the above styled case on <u>March 6, 2006</u>.

Ralph H. Kohlmann Colonel, USMC Presiding Officer

52 Mm/ 5009

DATE